### HERMATIONALE OF FIL BULLETIN Nr. 74

Liebe Sportfreundinnen, liebe Sportfreunde!

Am 20. November 2020 fand der 68. FIL-Kongress erstmals in Form einer Videokonferenz statt, der zudem als Live-Stream öffentlich übertragen wurde. Am Sitzungsort Großgmain in Österreich waren die FIL-Exekutive und der Wahlausschuss unter der Leitung des Vorsitzenden des Rechtsausschusses, Dr. Christan Krähe, persönlich anwesend. Am Vortag fand eine Sitzung der FIL-Exekutive in Vorbereitung des FIL-Kongresses statt. Wir möchten Sie nachfolgend über die wichtigsten Ergebnisse der Exekutivsitzung und des 68. FIL-Kongresses informieren:

- Aufgrund der derzeitigen COVID 19 Situation können die 42. Junioren-Europameisterschaften/ 1. und 2. JWC in Königssee leider nicht zum geplanten Zeitraum am 14.- 20. Dezember 2020 durchgeführt werden. Über einen eventuellen Ersatztermin werden wir Sie zu gegebener Zeit informieren.
- Irland wurde als provisorisches Mitglied der FIL aufgenommen, unter dem Vorbehalt, dass die vom irischen Rennrodelverband angekündigte Anerkennung durch das Nationale Olympische Komitee Irlands tatsächlich erfolgt.
- Der Strategieplan "Slide 2026" wurde vom Kongress bestätigt und wird uns in den kommenden sechs Jahren richtungsweisend dienen. (Anlage 1)
- Auf Antrage der Exekutive und des Rechtsausschusses, kann die Exekutive der FIL zukünftig Entscheidungen, die gemäß Ziffer 4.2.5 der Statuten (einschließlich der Abnahme der Jahresrechnung und des Haushaltsvoranschlages sowie Änderungen und Ergänzungen der IRO, jedoch ausschließlich der Wahlen der Organe), eigentlich dem Kongress vorbehalten sind, in dringlichen Ausnahmefällen vorläufig treffen, wenn wegen einer Gefahr im Verzug diese Entscheidungen keinen Aufschub bis zum nächsten ordentlichen Kongress dulden. Die Exekutive hat die von ihr getroffenen Dringlichkeitsentscheidungen dem nächsten Kongress zur definitiven Beschlussfassung vorzulegen.
- Der geänderte FIL/WADA Anti-Doping-Code wurde vom Kongress bestätigt und tritt zum 1. Januar 2021 in Kraft. (Anlage 2)
- Ein Dringlichkeitsantrag der Medizinischen Kommission, wonach neben Rennärzten zukünftig auch Notfallsanitäter bei FIL-Veranstaltungen zum Einsatz kommen dürfen, wurde vom Kongress bestätigt. (Anlage 3)

- Folgende Meisterschaften wurden per elektronischer, geheimer Wahl vergeben:

<u>Kunstbahn:</u> FIL-Junioren-Weltmeisterschaften 2022 FIL-Junioren-Weltmeisterschaften 2023

FIL-Weltmeisterschaften 2024

Winterberg/GER Bludenz/AUT (Bedingung: Austragung eines Junioren-Weltcups in der Saison 2021/2022) Altenberg/GER

Naturbahn: FIL-Junioren-Weltmeisterschaften 2022 Jaufental/ITA

 FIL-Präsident Josef Fendt hat sein Amt, wie bereits im vergangenen Winter angekündigt, aus persönlichen Gründen nach 26 Jahren zurückgelegt, wodurch Neuwahlen nötig wurden. Diese wurden durch die Wahlkommission unter dem Vorsitz von Dr. Christian Krähe, Vorsitzender des FIL-Rechtsausschusses, in elektronischer, geheimer Wahl, mit folgendem Ergebnis durchgeführt:

FIL-Präsident	Einars Fogelis/LAT
FIL-Generalsekretär	Dwight Bell/USA
Mitglied der Exekutive	Sorin Buta/ROU

Vlasta Vavruskova/CZE wurde zum Ersatzmitglied der Revisionskommission gewählt.

- Der **70. FIL-Kongress 2022** wird auf Antrag des Lettischen Rennrodelverbandes und nach erfolgter elektronischer, geheimer Abstimmung in Lettland stattfinden.

**IOC-Präsident Dr. Thomas Bach** überraschten seinen "langjährigen Weggefährten und Freund" Josef Fendt in einer sehr persönlichen und bewegenden Videobotschaft und mit der Auszeichnung mit dem **Olympischen Orden** während des Online-Kongress. Der Olympische Orden wurde vorerst virtuell verliehen.

Nach geheimer Abstimmung der Mitgliedsverbände der FIL im Vorfeld wurde Josef Fendt zum **Ehrenpräsidenten der FIL** ernannt und zum Abschied mit "Standing Ovations", viel Lob und Geschenken belohnt.

Wir wünschen Josef Fendt alles Gute für seinen wohlverdienten "FIL-Ruhestand". Seinem Nachfolger Einars Fogelis, dem neuen Generalsekretär Dwight Bell sowie dem neu gewählten Exekutivmitglied Sorin Buta wünschen wir viel Erfolg und Freude mit ihren neuen Aufgaben.

Abschließend möchte ich Sie noch wie folgt informieren:

- Wie Sie vermutlich bereits vernommen haben, haben das Organisationskomitee der Olympischen Winterspiele 2022 in Peking (BOCOG) und die FIL in einer Videokonferenz mit Einbindung des IOC beschlossen, den **Rennrodel-Testwettbewerb und die internationale Trainingswoche** auf der erst kürzlich erfolgreich homologierten Rennrodel- und Bobbahn in **Yanqing/CHN** zu verschieben. Diese Veranstaltungen werden im November 2021 stattfinden, detaillierte Informationen dazu erfolgen demnächst. Grund für die Verschiebung ist die aktuelle Entwicklung der COVID-19 Pandemie.

Es wird derzeit nach einem Ersatzort für diesen EBERSPÄCHER-Weltcup 2020/2021 gesucht.

- Die Arbeitsgruppe Olympische Regeln hat in Abstimmung mit dem IOC aufgrund von COVID-19 Änderungen des Qualifikationssystems f
  ür die Olympischen Winterspiele 2022 in Peking ausgearbeitet, das aktualisierte und vom IOC best
  ätigte Dokument ersehen Sie in Anlage 4.
- Ebenso musste leider der 1. Naturbahn-Weltcup in Kühtai witterungsbedingt abgesagt werden, es ist geplant, in Winterleiten /AUT nun einen Doppel- Weltcup auszurichten.

Wir wünschen allen Athletinnen und Athleten mit ihren Teams eine erfolgreiche, unfallfreie Saison. Hoffen wir gemeinsam, dass die umfangreiche Arbeit unserer COVID-19 AG belohnt wird und unsere FIL-Rennen wie geplant stattfinden können.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen

Christoph Schweiger Exekutivdirektor

4 Anlagen

FIL Bulletin No. 74 - Anlage 1



# THE FASTEST SPORT ON ICE SLIDE 2026



© Eslag

# ÜBER DIE STRATEGIE

Seit 2011, als die letzte FIL-Strategie verabschiedet wurde, haben bedeutende Ereignisse stattgefunden: Die Feier zum 50-jährigen Jubiläum der Kunsteisbahn am Königssee und die Einführung neuer Sportdisziplinen wie Team-Staffel und Sprintrennen sind nur einige davon. Das Vermögen der FIL wurde kontinuierlich erhöht. Nicht nur aufgrund der ständig höheren Beiträge des Internationalen Olympischen Komitees (IOC) nach den Olympischen Winterspielen (OWS), sondern auch Dank der

Gewinnung neuer Sponsoren, Fernsehverträge, etc. In diesem Zeitraum wurde das FIL-Unterstützungsprogramm enorm erweitert. Es ist jedoch auch zu bemerken, dass viele der in der vorherigen Strategie festgelegten Ziele auch heute ihre Relevanz nicht verloren haben. Die Steigerung der globalen Attraktivität des Rennrodelsports, die Nutzung der Macht der neuen Medien, die Gewährleistung einer größeren Transparenz der Organisation und die Verbesserung der Leistungsfähigkeit innerhalb der olympischen Wintersportverbände stehen noch auf der Tagesordnung.

Die Welt verändert sich rapide. Generationen, Technologien und Gewohnheiten ändern sich. Das Internet hat die Alltagsgewohnheiten verändert und es bleibt die Frage, wie die nächste Generation mit der Welt des Schlittensports digital und physisch verbunden werden kann. Es ist an der Zeit, ein Paradigma der FIL zu verändern und den Geist des Rennrodelns und des Sports zu entfalten. Es ist an der Zeit, all die Schlittensport-Aktivitäten zu vereinen und diese Erfahrung mit Millionen von Menschen auf der ganzen Welt zu teilen. Wir als FIL müssen Wege finden, das Rennrodelerlebnis so zu vermitteln, dass sich die Öffentlichkeit mit unserem Sport verbindet. Anstatt die Menschen zum Sport zu bringen, müssen wir den Sport zu den Menschen bringen!

Als Organisation hat die FIL bereits wichtige Schritte unternommen, die Komponenten umzusetzen, die für einen Olympischen Sport notwendig sind. Jetzt ist es an der Zeit, die bestehende Situation zu überprüfen, zu bewerten und eine neue strategische Vision für die nächsten 6 Jahre vorzulegen, damit die Entwicklung und das Wachstum der FIL weiterhin gewährleistet werden können.



# **STRUKTUR DER** PLANUNGSDOKUMENTE

### **STRATEGIE SLIDE 2026**

### **OPERATIVE PLÄNE**

Das Management-Team

**Dieses Dokument** definiert die wichtigsten Aspekte der FIL-Zukunft:

- o Mission
- Vision
- o Werte
- Kernansprüche/ Strategische Prioritäten
- o 7iele
- Die gewünschten Ergebnisse nach Meilensteinen für 2022-2026

erstellt jährlich operative Pläne, um eine Verbindung zwischen der langfristigen Strategie und den Gremien und Mitarbeitern herzustellen. Diese beinhalten:

o Ziele • Die gewünschten Ergebnisse

- Spezifische Aufgaben und Projekte
- o Fristen

3

• Verantwortlichkeiten

### BUDGET

Der Haushalt wird von den FIL-Mitarbeitern und dem Finanzchef erstellt, um die operativen Pläne mit dem Budget und den Ausgabenprioritäten der Organisation zu verknüpfen. Der Haushalt beinhaltet:

• Einnahmen o Ausgaben o Investitionen

## **FIL WERTE**

Die FIL plädiert für die folgenden Schlüsselwerte im Sport: Fairness, Integrität, Verantwortung, Sicherheit und Respekt. Von allen Interessengruppen (Stakehoders) innerhalb der FIL-Mitgliedschaft nationalen Verbänden, Athleten, Trainern, Funktionären und Veranstaltern - wird erwartet, dass sie diese Werte im Rahmen ihres Einsatzes und ihrer Aktivitäten in Bezug auf unseren Sport respektieren. Die FIL anerkennt und befolgt auch alle Fairplay-Standards, einschließlich (aber nicht beschränkt auf) den von der Welt-Anti-Doping-Agentur erstellten Anti-Doping-Code.

## **FIL MISSION**

Unsere Mission ist es, die Stimme des Rennrodelsports zu vereinen, um durch die Kraft des Sports eine starke Gemeinschaft aufzubauen und dadurch eine sicherere, gerechtere und integrativere Welt zu erschaffen.

## **FIL VISION**

Unsere Vision ist es, alle Aspekte des Massensports zu vereinigen und unseren Athleten. Trainern und der weiten Gemeinschaft des Rennrodelsports die besten Möglichkeiten zu bieten, ihr höchstes Potenzial in allen Aspekten der Olympischen Spiele zu entfalten.

## KERNANSPRÜCHE / STRATEGISCHE PRIORITÄTEN

Dieser Strategieplan identifiziert fünf Schwerpunktbereiche mit strategischen Zielen und Zielsetzungen, um die FIL auf ein neues Niveau zu heben und ein Beispiel zu geben für die gesamte Sportgemeinschaft.

EXPANSION DER FIL-REICHWEITE WELTWEIT	ATTRAKTIVITÄT FÜR ALLE MEDIENKANÄLE	ZUNAHME DER MASSENBETEILIGUNG AM RODELN	WEITERENTWICKELN UNSERER KERNSPORTARTEN	GOOD GOVERNANCE DER FIL ALS ORGANISATION
Wir müssen unsere Reichweite weltweit erweitern und alle Aspekte der Schlittensport- Aktivitäten sowohl für den Sport als auch für die Freizeit vereinen.	Viel mehr denn je ist es heute wichtig, in den digitalen Medien präsent zu sein und auf Anfrage attraktive Inhalte zur Verfügung zu stellen.	Den Schlittensport der breiten Öffentlichkeit zugänglich machen und in die täglichen sportlichen Aktivitäten integrieren, um eine Grundlage für das Wachstum unserer Gemeinschaft zu schaffen.	Kunstbahn und Naturbahn sind unsere Grundsportarten. Ihre Entwicklung und Verbesserung muss mit der Zeit Schritt halten. Ebenso muss die Anpassung an neue Trends in der Kommunikation und in den Technologien sichergestellt sein.	Zur Erreichung der gesetzten Ziele muss eine unterstützende, transparente und gut geführte Struktur geschaffen werden.

# PRIORITÄT NR. 1 / Expansion der FIL-Reichweite weltweit

Vorbereitet von der Arbeitsgruppe unter der Leitung von Herrn Dwight Bell



- 1. Betrachtung der FIL als "Dachverband" für die mit ihr verbundenen Schlittensportarten, sowohl im Leistungs- als auch im Freizeitsport
  - Zusammenschließen mit anderen Schlittensport- und Wintersportorganisationen weltweit, einschließlich Rollenrodeln- und Straßenrodeln.

### 2. Entwicklung einer neuen Markenstrategie und Botschaft für die Welt

- Ein neues Branding der FIL, um mehr Anziehungskraft auf die Öffentlichkeit auszuüben und dennoch gleichzeitig mit dem elitären Status eines Olympischen Sports herauszuragen. Ein neues Logo, eine neue Marke und ein neues Image entwickeln, die in sämtlicher Kommunikation der FIL und in allen Bildern, auch bei Veranstaltungen, Funktionären und Aktivitäten, ebenso wie gegenüber FIL-Sponsoren, Fans und Zuschauern verwendet werden.
- Unter Beibehaltung der Verwendung des Wortes Rennrodeln (Luge) sollten die Begriffe Schlitten, Schlittenfahren (Sled, Sledding) oder Rodel(n) (Toboggan, Tobogganing) in der Marke und in der Kommunikation stärker vorkommen.
- Neue dynamische Website und verbesserte Reichweite für soziale Medien / PR / Marketing.

### 3. Ermutigung der NFs, einfache Schlittensportveranstaltungen / Wettbewerbe zu entwickeln und zu betreuen

- Nutzung von Skigebietsprogrammen, um die Massenbeteiligung zu fördern. Herstellung von Freizeitschlitten und Verkauf im Einzelhandel an die breite Öffentlichkeit.
- Zusammenarbeit mit den NFs, um ein Sommer-Rekrutierungs- und Entwicklungsprogramm auf Rollenschlitten zu schaffen und zu nutzen. Es ist kostengünstig und wird im Sommer durchgeführt, wenn junge Sportler Schulferien haben. Es ist in Gemeinden in strategisch vorteilhaften Standorten durchführbar.
- Erstellen einer "Rennrodel-Toolbox" für Mitgliedsverbände, einschließlich der Entwicklung von Basis-/Anfänger Schnee- /Eisbahnen, um die Entwicklung des Rennrodelsports in den Mitgliedsländern für nachhaltige Programme zu unterstützen und zu beschleunigen.



### 4. Etablierung regionaler Hauptzentren für Training und Wettbewerbe

- o Gründung von Hubs in Europa, Nordamerika und Asien.
- o Durchführung einer Bestandsaufnahme aller Bahnen weltweit, die für das regionale Hub-System verwendet werden könnten, mit Schwerpunkt auf olympische Bahnen, die derzeit NICHT genutzt werden.
- Nutzung der Bahnen in den wichtigsten Hubs, um die Entwicklung von Athleten aus den Ländern zu fördern, die sich geografisch in der Nähe der jeweiligen Hubs befinden.
- o Etablierung von Weltcups in allen drei Hubs für Effizienz und globale Präsenz.

### 5. Standardisieren von Schlitten

 Standardisierte Schlitten, wie sie f
ür Damen-Doppelsitzer entwickelt wurden, k
önnen auf alle Disziplinen ausgedehnt werden, wodurch die Eintrittsbarrieren auf Eliteebene gesenkt und eine bessere Verbindung zum grundlegenden Rennrodeln geschaffen wird.

## UNSER HANDLUNGSPLAN BIS 2026

	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Strategieentwicklung, um eine Dachorganisation für Schlittensport-Aktivitäten weltweit zu sein						
Planvorbereitung für das neue Branding der FIL						
Etablierung der regionalen Hubs - Europa, Nordamerika und Asien						
Vollständige Bestandsaufnahme aller Bahnen der Welt						
Überarbeitung der Mitgliedschaftsrichtlinien und Erwartungen für NFs						
Erstellung der Rennrodelsport-Toolbox für NFs						
Erweiterung der Dachstruktur für den Rennrodelsport						
Weltweite Erweiterung und Verbesserung des Images der FIL als globale Dachstruktur des Rennrodelsports						
Aktivierung der regionalen Hubs im WC-Kalender						
Einführung standardisierter Schlitten im Juniorenbereich und in der Allgemeinen Klasse						
Erweiterung und Verbesserung von Veranstaltungen für Standard-/ Breitenrodeln in Wintersportgebieten						

# PRIORITÄT NR. 2 / Attraktivität für alle Medienkanäle

Vorbereitet von der Arbeitsgruppe unter der Leitung von Herrn Thomas Schwab

# HAUPTZIELE

- 1. Wesentlich verbesserte Schaffung von TV-Inhalten entsprechend den Anforderungen der modernen Medien und des Publikums
  - Ausstattung des FIL-TV-Koordinators mit der Befugnis, alle Aspekte der Produktion von TV-Inhalten zu überwachen (standardisierte Kamerapläne, Beleuchtung, innovative Kameratechniken usw.).
  - Einführung des FIL- TV- Kanals.
  - o Aktive Nutzung des FIL- TV in sozialen Medien.
  - Einführung eines Standards für die ganze Saison Corporate Design, Venue Dressing (einheitliches Veranstaltungs-Design).

### 2. Besonderes Augenmerk auf das Bewusstsein für die digitale Welt

- o Mitwirkung von Athleten und ehemaligen Athleten.
- o Mitwirkung von Influencern.
- Besonderes Augenmerk auf das Rennrodel-Image "The fastest sport on ice".
- o Besonderes Augenmerk auf Bewusstseinsindikatoren in der digitalen Welt.
- o Einführung von eSport-Spielen Rennrodeln und Sommerrodeln.

### 3. Verlagerung von Sport-basierten zu Event-basierten Programmen bei allen FIL-Veranstaltungen

- o Neugestaltung des Sports durch mehr "Action".
- Standards für Veranstaltungsprogramme / Unterhaltung schaffen.
- Integration attraktiver Rahmenprogramme in die Veranstaltungen.
- o Vergabe von Zuschüssen entsprechend der Leistung der jeweiligen Veranstaltung. Festlegung geeigneter Bewertungskriterien.
- Gemeinsame Wettbewerbe mit anderen Sportarten.

## UNSER HANDLUNGSPLAN BIS 2026

	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Neue Werbestrategie						
Neuer TV- Standard / FIL-TV Koordinator						
Einführung von FIL-TV						
Einführung eines Standards für das Fernsehen						
Verbesserung der TV-Techniken						
Einführung von Standards für Veranstaltungsprogramme						
Vergabeprogramm für Zuschüssen nach Leistung						

# PRIORITÄT NR. 3 /Steigerung der Teilnahme am Rennrodeln

Vorbereitet von der Arbeitsgruppe unter der Leitung von Fr. Natalia Gart

# HAUPTZIELE

- 1. Erweiterung des Aufgabenbereichs der FIL durch die Integration des Sektors "Breitensport" (*public sledding*)
- 2. Einführung einer Definition für den "Breitensport" alle Arten von Aktivitäten mit von der FIL anerkannten Schlitten für Kinder und Erwachsene
- 3. Ausarbeitung eines Reglements für den Breitensport (IRO):
  - Allgemeine Regelungen
     Schlitten und Ausrüstung
  - o Bahnen
- 4. Integration des Breitensports in den Verantwortungsbereich der FIL Änderung der FIL-Statuten
- 5. Ausarbeitung der methodischen Fachliteratur für Trainer und Fachleute
- 6. Konsolidierung der erarbeiteten Informationen zu einem Programm für den Breitensport
- 7. Bereitstellung des Breitensport-Programms an die NFs
- 8. Überwachung der Umsetzung des Breitensport-Programms durch die NFs durch die FIL



## UNSER HANDLUNGSPLAN BIS 2026

	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Status des Breitensports – Änderung der FIL-Statuten						
IRO für Breitensport						
Von der FIL zugelassene nicht professionelle Schlittenmodelle						
Von der FIL festgelegte nicht professionelle Bahnen						
Methodische Fachliteratur für Trainer und Fachleute						
Aktive Arbeit der NFs mit dem ausgearbeiteten Programm für den Breitensport						
Rodeln wird Standardsportart im Schulprogramm						
Entwicklung einer Schlittensport-Vereinskultur und Organisation von Wettbewerben für Amateurvereine auf verschiedenen Ebenen (Schulen, Klubs, Dörfer / Städte usw.)						
Ermöglichung des Übergangs talentierter Kinder (Amateurbewerbe) zum Leistungssport						



# PRIORITÄT NR. 4 /Entwicklung unserer Kernsportarten

## HAUPTZIELE FÜR DEN KUNSTBAHNSPORT

Vorbereitet von der Arbeitsgruppe unter der Leitung von Alexander Resch, Christoph Schweiger

## 1. Neugestaltung des Sportformats /Überdenken/Überarbeiten aller Rennrodeldisziplinen mit dem Ziel, in der digitalen Welt attraktiver zu sein (Schaffen einer dynamischeren und mehr authentischen Perspektive)

- o Schaffung eines Bewusstseins, dass Rennrodeln kein Nischensport, sondern ein Volkssport ist alle rodeln.
- Entwicklung von neuen Märkten, in denen unsere Sportler werbewirksam positioniert werden und Einnahmen aus dem Verkauf von Freizeitsportausrüstung und -zubehör erzielen.
- Realisierung eines neuen Erscheinungsbilds der Athleten, damit Athleten dynamischer und mehr wie Athleten aussehen und in der Lage sind, wie Athleten zu gehen.
- o Einführung neuer Werberegeln für Athleten und Trainer, um die Marketingeffekte für Sportler und nationale Verbände zu verbessern.

### 2. Neugestaltung des Wettbewerbsformats, um seine Spannung und Dynamik zu verbessern

- Überarbeitung des Sprint-Weltcups, um einerseits mehr Spannung zu erzeugen und andererseits kleinen oder neuen Nationen die Chance zu geben, an Weltcups teilzunehmen.
- Einführung neuer Startformate für die Wettkampfdisziplinen.
- Einführung eines neuen Start- und Zielprotokolls, um mehr Spannung und Dynamik zu erzeugen und mehr auf die Athleten und ihre Emotionen zu fokussieren.
- Neue Startsequenz, um eine systematische Spannung für einen Wettkampftag zu erzeugen, Highlights zu schaffen, die Besuchsdauer an der Bahn zu verkürzen und dadurch die Besucherzahl zu erhöhen. Reduzierung der aktivitätslosen Zeit.



### 3. Sportentwicklung für kleine und neue Rennrodelnationen

- Ausbildungsprogramme / Handbücher für Trainer und Athleten (einschließlich Bahnlinien). Gründung einer Rennrodelakademie für ein besseres Wissensmanagement und eine bessere Wissensweitergabe, um die Zeit für die Ausbildung der Athleten im Rennrodelsport zu verkürzen und die Anzahl neuer Sportler zu erhöhen, auch um weiterhin Teil des olympischen Programms zu bleiben.
- o Richtlinien / Handbücher für die Gewinnung neuer Athleten / Talentsuche.
- Implementierung von Standard-Rennrodelkomponenten zur Reduzierung der Entwickungskosten, insbesondere für kleine und wachsende Nationen, Schaffung von Folgemärkten für Freizeitrodeln, Verkürzung der Ausbildungszeit und Gewährleistung der Chancengleichheit für Sportler.
- Fokussieren auf die Erfahrung der Athleten und Bereitstellung des Fachwissens, um ihnen zu helfen, auf und abseits der Rennrodelbahn erfolgreich zu sein.

### UNSER HANDLUNGSPLAN FÜR RENNRODELN AUF KUNSTBAHN BIS 2026

	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Einführung des neuen Erscheinungsbilds der Athleten						
Überarbeitung der Werbung für Sportler						
Neues Format/Überarbeitung des Sprint-Weltcups						
Einführung eines neuen Startformats						
Neues Start- und Zielprotokoll						
Rennrodel-Akademie						
Schrittweise Einführung von Standardschlitten						

## HAUPTZIELE FÜR DEN NATURBAHNSPORT

Vorbereitet von der Arbeitsgruppe unter der Leitung von Karl Damian, Christoph Schweiger

### 1. Erhöhung der Attraktivität des Rennrodelns auf Naturbahn

- Neugestaltung des Sports in attraktiveren Formaten: Damenstaffel, Herrenstaffel, Parallelrennen und Knockout -Sprint (als Ersatz für das jetzige Verfolgungsrennen).
- Neugestaltung des Schlittens. Weitere zu berücksichtigende Aspekte sind die Lenkbarkeit und Sicherheit des Schlittens sowie eine vereinfachte Präparierung der Renn- und Trainingsausrüstung. Schlitten sollten in Zukunft flexibler einsetzbar sein.
- Neugestaltung der Sportausrüstung (Rennbekleidung, Schuhe usw.) das optische Erscheinungsbild unserer Athleten sollte professioneller und "cooler" werden.
- o Nutzen von Sportanlagen in Skiregionen und Großstädten.
- Ausbau der Medienpräsenz (Social Media, TV). Schwerpunkt auf Storytelling, gut produzierten Live-Stream in Kombination mit dem Vertrieb eines nachrichtlichen Beitrags und mehreren kurzen Social-Media-Highlight-Clips.
- o "Reaktivieren" der traditionellen Wintersportländer.

### 2. Optimierung des Naturbahn-Entwicklungsprogramms

- Aufbau der Rennrodel-Akademie mit dem Ziel, Trainer und Funktionäre auszubilden, talentierte Athleten in der FIL-Gruppe zu fördern, allgemeines Lehrmaterial zu erstellen, Beiträge für den Massensport zu liefern, mit Skigebieten zusammenzuarbeiten und Bahnen zu bauen.
- Handlungsplan für die Unabhängigkeit der Länder. Fokussieren auf die traditionellen Wintersportnationen. Die Länder durch Know-how unterstützen. Unterstützung der Zusammenarbeit zwischen kleineren Ländern oder Regionen.



### 3. Größtmögliche Selbstfinanzierung des Rennrodelns auf Naturbahn

• Sponsoring der Naturbahn mit Bezug auf den Massensport Rodeln.

### 4. Aufnahme des Naturbahnsports in das olympische Programm

• Die phasenweise Umsetzung des Erneuerungsprozesses der Naturbahn kann schrittweise in die Antragsunterlagen integriert werden (bis Oktober 2020).

### UNSER HANDLUNGSPLAN FÜR DAS RENNRODELN AUF NATURBAHN BIS 2026

	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Neue attraktive Rennformate						
Neues Sportgerät						
Abschluss von kurz-, mittel- und langfristigen Sponsoringverträgen						
Bewerbung für Olympische Spiele und YOG						
Neue Plattform um den Rennrodelsport						
Live-Shows von allen Weltcuprennen						
Pilotprojekt "Rennrodelzentrum" für Skiregionen						
Rennrodel-Academy						
Bewerbungsunterlagen für die Olympischen Spiele 2026						



# PRIORITÄT NR. 5 /Gute organisatorische Verwaltung der FIL

Vorbereitet von der Arbeitsgruppe unter der Leitung von Herrn Einars Fogelis



### 1. Befolgung der Best Practices für Good Governance gemäß den IOC-Richtlinien

- Transparenz, Integrität, Demokratie, Entwicklung, Solidarität, gegenseitige Kontrolle Schließen der Lücke zwischen selbstbewerteten und moderierten Ergebnissen und Erreichen von mindestens 3 Punkten in allen Indikatoren.
- Prüfung der Best Practices von und Zusammenarbeit mit relevanten IFs (wie IBSF).
- Gewährleistung der vollständigen Geschlechtergleichstellung bei Athletenquoten, Wettkampfformaten und -zeitplänen, Trainern und laufbahnspezifischen Übergangsprogrammen und Schaffung strategischer Mechanismen zur Erhöhung der Anzahl weiblicher Kandidatinnen für Führungspositionen im Allgemeinen sowie für Positionen in der Exekutive.
- 2. Aufbau der neuen Führungsstruktur der FIL von der Exekutive geführt, von Mitarbeitern angetrieben/geleitet, und Entwicklung einer effizienten Organisationskultur
  - o Ändern der Struktur, Regeln und Verfahren in der FIL.
  - o Ausbau der professionellen Fähigkeiten der Mitarbeiter in neuen Fachgebieten und Verantwortungsbereichen.
- 3. Ergänzung der Corporate Communication (Verbandskommunikation) und des Corporate Behaviour (Verbandsverhalten) der FIL
- 4. Festlegung von Richtlinien für NFs gemeinsam mit NF-Vertretern
- 5. Erstellen eines Online-Leitfadens mit Vorschlägen für Good Governance und Weiterleitung der Informationen über die NFs an Schulen, um das Bewusstsein für die Bedeutung von Good Governance zu schärfen.



# UNSER HANDLUNGSPLAN BIS 2026

	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Gründung einer Arbeitsgruppe zur Umstrukturierung der FIL						
Erreichen von mindestens 3 Punkten in der moderierten Punktzahl bei allen Indikatoren der IOC-Richtlinien, die im FIL Governance-Fragebogen des AIOWF IF implementiert sind						
Einführung der neuen Führungsstruktur der FIL						
Ausarbeitung und Umsetzung von Personalschulungsprogrammen						
Online-Leitfaden für Schulen						
Richtlinien für NFs						

### ZUSÄTZLICHE DOKUMENTE, DIE ZUSAMMEN MIT DIESER STRATEGIE ZU BERÜCKSICHTIGEN SIND

- 1. RAHMENSTRATEGIE "Safeguarding Athletes Involved Within the FIL from Bullying, Abuse, Harassment & Discrimination" ("Schutz der an der FIL beteiligten Athleten vor Mobbing, Missbrauch, Belästigung und Diskriminierung")
- 2. Die "Basic Universal Principles of Good Governance of the Olympic and Sports Movement" ("Grundprinzipien der Good Governance der olympischen- und Sportbewegung") des IOC als Leitfaden für die Umsetzung der Good Governance innerhalb der FIL



FRÜHLING 2020 16

# ÜBER DAS DOKUMENT

Im Herbst 2019 beschlossen die Mitglieder der Exekutive des Internationalen Rennrodelverbandes (FIL), eine Arbeitsgruppe "Strategie und Strukturen" zu gründen. Ziel der Gruppe war es, einen neuen Strategieplan für den Rennrodelsport bis 2026 zu erstellen, der auf dem FIL-Kongress 2020 vorgestellt werden sollte.

Dieses Dokument wurde in der Saison 2019-20 in gemeinsamer Arbeit der Arbeitsgruppe und mehrerer Untergruppen verfasst, an denen ein breites Spektrum von Interessengruppen der Rennrodelgemeinschaft beteiligt waren. Das Dokument wurde im Frühjahr 2020 von der FIL-Exekutive verabschiedet.

## Die Arbeitsgruppe, die diesen Plan erstellt hat, bestand aus folgenden Mitgliedern der Arbeitsgruppe "Strategie und Struktur" und den Mitgliedern der Rennrodelfamilie:

- **o** Alexander Resch
- o Britta Semmler
- Caroline Kammerlander
- **o Chris Mazdzer**
- **o** Christoph Schweiger
- **o** Claire Del Negro
- o Dr. Christian Krähe
- Dwight Bell
- **o Einars Fogelis**

- o Erin Warren
- **o Evi Mitterstieler**
- Fred Zimny
- Geoff Balme
- o Gerald Kammerlander
- o Gordy Sheer
- o Harald Rolfsen
- Harald Steyrer
- Heike Größwang

- o Helmut Ruetz
- o Jim Leahy
- o Josef Fendt
- o Karl Damian
- Margit Dengler-Paar
- o Markus Prock
- o Natalia Gart
- o Niclas Bentzer
- Peter Knauseder

- o Raluca Stramaturaru
- o Sorina Ticu
- **o Steve Harris**
- **o Summer Britcher**
- o Svein Romstad
- o Thomas Schwab
- **o Tim Farstad**
- o Tina Unterberger







### FIL ANTI-DOPING RULES (FADC)

Adopted on 20 November 2020 Takes effect on 1 January 2021

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTIO	DN3
ARTICLE 1	DEFINITION OF DOPING5
ARTICLE 2	ANTI-DOPING RULE VIOLATIONS
ARTICLE 3	PROOF OF DOPING9
ARTICLE 4	THE PROHIBITED LIST11
ARTICLE 5	TESTING AND INVESTIGATIONS16
ARTICLE 6	ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES
ARTICLE 7	RESULTS MANAGEMENT: RESPONSIBILITY, INITIAL REVIEW, NOTICE AND PROVISIONAL SUSPENSIONS
ARTICLE 8	RESULTS MANAGEMENT: RIGHT TO A FAIR HEARING AND NOTICE OF HEARING DECISION
ARTICLE 9	AUTOMATIC DISQUALIFICATION OF INDIVIDUAL RESULTS
ARTICLE 10	SANCTIONS ON INDIVIDUALS
ARTICLE 11	CONSEQUENCES TO TEAMS40
ARTICLE 12	SANCTIONS BY FIL AGAINST OTHER SPORTING BODIES41
ARTICLE 13	RESULTS MANAGEMENT: APPEALS41
ARTICLE 14	CONFIDENTIALITY AND REPORTING45
ARTICLE 15	IMPLEMENTATION OF DECISIONS
ARTICLE 16	STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS
ARTICLE 17	EDUCATION
ARTICLE 18	ADDITIONAL ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF NATIONAL FEDERATIONS51
ARTICLE 19	ADDITIONAL ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF FIL
ARTICLE 20	ADDITIONAL ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF ATHLETES
ARTICLE 21	ADDITIONAL ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF ATHLETE SUPPORT PERSONNEL
ARTICLE 22	ADDITIONAL ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF OTHER PERSONS SUBJECT TO THESE ANTI-DOPING RULES
ARTICLE 23	INTERPRETATION OF THE CODE
ARTICLE 24	FINAL PROVISIONS
APPENDIX 1	DEFINITIONS

#### FIL ANTI-DOPING RULES

#### INTRODUCTION

#### Preface

These Anti-Doping Rules are adopted and implemented in accordance with FIL's responsibilities under the *Code*, and in furtherance of FIL's continuing efforts to eradicate doping in sport.

These Anti-Doping Rules are sport rules governing the conditions under which sport is played. Aimed at enforcing anti-doping rules in a global and harmonized manner, they are distinct in nature from criminal and civil laws. They are not intended to be subject to or limited by any national requirements and legal standards applicable to criminal or civil proceedings, although they are intended to be applied in a manner which respects the principles of proportionality and human rights. When reviewing the facts and the law of a given case, all courts, arbitral tribunals and other adjudicating bodies should be aware of and respect the distinct nature of these Anti-Doping Rules, which implement the *Code*, and the fact that these rules represent the consensus of a broad spectrum of stakeholders around the world as to what is necessary to protect and ensure fair sport.

As provided in the *Code*, FIL shall be responsible for conducting all aspects of *Doping Control*. Any aspect of *Doping Control* or anti-doping *Education* may be delegated by FIL to a *Delegated Third Party*, such as the International Testing Agency (ITA), however, FIL shall require the *Delegated Third Party* to perform such aspects in compliance with the *Code*, *International Standards*, and these Anti-Doping Rules. FIL may delegate its adjudication responsibilities and the *Results Management* to the CAS Anti-Doping Division.

When FIL has delegated its responsibilities to implement part or all of *Doping Control* to the ITA or to other *Delegated Third Party*, any reference to FIL in these *Rules* should be intended as a reference to the ITA or to the other *Delegated Third Party*, where applicable and within the context of the aforementioned delegation. FIL shall always remain fully responsible for ensuring that any delegated aspects are performed in compliance with the *Code*.

Terms used in these Anti-Doping Rules that are defined terms from the Code and Appendix 1 are italicized.

Unless otherwise specified, references to Articles are references to Articles of these Anti-Doping Rules.

### Fundamental Rationale for the Code and FIL's Anti-Doping Rules

Anti-doping programs are founded on the intrinsic value of sport. This intrinsic value is often referred to as "the spirit of sport": the ethical pursuit of human excellence through the dedicated perfection of each *Athlete's* natural talents.

Anti-doping programs seek to protect the health of *Athletes* and to provide the opportunity for *Athletes* to pursue human excellence without the *Use* of *Prohibited Substances* and *Prohibited Methods*.

Anti-doping programs seek to maintain the integrity of sport in terms of respect for rules, other competitors, fair competition, a level playing field, and the value of clean sport to the world.

The spirit of sport is the celebration of the human spirit, body and mind. It is the essence of Olympism and is reflected in the values we find in and through sport, including:

- Health
- Ethics, fair play and honesty
- *Athletes'* rights as set forth in the *Code*
- Excellence in performance

FIL 2021 Anti-Doping Rules

- Character and Education
- Fun and joy
- Teamwork
- Dedication and commitment
- Respect for rules and laws
- Respect for self and other *Participants*
- Courage
- Community and solidarity

The spirit of sport is expressed in how we play true.

Doping is fundamentally contrary to the spirit of sport.

#### Scope of these Anti-Doping Rules

These Anti-Doping Rules shall apply to:

- (a) FIL, including its board members, directors, officers and specified employees, and *Delegated Third Parties* and their employees, who are involved in any aspect of *Doping Control*;
- (b) each of its National Federations, including their board members, directors, officers and specified employees, and Delegated Third Parties and their employees, who are involved in any aspect of Doping Control;
- (c) the following Athletes, Athlete Support Personnel and other Persons:
  - (i) all Athletes and Athlete Support Personnel who are members of FIL, or of any National Federation, or of any member or affiliate organization of any National Federation (including any clubs, teams, associations, or leagues);
  - (ii) all Athletes and Athlete Support Personnel who participate in such capacity in Events, Competitions and other activities organized, convened, authorized or recognized by FIL, or any National Federation, or by any member or affiliate organization of any National Federation (including any clubs, teams, associations, or leagues), wherever held;
  - (iii) any other Athlete or Athlete Support Personnel or other Person who, by virtue of an accreditation, a license or other contractual arrangement, or otherwise, is subject to the authority of FIL, or of any National Federation, or of any member or affiliate organization of any National Federation (including any clubs, teams, associations, or leagues), for purposes of anti-doping; and
  - (iv) Athletes who are not regular members of FIL or of one of its National Federations but who want to be eligible to compete in a particular International Event.

Each of the abovementioned *Persons* is deemed, as a condition of his or her participation or involvement in the sport, to have agreed to and be bound by these Anti-Doping Rules, and to have submitted to the authority of FIL to enforce these Anti-Doping Rules, including any *Consequences* for the breach thereof, and to the jurisdiction of the hearing panels specified in Article 8 and Article 13 to hear and determine cases and appeals brought under these Anti-Doping Rules.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> [Comment: Where the Code requires a Person other than an Athlete or Athlete Support Person to be bound by the Code, such Person would of course not be subject to Sample collection or Testing, and would not be subject to an anti-doping rule violation under the Code for Use or Possession of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method. Rather, such Person would only be subject to discipline for a violation of Code Articles 2.5 (Tampering), 2.7 (Trafficking), 2.8 (Administration), 2.9 (Complicity), 2.10 (Prohibited Association) and 2.11 (Retaliation). Furthermore, such Person would be subject to the additional roles and responsibilities according to Code Article 21.3. Also, the obligation to require an employee to be bound by the Code is subject to applicable law.

Within the overall pool of *Athletes* set out above who are bound by and required to comply with these Anti-Doping Rules, the following *Athletes* shall be considered to be *International-Level Athletes* for the purposes of these Anti-Doping Rules, and, therefore, the specific provisions in these Anti-Doping Rules applicable to *International-Level Athletes* (e.g., *Testing*, *TUEs*, whereabouts, and *Results Management*) shall apply to such *Athletes*:

- (a) Athletes who compete in any of the following International Events: any event under the jurisdiction of FIL, particularly World Championships, Continental Championships, World and Continental Cups, others from Congress or from Executive Board approved competitions;
- (b) Athletes included in FIL's Registered Testing Pool and Testing Pool, if any.

### ARTICLE 1 DEFINITION OF DOPING

Doping is defined as the occurrence of one or more of the anti-doping rule violations set forth in Article 2.1 through Article 2.11 of these Anti-Doping Rules.

### ARTICLE 2 ANTI-DOPING RULE VIOLATIONS

The purpose of Article 2 is to specify the circumstances and conduct which constitute anti-doping rule violations. Hearings in doping cases will proceed based on the assertion that one or more of these specific rules have been violated.

Athletes or other *Persons* shall be responsible for knowing what constitutes an anti-doping rule violation and the substances and methods which have been included on the *Prohibited List*.

The following constitute anti-doping rule violations:

### 2.1 Presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers in an Athlete's Sample

- 2.1.1 It is the *Athletes'* personal duty to ensure that no *Prohibited Substance* enters their bodies. *Athletes* are responsible for any *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers* found to be present in their *Samples*. Accordingly, it is not necessary that intent, *Fault*, negligence or knowing *Use* on the *Athlete's* part be demonstrated in order to establish an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.1.<sup>2</sup>
- 2.1.2 Sufficient proof of an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.1 is established by any of the following: presence of a *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers* in the *Athlete's* A *Sample* where the *Athlete* waives analysis of the B *Sample* and the B *Sample* is not analyzed; or, where the *Athlete's* B *Sample* is analyzed and the analysis of the *Athlete's* B *Sample* confirms the presence of the *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers* found in the *Athlete's* A *Sample*; or where the *Athlete's* A or B *Sample* is split into two (2) parts and the analysis of the split *Sample* confirms the presence of the analysis of the confirmation part of the split *Sample* confirms the presence of the analysis of the confirmation part of the split *Sample* confirms the presence of the analysis of the confirmation part of the split *Sample* confirms the presence of the analysis of the confirmation part of the split *Sample* confirms the presence of the analysis of the confirmation part of the split *Sample* confirms the presence of the analysis of the confirmation part of the split *Sample* confirms the presence of the analysis of the confirmation part of the split *Sample* confirms the presence of the analysis of the confirmation part of the split *Sample* confirms the presence of the analysis of the confirmation part of the split *Sample* confirms the presence of the analysis of the confirmation part of the split *Sample* confirme the part of the split *Sample* confirme the part of the split split confirme the part of the split split confirme the presence of the split s

FIL shall ensure that, as per Article 19 of these Anti-Doping Rules, any arrangements with their board members, directors, officers, and specified employees, as well as with the Delegated Third Parties and their employees – either employment, contractual or otherwise – have explicit provisions incorporated according to which such Persons are bound by, agree to comply with these Anti-Doping Rules, and agree on the FIL's authority to solve the anti-doping cases.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> [Comment to Article 2.1.1: An anti-doping rule violation is committed under this Article without regard to an Athlete's Fault. This rule has been referred to in various CAS decisions as "Strict Liability". An Athlete's Fault is taken into consideration in determining the Consequences of this anti-doping rule violation under Article 10. This principle has consistently been upheld by CAS.]

*Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers* found in the first part of the split *Sample* or the *Athlete* waives analysis of the confirmation part of the split *Sample*.<sup>3</sup>

- **2.1.3** Excepting those substances for which a *Decision Limit* is specifically identified in the *Prohibited List* or a *Technical Document*, the presence of any reported quantity of a *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers* in an *Athlete's Sample* shall constitute an anti-doping rule violation.
- **2.1.4** As an exception to the general rule of Article 2.1, the *Prohibited List, International Standards* or *Technical Documents* may establish special criteria for reporting or the evaluation of certain *Prohibited Substances*.

### 2.2 Use or Attempted Use by an Athlete of a Prohibited Substance or a Prohibited Method<sup>4</sup>

- **2.2.1** It is the *Athletes'* personal duty to ensure that no *Prohibited Substance* enters their bodies and that no *Prohibited Method* is *Used*. Accordingly, it is not necessary that intent, *Fault*, negligence or knowing *Use* on the *Athlete's* part be demonstrated in order to establish an anti-doping rule violation for *Use* of a *Prohibited Substance* or a *Prohibited Method*.
- **2.2.2** The success or failure of the Use or Attempted Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method is not material. It is sufficient that the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method was Used or Attempted to be Used for an anti-doping rule violation to be committed.<sup>5</sup>

### 2.3 Evading, Refusing or Failing to Submit to Sample Collection by an Athlete

Evading *Sample* collection; or refusing or failing to submit to *Sample* collection without compelling justification after notification by a duly authorized *Person.*<sup>6</sup>

For example, Use may be established based upon reliable analytical data from the analysis of an A Sample (without confirmation from an analysis of a B Sample) or from the analysis of a B Sample alone where the Anti-Doping Organization provides a satisfactory explanation for the lack of confirmation in the other Sample.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> [Comment to Article 2.1.2: The Anti-Doping Organization with Results Management responsibility may, at its discretion, choose to have the B Sample analyzed even if the Athlete does not request the analysis of the B Sample.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> [Comment to Article 2.2: It has always been the case that Use or Attempted Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method may be established by any reliable means. As noted in the Comment to Article 3.2, unlike the proof required to establish an antidoping rule violation under Article 2.1, Use or Attempted Use may also be established by other reliable means such as admissions by the Athlete, witness statements, documentary evidence, conclusions drawn from longitudinal profiling, including data collected as part of the Athlete Biological Passport, or other analytical information which does not otherwise satisfy all the requirements to establish "Presence" of a Prohibited Substance under Article 2.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> [Comment to Article 2.2.2: Demonstrating the "Attempted Use" of a Prohibited Substance or a Prohibited Method requires proof of intent on the Athlete's part. The fact that intent may be required to prove this particular anti-doping rule violation does not undermine the Strict Liability principle established for violations of Article 2.1 and violations of Article 2.2 in respect of Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method.

An Athlete's Use of a Prohibited Substance constitutes an anti-doping rule violation unless such substance is not prohibited Outof-Competition and the Athlete's Use takes place Out-of-Competition. (However, the presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers in a Sample collected In-Competition is a violation of Article 2.1 regardless of when that substance might have been administered.)]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> [Comment to Article 2.3: **Fehler! Nur Hauptdokument**For example, it would be an anti-doping rule violation of "evading Sample collection" if it were established that an Athlete was deliberately avoiding a Doping Control official to evade notification or Testing.

#### 2.4 Whereabouts Failures by an *Athlete*

Any combination of three (3) missed tests and/or filing failures, as defined in the *International Standard* for *Results Management*, within a twelve (12) month period by an *Athlete* in a *Registered Testing Pool*.

### 2.5 *Tampering* or *Attempted Tampering* with any part of *Doping Control* by an *Athlete* or Other *Person*

#### 2.6 Possession of a Prohibited Substance or a Prohibited Method by an Athlete or Athlete Support Person

- **2.6.1** Possession by an Athlete In-Competition of any Prohibited Substance or any Prohibited Method, or Possession by an Athlete Out-of-Competition of any Prohibited Substance or any Prohibited Method which is prohibited Out-of-Competition unless the Athlete establishes that the Possession is consistent with a Therapeutic Use Exemption ("TUE") granted in accordance with Article 4.4 or other acceptable justification.
- **2.6.2** Possession by an Athlete Support Person In-Competition of any Prohibited Substance or any Prohibited Method, or Possession by an Athlete Support Person Out-of-Competition of any Prohibited Substance or any Prohibited Method which is prohibited Out-of-Competition in connection with an Athlete, Competition or training, unless the Athlete Support Person establishes that the Possession is consistent with a TUE granted to an Athlete in accordance with Article 4.4 or other acceptable justification.<sup>7</sup>
- 2.7 Trafficking or Attempted Trafficking in any Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method by an Athlete or Other Person
- 2.8 Administration or Attempted Administration by an Athlete or Other Person to any Athlete In-Competition of any Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method, or Administration or Attempted Administration to any Athlete Out-of-Competition of any Prohibited Substance or any Prohibited Method that is Prohibited Out-of-Competition

#### 2.9 Complicity or *Attempted Complicity* by an *Athlete* or Other *Person*

Assisting, encouraging, aiding, abetting, conspiring, covering up or any other type of intentional complicity or *Attempted* complicity involving an anti-doping rule violation, *Attempted* anti-doping rule violation or violation of Article 10.14.1 by another *Person.*<sup>8</sup>

### 2.10 Prohibited Association by an *Athlete* or Other *Person*

A violation of "failing to submit to Sample collection" may be based on either intentional or negligent conduct of the Athlete, while "evading" or "refusing" Sample collection contemplates intentional conduct by the Athlete.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> [Comment to Articles 2.6.1 and 2.6.2: Acceptable justification would not include, for example, buying or Possessing a Prohibited Substance for purposes of giving it to a friend or relative, except under justifiable medical circumstances where that Person had a physician's prescription, e.g., buying Insulin for a diabetic child.]

<sup>[</sup>Comment to Article 2.6.1 and 2.6.2: Acceptable justification may include, for example, (a) an Athlete or a team doctor carrying Prohibited Substances or Prohibited Methods for dealing with acute and emergency situations (e.g., an epinephrine auto-injector), or (b) an Athlete Possessing a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method for therapeutic reasons shortly prior to applying for and receiving a determination on a TUE.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> [Comment to Article 2.9: Complicity or Attempted Complicity may include either physical or psychological assistance.]

- **2.10.1** Association by an *Athlete* or other *Person* subject to the authority of an *Anti-Doping Organization* in a professional or sport-related capacity with any *Athlete Support Person* who:
  - **2.10.1.1** If subject to the authority of an *Anti-Doping Organization*, is serving a period of *Ineligibility*; or
  - **2.10.1.2** If not subject to the authority of an *Anti-Doping Organization* and where *Ineligibility* has not been addressed in a *Results Management* process pursuant to the *Code*, has been convicted or found in a criminal, disciplinary or professional proceeding to have engaged in conduct which would have constituted a violation of anti-doping rules if *Code* compliant rules had been applicable to such *Person*. The disqualifying status of such *Person* shall be in force for the longer of six (6) years from the criminal, professional or disciplinary decision or the duration of the criminal, disciplinary or professional sanction imposed; or
  - **2.10.1.3** Is serving as a front or intermediary for an individual described in Article 2.10.1.1 or 2.10.1.2.
- **2.10.2** To establish a violation of Article 2.10, an *Anti-Doping Organization* must establish that the *Athlete* or other *Person* knew of the *Athlete Support Person*'s disqualifying status.

The burden shall be on the *Athlete* or other *Person* to establish that any association with an *Athlete Support Person* described in Article 2.10.1.1 or 2.10.1.2 is not in a professional or sport-related capacity and/or that such association could not have been reasonably avoided.

Anti-Doping Organizations that are aware of Athlete Support Personnel who meet the criteria described in Article 2.10.1.1, 2.10.1.2, or 2.10.1.3 shall submit that information to WADA.<sup>9</sup>

### 2.11 Acts by an *Athlete* or Other *Person* to Discourage or Retaliate Against Reporting to Authorities

Where such conduct does not otherwise constitute a violation of Article 2.5:

**2.11.1** Any act which threatens or seeks to intimidate another *Person* with the intent of discouraging the *Person* from the good-faith reporting of information that relates to an alleged anti-doping rule violation or alleged non-compliance with the *Code* to *WADA*, an *Anti-Doping Organization*, law enforcement, regulatory or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> [Comment to Article 2.10: Athletes and other Persons must not work with coaches, trainers, physicians or other Athlete Support Personnel who are Ineligible on account of an anti-doping rule violation or who have been criminally convicted or professionally disciplined in relation to doping. This also prohibits association with any other Athlete who is acting as a coach or Athlete Support Person while serving a period of Ineligibility. Some examples of the types of association which are prohibited include: obtaining training, strategy, technique, nutrition or medical advice; obtaining therapy, treatment or prescriptions; providing any bodily products for analysis; or allowing the Athlete Support Person to serve as an agent or representative. Prohibited association need not involve any form of compensation.

While Article 2.10 does not require the Anti-Doping Organization to notify the Athlete or other Person about the Athlete Support Person's disqualifying status, such notice, if provided, would be important evidence to establish that the Athlete or other Person knew about the disqualifying status of the Athlete Support Person.]

professional disciplinary body, hearing body or *Person* conducting an investigation for *WADA* or an *Anti-Doping Organization*.

**2.11.2** Retaliation against a *Person* who, in good faith, has provided evidence or information that relates to an alleged anti-doping rule violation or alleged non-compliance with the *Code* to *WADA*, an *Anti-Doping Organization*, law enforcement, regulatory or professional disciplinary body, hearing body or *Person* conducting an investigation for *WADA* or an *Anti-Doping Organization*.

For purposes of Article 2.11, retaliation, threatening and intimidation include an act taken against such *Person* either because the act lacks a good faith basis or is a disproportionate response.<sup>10</sup>

### ARTICLE 3 PROOF OF DOPING

#### 3.1 Burdens and Standards of Proof

FIL shall have the burden of establishing that an anti-doping rule violation has occurred. The standard of proof shall be whether FIL has established an anti-doping rule violation to the comfortable satisfaction of the hearing panel bearing in mind the seriousness of the allegation which is made. This standard of proof in all cases is greater than a mere balance of probability but less than proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Where these Anti-Doping Rules place the burden of proof upon the *Athlete* or other *Person* alleged to have committed an anti-doping rule violation to rebut a presumption or establish specified facts or circumstances, except as provided in Articles 3.2.2 and 3.2.3, the standard of proof shall be by a balance of probability.<sup>11</sup>

### 3.2 Methods of Establishing Facts and Presumptions

Facts related to anti-doping rule violations may be established by any reliable means, including admissions.<sup>12</sup> The following rules of proof shall be applicable in doping cases:

**3.2.1** Analytical methods or *Decision Limits* approved by *WADA* after consultation within the relevant scientific community or which have been the subject of peer review are presumed to be scientifically valid. Any *Athlete* or other *Person* seeking to challenge whether the conditions for such presumption have been met or to rebut this presumption of scientific validity shall, as a condition precedent to any such challenge, first notify *WADA* of the challenge and the basis of the challenge. The initial hearing body, appellate body or *CAS*, on its own initiative, may also inform *WADA* of any such challenge. Within ten (10) days of *WADA's* receipt of such notice and the case file related to such

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> [Comment to Article 2.11.2: This Article is intended to protect Persons who make good faith reports, and does not protect Persons who knowingly make false reports.]

<sup>[</sup>Comment to Article 2.11.2: Retaliation would include, for example, actions that threaten the physical or mental well-being or economic interests of the reporting Persons, their families or associates. Retaliation would not include an Anti-Doping Organization asserting in good faith an anti-doping rule violation against the reporting Person. For purposes of Article 2.11, a report is not made in good faith where the Person making the report knows the report to be false.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> [Comment to Article 3.1: This standard of proof required to be met by FIL is comparable to the standard which is applied in most countries to cases involving professional misconduct.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> [Comment to Article 3.2: For example, FIL may establish an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.2 based on the Athlete's admissions, the credible testimony of third Persons, reliable documentary evidence, reliable analytical data from either an A or B Sample as provided in the Comments to Article 2.2, or conclusions drawn from the profile of a series of the Athlete's blood or urine Samples, such as data from the Athlete Biological Passport.]

challenge, *WADA* shall also have the right to intervene as a party, appear as amicus curiae or otherwise provide evidence in such proceeding. In cases before *CAS*, at *WADA*'s request, the *CAS* panel shall appoint an appropriate scientific expert to assist the panel in its evaluation of the challenge.<sup>13</sup>

**3.2.2** *WADA*-accredited laboratories, and other laboratories approved by *WADA*, are presumed to have conducted *Sample* analysis and custodial procedures in accordance with the *International Standard* for Laboratories. The *Athlete* or other *Person* may rebut this presumption by establishing that a departure from the *International Standard* for Laboratories occurred which could reasonably have caused the *Adverse Analytical Finding*.

If the *Athlete* or other *Person* rebuts the preceding presumption by showing that a departure from the *International Standard* for Laboratories occurred which could reasonably have caused the *Adverse Analytical Finding*, then FIL shall have the burden to establish that such departure did not cause the *Adverse Analytical Finding*.<sup>14</sup>

- **3.2.3** Departures from any other *International Standard* or other anti-doping rule or policy set forth in the *Code* or these Anti-Doping Rules shall not invalidate analytical results or other evidence of an anti-doping rule violation, and shall not constitute a defense to an anti-doping rule violation;<sup>15</sup> provided, however, if the *Athlete* or other *Person* establishes that a departure from one of the specific *International Standard* provisions listed below could reasonably have caused an anti-doping rule violation based on an *Adverse Analytical Finding* or whereabouts failure, then FIL shall have the burden to establish that such departure did not cause the *Adverse Analytical Finding* or the whereabouts failure:
  - (i) a departure from the *International Standard* for *Testing* and Investigations related to *Sample* collection or *Sample* handling which could reasonably have caused an anti-doping rule violation based on an *Adverse Analytical Finding*, in which case FIL shall have the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> [Comment to Article 3.2.1: For certain Prohibited Substances, WADA may instruct WADA-accredited laboratories not to report Samples as an Adverse Analytical Finding if the estimated concentration of the Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers is below a Minimum Reporting Level. WADA's decision in determining that Minimum Reporting Level or in determining which Prohibited Substances should be subject to Minimum Reporting Levels shall not be subject to challenge. Further, the laboratory's estimated concentration of such Prohibited Substance in a Sample may only be an estimate. In no event shall the possibility that the exact concentration of the Prohibited Substance in the Sample may be below the Minimum Reporting Level constitute a defense to an anti-doping rule violation based on the presence of that Prohibited Substance in the Sample.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> [Comment to Article 3.2.2: Fehler! Nur HauptdokumentThe burden is on the Athlete or other Person to establish, by a balance of probability, a departure from the International Standard for Laboratories that could reasonably have caused the Adverse Analytical Finding. Thus, once the Athlete or other Person establishes the departure by a balance of probability, the Athlete or other Person's burden on causation is the somewhat lower standard of proof – "could reasonably have caused." If the Athlete or other Person satisfies these standards, the burden shifts to FIL to prove to the comfortable satisfaction of the hearing panel that the departure did not cause the Adverse Analytical Finding.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> [Comment to Article 3.2.3: Departures from an International Standard or other rule unrelated to Sample collection or handling, Adverse Passport Finding, or Athlete notification relating to whereabouts failure or B Sample opening – e.g., the International Standard for Education, International Standard for the Protection of Privacy and Personal Information or International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions (TUEs) – may result in compliance proceedings by WADA but are not a defense in an anti-doping rule violation proceeding and are not relevant on the issue of whether the Athlete committed an anti-doping rule violation. Similarly, FIL's violation of the document referenced in Article 20.7.7 of the Code shall not constitute a defense to an anti-doping rule violation.]

burden to establish that such departure did not cause the Adverse Analytical Finding;

- a departure from the International Standard for Results Management or International Standard for Testing and Investigations related to an Adverse Passport Finding which could reasonably have caused an anti-doping rule violation, in which case FIL shall have the burden to establish that such departure did not cause the anti-doping rule violation;
- (iii) a departure from the International Standard for Results Management related to the requirement to provide notice to the Athlete of the B Sample opening which could reasonably have caused an anti-doping rule violation based on an Adverse Analytical Finding, in which case FIL shall have the burden to establish that such departure did not cause the Adverse Analytical Finding;<sup>16</sup>
- (iv) a departure from the International Standard for Results Management related to Athlete notification which could reasonably have caused an anti-doping rule violation based on a whereabouts failure, in which case FIL shall have the burden to establish that such departure did not cause the whereabouts failure.
- **3.2.4** The facts established by a decision of a court or professional disciplinary tribunal of competent jurisdiction which is not the subject of a pending appeal shall be irrebuttable evidence against the *Athlete* or other *Person* to whom the decision pertained of those facts unless the *Athlete* or other *Person* establishes that the decision violated principles of natural justice.
- **3.2.5** The hearing panel in a hearing on an anti-doping rule violation may draw an inference adverse to the *Athlete* or other *Person* who is asserted to have committed an anti-doping rule violation based on the *Athlete's* or other *Person's* refusal, after a request made in a reasonable time in advance of the hearing, to appear at the hearing (either in person or telephonically as directed by the hearing panel) and to answer questions from the hearing panel or FIL.

### ARTICLE 4 THE PROHIBITED LIST

### 4.1 Incorporation of the *Prohibited List*

These Anti-Doping Rules incorporate the *Prohibited List*, which is published and revised by *WADA* as described in Article 4.1 of the *Code*.

Unless provided otherwise in the *Prohibited List* or a revision, the *Prohibited List* and revisions shall go into effect under these Anti-Doping Rules three (3) months after publication by *WADA*, without requiring any further action by FIL or its *National Federations*. All *Athletes* and other *Persons* shall be bound by the *Prohibited List*, and any revisions thereto, from the date they go into effect, without further formality. It is the responsibility of all *Athletes* and other *Persons* to familiarize themselves with the most up-to-date version of the *Prohibited List* and all revisions thereto.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> [Comment to Article 3.2.3 (iii): FIL would meet its burden to establish that such departure did not cause the Adverse Analytical Finding by showing that, for example, the B Sample opening and analysis were observed by an independent witness and no irregularities were observed.]

FIL shall provide its *National Federations* with the most recent version of the *Prohibited List*. Each *National Federation* shall in turn ensure that its members, and the constituents of its members, are also provided with the most recent version of the *Prohibited List*.<sup>17</sup>

### 4.2 Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods Identified on the Prohibited List

### **4.2.1** *Prohibited Substances* and *Prohibited Methods*

The *Prohibited List* shall identify those *Prohibited Substances* and *Prohibited Methods* which are prohibited as doping at all times (both *In-Competition* and *Out-of-Competition*) because of their potential to enhance performance in future *Competitions* or their masking potential, and those substances and methods which are prohibited *In-Competition* only. The *Prohibited List* may be expanded by *WADA* for a particular sport. *Prohibited Substances* and *Prohibited Methods* may be included in the *Prohibited List* by general category (e.g., anabolic agents) or by specific reference to a particular substance or method.<sup>18</sup>

### **4.2.2** Specified Substances or Specified Methods

For purposes of the application of Article 10, all *Prohibited Substances* shall be *Specified Substances* except as identified on the *Prohibited List*. No *Prohibited Method* shall be a *Specified Method* unless it is specifically identified as a *Specified Method* on the *Prohibited List*.<sup>19</sup>

### **4.2.3** Substances of Abuse

For purposes of applying Article 10, *Substances of Abuse* shall include those *Prohibited Substances* which are specifically identified as *Substances of Abuse* on the *Prohibited List* because they are frequently abused in society outside of the context of sport.

### 4.3 WADA's Determination of the Prohibited List

WADA's determination of the Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods that will be included on the Prohibited List, the classification of substances into categories on the Prohibited List, the classification of a substance as prohibited at all times or In-Competition only, the classification of a substance or method as a Specified Substance, Specified Method or Substance of Abuse is final and shall not be subject to any challenge by an Athlete or other Person including, but not limited to, any challenge based on an argument that the substance or method was not a masking agent or did not have the potential to enhance performance, represent a health risk or violate the spirit of sport.

### 4.4 Therapeutic Use Exemptions ("TUEs")

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> [Comment to Article 4.1: The current Prohibited List is available on WADA's website at <u>https://www.wada-ama.org</u>. The Prohibited List will be revised and published on an expedited basis whenever the need arises. However, for the sake of predictability, a new Prohibited List will be published every year whether or not changes have been made.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> [Comment to Article 4.2.1: Out-of-Competition Use of a substance which is only prohibited In-Competition is not an anti-doping rule violation unless an Adverse Analytical Finding for the substance or its Metabolites or Markers is reported for a Sample collected In-Competition.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> [Comment to Article 4.2.2: The Specified Substances and Methods identified in Article 4.2.2 should not in any way be considered less important or less dangerous than other doping substances or methods. Rather, they are simply substances and methods which are more likely to have been consumed or used by an Athlete for a purpose other than the enhancement of sport performance.]

- **4.4.1** The presence of a *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers*, and/or the Use or Attempted Use, Possession or Administration or Attempted Administration of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method, shall not be considered an anti-doping rule violation if it is consistent with the provisions of a TUE granted in accordance with the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions.
- **4.4.2** *TUE* Applications
  - **4.4.2.1** Athletes who are not International-Level Athletes shall apply to their National Anti-Doping Organization for a TUE. If the National Anti-Doping Organization denies the application, the Athlete may appeal exclusively to the appellate body described in Article 13.2.2.
  - 4.4.2.2 Athletes who are International-Level Athletes shall apply to FIL.

### 4.4.3 TUE Recognition<sup>20</sup>

- **4.4.3.1** Where the *Athlete* already has a *TUE* granted by their *National Anti-Doping Organization* pursuant to Article 4.4 of the *Code* for the substance or method in question and provided that such *TUE* has been reported in accordance with Article 5.5 of the *International Standard* for *Therapeutic Use Exemptions*, FIL will automatically recognize it for purposes of international-level *Competition* without the need to review the relevant clinical information.
- **4.4.3.2** If FIL chooses to test an *Athlete* who is not an *International-Level Athlete*, FIL must recognize a *TUE* granted to that *Athlete* by their *National Anti-Doping Organization* unless the *Athlete* is required to apply for recognition of the *TUE* pursuant to Articles 5.8 and 7.0 of the *International Standard* for *Therapeutic Use Exemptions*.
- 4.4.4 TUE Application Process <sup>21</sup>
  - **4.4.4.1** If the *Athlete* does not already have a *TUE* granted by their *National Anti-Doping Organization* for the substance or method in question, the *Athlete* must apply directly to FIL.
  - **4.4.4.2** An application to FIL for grant or recognition of a *TUE* must be made as soon as possible, save where Articles 4.1 or 4.3 of the *International Standard* for *Therapeutic Use Exemptions* apply. The application shall

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> [Comment to Article 4.4.3: If FIL refuses to recognize a TUE granted by a National Anti-Doping Organization only because medical records or other information are missing that are needed to demonstrate satisfaction with the criteria in the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, the matter should not be referred to WADA. Instead, the file should be completed and resubmitted to FIL.]

<sup>[</sup>Comment to Article 4.4.3: FIL may agree with a National Anti-Doping Organization that the National Anti-Doping Organization will consider TUE applications on behalf of FIL.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> [Comment to Article 4.4.4: The submission of falsified documents to a TUEC or FIL, offering or accepting a bribe to a Person to perform or fail to perform an act, procuring false testimony from any witness, or committing any other fraudulent act or any other similar intentional interference or Attempted interference with any aspect of the TUE process shall result in a charge of Tampering or Attempted Tampering under Article 2.5.

An Athlete should not assume that their application for the grant or recognition of a TUE (or for renewal of a TUE) will be granted. Any Use or Possession or Administration of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method before an application has been granted is entirely at the Athlete's own risk.]

be made in accordance with Article 6 of the *International Standard* for *Therapeutic Use Exemptions* as posted on FIL's website.

- **4.4.4.3** FIL shall establish a *Therapeutic Use Exemption* Committee ("TUEC") to consider applications for the grant or recognition of *TUEs*. in accordance with Article 4.4.4.3(a)-(d) below:
- (a) The TUEC shall consist of a minimum of five (5) members with experience in the care and treatment of Athletes and sound knowledge of clinical, sports and exercise medicine. Each appointed member should serve a term of four (4) years which is renewable.
- (b) Before serving as a member of the TUEC, each member must sign a conflict of interest and confidentiality declaration. The appointed members shall not be employees of FIL.
- (c) When an application to FIL for the grant or recognition of a *TUE* is made, the Chair of the TUEC or the FIL shall appoint three (3) members (which may include the Chair) to consider the application.
- (d) Before considering a *TUE* application, each member shall disclose any circumstances likely to affect their impartiality with respect to the Athlete making the application. If a member is unwilling or unable to assess the Athlete's *TUE* application, for any reason, the Chair or the FIL may appoint a replacement from the pool of members appointed under point (a) above. The Chair cannot serve as a member of the TUEC if there are any circumstances which are likely to affect the impartiality of the *TUE* decision.
- **4.4.4.** The TUEC shall promptly evaluate and decide upon the application in accordance with the relevant provisions of the *International Standard* for *Therapeutic Use Exemptions* and usually (i.e., unless exceptional circumstances apply) within no more than twenty-one (21) days of receipt of a complete application. Where the application is made in a reasonable time prior to an *Event*, the TUEC must use its best endeavors to issue its decision before the start of the *Event*.
- **4.4.4.5** The TUEC decision shall be the final decision of FIL and may be appealed in accordance with Article 4.4.7. FIL TUEC decision shall be notified in writing to the *Athlete*, and to *WADA* and other *Anti-Doping Organizations* in accordance with the *International Standard* for *Therapeutic Use Exemptions*. It shall also promptly be reported into *ADAMS*.
- **4.4.4.6** If FIL (or the *National Anti-Doping Organization*, where it has agreed to consider the application on behalf of FIL) denies the *Athlete's* application, it must notify the *Athlete* promptly, with reasons. If FIL grants the *Athlete's* application, it must notify not only the *Athlete* but also their *National Anti-Doping Organization*. If the *National Anti-Doping Organization* considers that the *TUE* granted by FIL does not meet the criteria set out in the *International Standard* for *Therapeutic Use Exemptions*, it has twenty-one (21) days from such notification to refer the matter to *WADA* for review in accordance with Article 4.4.7.

If the National Anti-Doping Organization refers the matter to WADA for review, the TUE granted by FIL remains valid for international-level Competition and Out-of-Competition Testing (but is not valid for national-level Competition) pending WADA's decision. If the National Anti-Doping Organization does not refer the matter to WADA for review, the TUE granted by FIL becomes valid for national-level Competition as well when the twenty-one (21) day review deadline expires.

#### 4.4.5 Retroactive *TUE* Applications

If FIL chooses to collect a *Sample* from an *Athlete* who is not an *International-Level Athlete* or a *National-Level Athlete*, and that *Athlete* is *Using* a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* for therapeutic reasons, FIL must permit that *Athlete* to apply for a retroactive *TUE*.

- 4.4.6 Expiration, Withdrawal or Reversal of a *TUE* 
  - **4.4.6.1** A *TUE* granted pursuant to these Anti-Doping Rules: (a) shall expire automatically at the end of any term for which it was granted, without the need for any further notice or other formality; (b) will be withdrawn if the *Athlete* does not promptly comply with any requirements or conditions imposed by the TUEC upon grant of the *TUE*; (c) may be withdrawn by the TUEC if it is subsequently determined that the criteria for grant of a *TUE* are not in fact met; or (d) may be reversed on review by *WADA* or on appeal.
  - **4.4.6.2** In such event, the *Athlete* shall not be subject to any *Consequences* based on their *Use* or *Possession* or *Administration* of the *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* in question in accordance with the *TUE* prior to the effective date of expiry, withdrawal, or reversal of the *TUE*. The review pursuant to Article 5.1.1.1 of the *International Standard* for *Results Management* of an *Adverse Analytical Finding*, reported shortly after the *TUE* expiry, withdrawal or reversal, shall include consideration of whether such finding is consistent with *Use* of the *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* prior to that date, in which event no anti-doping rule violation shall be asserted.
- **4.4.7** Reviews and Appeals of *TUE* Decisions
  - **4.4.7.1** WADA must review FIL's decision not to recognize a *TUE* granted by the *National Anti-Doping Organization* that is referred *to WADA* by the *Athlete* or the *Athlete's National Anti-Doping Organization*. In addition, *WADA* must review FIL's decision to grant a *TUE* that is referred *to WADA* by the *Athlete's National Anti-Doping Organization*. WADA may review any other *TUE* decisions at any time, whether upon request by those affected or on its own initiative. If the *TUE* decision being reviewed meets the criteria set out in the *International Standard* for *Therapeutic Use Exemptions*, WADA will not interfere with it. If the *TUE* decision does not meet those criteria, WADA will reverse it.<sup>22</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> [Comment to Article 4.4.7.1: WADA shall be entitled to charge a fee to cover the costs of: (a) any review it is required to conduct in accordance with Article 4.4.7; and (b) any review it chooses to conduct, where the decision being reviewed is reversed.]

- **4.4.7.2** Any *TUE* decision by FIL (or by a *National Anti-Doping Organization* where it has agreed to consider the application on behalf of FIL) that is not reviewed by *WADA*, or that is reviewed by *WADA* but is not reversed upon review, may be appealed by the *Athlete* and/or the *Athlete's National Anti-Doping Organization*, exclusively to CAS.<sup>23</sup>
- **4.4.7.3** A decision by *WADA* to reverse a *TUE* decision may be appealed by the *Athlete*, the *National Anti-Doping Organization* and/or FIL, exclusively to *CAS*.
- **4.4.7.4** A failure to render a decision within a reasonable time on a properly submitted application for grant/recognition of a *TUE* or for review of a *TUE* decision shall be considered a denial of the application thus triggering the applicable rights of review/appeal.

## ARTICLE 5 TESTING AND INVESTIGATIONS

### 5.1 Purpose of *Testing* and Investigations<sup>24</sup>

- **5.1.1** *Testing* and investigations may be undertaken for any anti-doping purpose. They shall be conducted in conformity with the provisions of the *International Standard* for *Testing* and Investigations.
- **5.1.2** *Testing* shall be undertaken to obtain analytical evidence as to whether the Athlete has violated Article 2.1 (Presence of a *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers* in an *Athlete's Sample*) or Article 2.2 (Use or Attempted Use by an Athlete of a Prohibited Substance or a Prohibited Method).

#### 5.2 Authority to Test

- **5.2.1** Subject to the limitations for *Event Testing* set out in Article 5.3, FIL shall have *In-Competition* and *Out-of-Competition Testing* authority over all *Athletes* specified in the Introduction to these Anti-Doping Rules (Section "Scope of these Anti-Doping Rules").
- **5.2.2** FIL may require any *Athlete* over whom it has *Testing* authority (including any *Athlete* serving a period of *Ineligibility*) to provide a *Sample* at any time and at any place.<sup>25</sup>
- **5.2.3** *WADA* shall have *In-Competition* and *Out-of-Competition Testing* authority as set out in Article 20.7.10 of the *Code*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> [Comment to Article 4.4.7.2: In such cases, the decision being appealed is the FIL's TUE decision, not WADA's decision not to review the TUE decision or (having reviewed it) not to reverse the TUE decision. However, the time to appeal the TUE decision does not begin to run until the date that WADA communicates its decision. In any event, whether the decision has been reviewed by WADA or not, WADA shall be given notice of the appeal so that it may participate if it sees fit.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> [Comment to Article 5.1: Where Testing is conducted for anti-doping purposes, the analytical results and data may be used for other legitimate purposes under the Anti-Doping Organization's rules. See, e.g., Comment to Article 23.2.2 of the Code.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> [Comment to Article 5.2.2: FIL may obtain additional authority to conduct Testing by means of bilateral or multilateral agreements with other Signatories. Unless the Athlete has identified a sixty (60) minute Testing window between the hours of 11:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m., or has otherwise consented to Testing during that period, FIL will not test an Athlete during that period unless it has a serious and specific suspicion that the Athlete may be engaged in doping. A challenge to whether FIL had sufficient suspicion for Testing during this time period shall not be a defense to an anti-doping rule violation based on such test or attempted test.]

5.2.4 If FIL delegates or contracts any part of *Testing* to a *National Anti-Doping Organization* directly or through a *National Federation*, that *National Anti-Doping Organization* may collect additional *Samples* or direct the laboratory to perform additional types of analysis at the *National Anti-Doping Organization's* expense. If additional *Samples* are collected or additional types of analysis are performed, FIL shall be notified.

# 5.3 Event Testing

- **5.3.1** Except as otherwise provided below, only a single organization shall have authority to conduct *Testing* at *Event Venues* during an *Event Period*. At *International Events*, FIL (or other international organization which is the ruling body for an *Event*) shall have authority to conduct *Testing*. At *National Events*, the *National Anti-Doping Organization* of that country shall have authority to conduct *Testing*. At the request of FIL (or other international organization which is the ruling body for an *Event*), any *Testing* during the *Event Period* outside of the *Event Venues* shall be coordinated with FIL (or the relevant ruling body of the *Event*).
- 5.3.2 If an Anti-Doping Organization, which would otherwise have Testing authority but is not responsible for initiating and directing *Testing* at an *Event*, desires to conduct Testing of Athletes at the Event Venues during the Event Period, the Anti-Doping Organization shall first confer with FIL (or other international organization which is the ruling body of the *Event*) to obtain permission to conduct and coordinate such Testing. If the Anti-Doping Organization is not satisfied with the response from FIL (or other international organization which is the ruling body of the *Event*), the Anti-Doping Organization may, in accordance with the procedures described in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, ask WADA for permission to conduct Testing and to determine how to coordinate such Testing. WADA shall not grant approval for such Testing before consulting with and informing FIL (or other international organization which is the ruling body for the Event). WADA's decision shall be final and not subject to appeal. Unless otherwise provided in the authorization to conduct Testing, such tests shall be considered Out-of-Competition tests. Results Management for any such test shall be the responsibility of the Anti-Doping Organization initiating the test unless provided otherwise in the rules of the ruling body of the Event.26

# 5.4 *Testing* Requirements

- **5.4.1** FIL shall conduct test distribution planning and *Testing* as required by the *International Standard* for *Testing* and Investigations.
- **5.4.2** Where reasonably feasible, *Testing* shall be coordinated through *ADAMS* in order to maximize the effectiveness of the combined *Testing* effort and to avoid unnecessary repetitive *Testing*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> [Comment to Article 5.3.2: Before giving approval to a National Anti-Doping Organization to initiate and conduct Testing at an International Event, WADA shall consult with the international organization which is the ruling body for the Event. Before giving approval to an International Federation to initiate and conduct Testing at a National Event, WADA shall consult with the National Anti-Doping Organization of the country where the Event takes place. The Anti-Doping Organization "initiating and directing Testing" may, if it chooses, enter into agreements with a Delegated Third Party to which it delegates responsibility for Sample collection or other aspects of the Doping Control process.]

### 5.5 Athlete Whereabouts Information

- **5.5.1** FIL has established a *Registered Testing Pool* of those *Athletes* who are required to provide whereabouts information in the manner specified in the *International Standard* for *Testing* and Investigations and who shall be subject to *Consequences* for Article 2.4 violations as provided in Article 10.3.2. FIL shall coordinate with *National Anti-Doping Organizations* to identify such *Athletes* and to collect their whereabouts information.
- **5.5.2** FIL shall make available through *ADAMS* a list which identifies those *Athletes* included in its *Registered Testing Pool* by name. FIL shall regularly review and update as necessary its criteria for including *Athletes* in its *Registered Testing Pool*, and shall periodically (but not less than quarterly) review the list of *Athletes* in its *Registered Testing Pool* to ensure that each listed *Athlete* continues to meet the relevant criteria. *Athletes* shall be notified before they are included in the *Registered Testing Pool* and when they are removed from that pool. The notification shall contain the information set out in the *International Standard* for *Testing* and Investigations.
- **5.5.3** Where an *Athlete* is included in an international *Registered Testing Pool* by FIL and in a national *Registered Testing Pool* by their *National Anti-Doping Organization*, the *National Anti-Doping Organization* and FIL shall agree between themselves which of them shall accept that *Athlete's* whereabouts filings; in no case shall an *Athlete* be required to make whereabouts filings to more than one of them.
- **5.5.4** In accordance with the *International Standard* for *Testing* and Investigations, each *Athlete* in the *Registered Testing Pool* shall do the following: (a) advise FIL of his/her whereabouts on a quarterly basis; (b) update that information as necessary so that it remains accurate and complete at all times; and (c) make himself or herself available for *Testing* at such whereabouts.
- **5.5.5** For purposes of Article 2.4, an *Athlete's* failure to comply with the requirements of the *International Standard* for *Testing* and Investigations shall be deemed a filing failure or a missed test, as defined in Annex B of the *International Standard* for *Results Management*, where the conditions set forth in Annex B are met.
- **5.5.6** An *Athlete* in FIL's *Registered Testing Pool* shall continue to be subject to the obligation to comply with the whereabouts requirements set in the *International Standard* for *Testing* and Investigations unless and until (a) the *Athlete* gives written notice to FIL that he or she has retired or (b) FIL has informed him or her that he or she no longer satisfies the criteria for inclusion in FIL's *Registered Testing Pool*.
- **5.5.7** Whereabouts information provided by an *Athlete* while in the *Registered Testing Pool* will be accessible through *ADAMS* to *WADA* and to other *Anti-Doping Organizations* having authority to test that *Athlete* as provided in Article 5.2. Whereabouts information shall be maintained in strict confidence at all times; it shall be used exclusively for purposes of planning, coordinating or conducting *Doping Control*, providing information relevant to the *Athlete Biological Passport* or other analytical results, to support an investigation into a potential anti-doping rule violation, or to support proceedings alleging an anti-doping rule violation; and shall be destroyed after it is no longer relevant for these purposes in

accordance with the *International Standard* for the Protection of Privacy and Personal Information.

- **5.5.8** FIL may, in accordance with the *International Standard* for *Testing* and Investigations, collect whereabouts information from *Athletes* who are not included within a *Registered Testing Pool* or a *Testing Pool*. If it chooses to do so, an *Athlete's* failure to provide requested whereabouts information on or before the date required by FIL or the *Athlete's* failure to provide accurate whereabouts information may result in consequences defined in Article 5.5.12 below.
- **5.5.9** In accordance with the *International Standard* for *Testing* and Investigations, FIL may establish a *Testing Pool*, which includes *Athletes* who are subject to less stringent whereabouts requirements than *Athletes* included in FIL's *Registered Testing Pool*.
- **5.5.10** FIL shall notify *Athletes* before they are included in the *Testing Pool* and when they are removed. Such notification shall include the whereabouts requirements and the consequences that apply in case of non-compliance, as indicated in Articles 5.5.11 and 5.5.12.
- **5.5.11** Athletes included in the Testing Pool shall provide FIL at least with the following whereabouts information so that they may be located and subjected to Testing: (a) An overnight address;
  - (b) Competition / Event schedule; and
  - (c) Regular training activities.

Such whereabouts information should be filed in *ADAMS* to enable better *Testing* coordination with other *Anti-Doping Organizations*.

**5.5.12** An *Athlete*'s failure to provide whereabouts information on or before the date required by FIL or the *Athlete*'s failure to provide accurate whereabouts information might result in FIL elevating the *Athlete* to FIL's *Registered Testing Pool* and/or additional appropriate and proportionate non-Code Article 2.4 consequences, established by FIL if any.

# 5.6 Retired *Athletes* Returning to *Competition*

5.6.1 If an International-Level Athlete or National-Level Athlete in FIL's Registered Testing Pool retires and then wishes to return to active participation in sport, the Athlete shall not compete in International Events or National Events until the Athlete has made himself or herself available for Testing, by giving six (6) months prior written notice to FIL and their National Anti-Doping Organization.

*WADA*, in consultation with FIL and the *Athlete's National Anti-Doping Organization*, may grant an exemption to the six (6) month written notice rule where the strict application of that rule would be unfair to the *Athlete*. This decision may be appealed under Article 13.<sup>27</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> [Comment to Article 5.6.1: WADA has developed a protocol and exemption application form that Athletes must use to make such requests, and a decision template that the International Federations must use. Both documents are available on WADA's website at https://www.wada-ama.org.]

Any competitive results obtained in violation of this Article 5.6.1 shall be *Disqualified* unless the *Athlete* can establish that he or she could not have reasonably known that this was an *International Event* or a *National Event*.

**5.6.2** If an *Athlete* retires from sport while subject to a period of *Ineligibility*, the *Athlete* must notify the *Anti-Doping Organization* that imposed the period of *Ineligibility* in writing of such retirement. If the *Athlete* then wishes to return to active competition in sport, the *Athlete* shall not compete in *International Events* or *National Events* until the *Athlete* has made himself or herself available for *Testing* by giving six (6) months prior written notice (or notice equivalent to the period of *Ineligibility* remaining as of the date the *Athlete* retired, if that period was longer than six (6) months) to FIL and to their *National Anti-Doping Organization*.

# 5.7 Independent Observer Program

FIL and the organizing committees for FIL's *Events*, as well as the *National Federations* and the organizing committees for *National Events*, shall authorize and facilitate the *Independent Observer Program* at *such Events*.

# ARTICLE 6 ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES

Samples shall be analyzed in accordance with the following principles:

# 6.1 Use of Accredited, Approved Laboratories and Other Laboratories

- **6.1.1** For purposes of directly establishing an *Adverse Analytical Finding* under Article 2.1, *Samples* shall be analyzed only in *WADA*-accredited laboratories or laboratories otherwise approved by *WADA*. The choice of the *WADA*-accredited or *WADA*-approved laboratory used for the *Sample* analysis shall be determined exclusively by FIL.<sup>28</sup>
- **6.1.2** As provided in Article 3.2, facts related to anti-doping rule violations may be established by any reliable means. This would include, for example, reliable laboratory or other forensic testing conducted outside of *WADA*-accredited or approved laboratories.

# 6.2 Purpose of Analysis of *Samples* and Data

Samples and related analytical data or *Doping Control* information shall be analyzed to detect *Prohibited Substances* and *Prohibited Methods* identified on the *Prohibited List* and other substances as may be directed by *WADA* pursuant to the monitoring program described in Article 4.5 of the *Code*, or to assist FIL in profiling relevant parameters in an *Athlete's* urine, blood or other matrix, including for DNA or genomic profiling, or for any other legitimate anti-doping purpose.<sup>29</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> [Comment to Article 6.1: Violations of Article 2.1 may be established only by Sample analysis performed by a WADA-accredited laboratory or another laboratory approved by WADA. Violations of other Articles may be established using analytical results from other laboratories so long as the results are reliable.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> [Comment to Article 6.2: For example, relevant Doping Control-related information could be used to direct Target Testing or to support an anti-doping rule violation proceeding under Article 2.2, or both.]

## 6.3 Research on *Samples* and Data

Samples, related analytical data and *Doping Control* information may be used for anti-doping research purposes, although no *Sample* may be used for research without the *Athlete's* written consent. *Samples* and related analytical data or *Doping Control* information used for research purposes shall first be processed in such a manner as to prevent *Samples* and related analytical data or *Doping Control* information used for research involving data or *Doping Control* information being traced back to a particular *Athlete*. Any research involving *Samples* and related analytical data or *Doping Control* information shall adhere to the principles set out in Article 19 of the *Code*.<sup>30</sup>

# 6.4 Standards for *Sample* Analysis and Reporting

In accordance with Article 6.4 of the *Code*, FIL shall ask laboratories to analyze *Samples* in conformity with the *International Standard* for Laboratories and Article 4.7 of the *International Standard* for *Testing* and Investigations.

Laboratories at their own initiative and expense may analyze *Samples* for *Prohibited Substances* or *Prohibited Methods* not included on the standard *Sample* analysis menu, or as requested by FIL. Results from any such analysis shall be reported to FIL and have the same validity and *Consequences* as any other analytical result.<sup>31</sup>

## 6.5 Further Analysis of a Sample Prior to or During Results Management

There shall be no limitation on the authority of a laboratory to conduct repeat or additional analysis on a *Sample* prior to the time FIL notifies an *Athlete* that the *Sample* is the basis for an Article 2.1 anti-doping rule violation charge. If after such notification FIL wishes to conduct additional analysis on that *Sample*, it may do so with the consent of the *Athlete* or approval from a hearing body.

# 6.6 Further Analysis of a *Sample* After it has been Reported as Negative or has Otherwise not Resulted in an Anti-Doping Rule Violation Charge

After a laboratory has reported a *Sample* as negative, or the *Sample* has not otherwise resulted in an anti-doping rule violation charge, it may be stored and subjected to further analyses for the purpose of Article 6.2 at any time exclusively at the direction of either the *Anti-Doping Organization* that initiated and directed *Sample* collection or *WADA*. Any other *Anti-Doping Organization* with authority to test the *Athlete* that wishes to conduct further analysis on a stored *Sample* may do so with the permission of the *Anti-Doping Organization* that initiated and directed *Sample* collection or *WADA*, and shall be responsible for any follow-up *Results Management*. Any *Sample* storage or further analysis initiated by *WADA* or another *Anti-Doping Organization* shall be at *WADA*'s or that organization's expense. Further analysis of *Samples* shall conform with the requirements of the *International Standard* for Laboratories.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> [Comment to Article 6.3: As is the case in most medical or scientific contexts, use of Samples and related information for quality assurance, quality improvement, method improvement and development or to establish reference populations is not considered research. Samples and related information used for such permitted non-research purposes must also first be processed in such a manner as to prevent them from being traced back to the particular Athlete, having due regard to the principles set out in Article 19 of the Code, as well as the requirements of the International Standard for Laboratories and International Standard for the Protection of Privacy and Personal Information.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> [Comment to Article 6.4: The objective of this Article is to extend the principle of "Intelligent Testing" to the Sample analysis menu so as to most effectively and efficiently detect doping. It is recognized that the resources available to fight doping are limited and that increasing the Sample analysis menu may, in some sports and countries, reduce the number of Samples which can be analyzed.]

# 6.7 Split of A or B Sample

Where WADA, an Anti-Doping Organization with Results Management authority, and/or a WADAaccredited laboratory (with approval from WADA or the Anti-Doping Organization with Results Management authority) wishes to split an A or B Sample for the purpose of using the first part of the split Sample for an A Sample analysis and the second part of the split Sample for confirmation, then the procedures set forth in the International Standard for Laboratories shall be followed.

## 6.8 WADA's Right to Take Possession of Samples and Data

WADA may, in its sole discretion at any time, with or without prior notice, take physical possession of any *Sample* and related analytical data or information in the possession of a laboratory or *Anti-Doping Organization*. Upon request by *WADA*, the laboratory or *Anti-Doping Organization* in possession of the *Sample* or data shall immediately grant access to and enable *WADA* to take physical possession of the *Sample* or data. If *WADA* has not provided prior notice to the laboratory or *Anti-Doping Organization* before taking possession of a *Sample* or data, it shall provide such notice to the laboratory and each *Anti-Doping Organization* whose *Samples* or data have been taken by *WADA* within a reasonable time after taking possession. After analysis and any investigation of a seized *Sample* or data, *WADA* may direct another *Anti-Doping Organization* with authority to test the *Athlete* to assume *Results Management* responsibility for the *Sample* or data if a potential anti-doping rule violation is discovered.<sup>32</sup>

### ARTICLE 7 RESULTS MANAGEMENT: RESPONSIBILITY, INITIAL REVIEW, NOTICE AND PROVISIONAL SUSPENSIONS

*Results Management* under these Anti-Doping Rules establishes a process designed to resolve anti-doping rule violation matters in a fair, expeditious and efficient manner.

#### 7.1 Responsibility for Conducting *Results Management*

- **7.1.1** Except as otherwise provided in Articles 6.6, 6.8 and *Code* Article 7.1, *Results Management* shall be the responsibility of, and shall be governed by, the procedural rules of the *Anti-Doping Organization* that initiated and directed *Sample* collection (or, if no *Sample* collection is involved, the *Anti-Doping Organization* which first provides notice to an *Athlete* or other Person of a potential anti-doping rule violation and then diligently pursues that anti-doping rule violation).
- **7.1.2** In circumstances where the rules of a *National Anti-Doping Organization* do not give the *National Anti-Doping Organization* authority over an *Athlete* or other *Person* who is not a national, resident, license holder, or member of a sport organization of that country, or the *National Anti-Doping Organization* declines to exercise such authority, *Results Management* shall be conducted by the applicable International Federation or by a third party with authority over the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> [Comment to Article 6.8: Resistance or refusal to WADA taking physical possession of Samples or data could constitute Tampering, Complicity or an act of non-compliance as provided in the International Standard for Code Compliance by Signatories, and could also constitute a violation of the International Standard for Laboratories. Where necessary, the laboratory and/or the Anti-Doping Organization shall assist WADA in ensuring that the seized Sample and related data are not delayed in exiting the applicable country.

WADA would not, of course, unilaterally take possession of Samples or analytical data without good cause related to a potential anti-doping rule violation, non-compliance by a Signatory or doping activities by another Person. However, the decision as to whether good cause exists is for WADA to make in its discretion and shall not be subject to challenge. In particular, whether there is good cause or not shall not be a defense against an anti-doping rule violation or its Consequences.]

*Athlete* or other *Person* as directed by the rules of the applicable International Federation.

- **7.1.3** In the event the *Major Event Organization* assumes only limited *Results Management* responsibility relating to a *Sample* initiated and taken during an *Event* conducted by a *Major Event Organization*, or an anti-doping rule violation occurring during such *Event*, the case shall be referred by the *Major Event Organization* to the applicable International Federation for completion of *Results Management*.
- 7.1.4 Results Management in relation to a potential whereabouts failure (a filing failure or a missed test) shall be administered by FIL or the National Anti-Doping Organization with whom the Athlete in question files whereabouts information, as provided in the International Standard for Results Management. If FIL determines a filing failure or a missed test, it shall submit that information to WADA through ADAMS, where it will be made available to other relevant Anti-Doping Organizations.
- **7.1.5** Other circumstances in which FIL shall take responsibility for conducting *Results Management* in respect of anti-doping rule violations involving *Athletes* and other *Persons* under its authority shall be determined by reference to and in accordance with Article 7 of the *Code*.
- **7.1.6** WADA may direct FIL to conduct Results Management in particular circumstances. If FIL refuses to conduct Results Management within a reasonable deadline set by WADA, such refusal shall be considered an act of non-compliance, and WADA may direct another Anti-Doping Organization with authority over the Athlete or other Person, that is willing to do so, to take Results Management responsibility in place of FIL or, if there is no such Anti-Doping Organization, any other Anti-Doping Organization that is willing to do so. In such case, FIL shall reimburse the costs and attorney's fees of conducting Results Management to the other Anti-Doping Organization designated by WADA, and a failure to reimburse costs and attorney's fees shall be considered an act of non-compliance.

# 7.2 Review and Notification Regarding Potential Anti-Doping Rule Violations

FIL shall carry out the review and notification with respect to any potential anti-doping rule violation in accordance with the *International Standard* for *Results Management*.

# 7.3 Identification of Prior Anti-Doping Rule Violations

Before giving an *Athlete* or other *Person* notice of a potential anti-doping rule violation as provided above, FIL shall refer to *ADAMS* and contact *WADA* and other relevant *Anti-Doping Organizations* to determine whether any prior anti-doping rule violation exists.

# 7.4 Provisional Suspensions <sup>33</sup>

**7.4.1** Mandatory *Provisional Suspension* after an *Adverse Analytical Finding* or *Adverse Passport Finding* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> [Comment to Article 7.4: Before a Provisional Suspension can be unilaterally imposed by FIL, the internal review specified in these Anti-Doping Rules and the International Standard for Results Management must first be completed.]

If FIL receives an Adverse Analytical Finding or an Adverse Passport Finding (upon completion of the Adverse Passport Finding review process) for a *Prohibited Substance* or a *Prohibited Method* that is not a *Specified Substance* or a *Specified Method*, FIL shall impose a *Provisional Suspension* on the *Athlete* promptly upon or after the review and notification required by Article 7.2.

A mandatory *Provisional Suspension* may be eliminated if: (i) the *Athlete* demonstrates to FIL's Hearing Panel (CAS Anti-Doping Division) that the violation is likely to have involved a *Contaminated Product*, or (ii) the violation involves a *Substance of Abuse* and the *Athlete* establishes entitlement to a reduced period of *Ineligibility* under Article 10.2.4.1.

FIL's Hearing Panel's (CAS Anti-Doping Division's) decision not to eliminate a mandatory *Provisional Suspension* on account of the *Athlete's* assertion regarding a *Contaminated Product* shall not be appealable.

**7.4.2** Optional *Provisional Suspension* Based on an *Adverse Analytical Finding* for *Specified Substances*, *Specified Methods, Contaminated Products*, or Other Anti-Doping Rule Violations

FIL may impose a *Provisional Suspension* for anti-doping rule violations not covered by Article 7.4.1 prior to the analysis of the *Athlete's* B *Sample* or final hearing as described in Article 8.

An optional *Provisional Suspension* may be lifted at the discretion of FIL at any time prior to FIL's Hearing Panel's (CAS Anti-Doping Division's) decision under Article 8, unless provided otherwise in the *International Standard* for *Results Management*.

#### 7.4.3 Opportunity for Hearing or Appeal

Notwithstanding Articles 7.4.1 and 7.4.2, a *Provisional Suspension* may not be imposed unless the *Athlete* or other *Person* is given: (a) an opportunity for a *Provisional Hearing*, either before or on a timely basis after imposition of the *Provisional Suspension*; or (b) an opportunity for an expedited hearing in accordance with Article 8 on a timely basis after imposition of the *Provisional Suspension*.

The imposition of a *Provisional Suspension*, or the decision not to impose a *Provisional Suspension*, may be appealed in an expedited process in accordance with Article 13.2.

#### 7.4.4 Voluntary Acceptance of *Provisional Suspension*

*Athletes* on their own initiative may voluntarily accept a *Provisional Suspension* if done so prior to the later of: (i) the expiration of ten (10) days from the report of the B *Sample* (or waiver of the B *Sample*) or ten (10) days from the notice of any other anti-doping rule violation, or (ii) the date on which the *Athlete* first competes after such report or notice.

Other *Persons* on their own initiative may voluntarily accept a *Provisional Suspension* if done so within ten (10) days from the notice of the anti-doping rule violation.

Upon such voluntary acceptance, the *Provisional Suspension* shall have the full effect and be treated in the same manner as if the *Provisional Suspension* had been imposed under Article 7.4.1 or 7.4.2; provided, however, at any time after voluntarily accepting a *Provisional Suspension*, the *Athlete* or other *Person* may withdraw such acceptance, in which event the *Athlete* or other *Person* shall not receive any credit for time previously served during the *Provisional Suspension*.

7.4.5 If a *Provisional Suspension* is imposed based on an A *Sample Adverse Analytical Finding* and a subsequent B *Sample* analysis (if requested by the *Athlete* or FIL) does not confirm the A *Sample* analysis, then the *Athlete* shall not be subject to any further *Provisional Suspension* on account of a violation of Article 2.1. In circumstances where the *Athlete* or the *Athlete's* team has been removed from an *Event* based on a violation of Article 2.1 and the subsequent B *Sample* analysis does not confirm the A *Sample* finding, then, if it is still possible for the *Athlete* or team to be reinserted, without otherwise affecting the *Event*, the *Athlete* or team may continue to take part in the *Event*.

# 7.5 *Results Management* Decisions

*Results Management* decisions or adjudications by FIL must not purport to be limited to a particular geographic area or the FIL's sport and shall address and determine without limitation the following issues: (i) whether an anti-doping rule violation was committed or a *Provisional Suspension* should be imposed, the factual basis for such determination, and the specific Articles that have been violated, and (ii) all *Consequences* flowing from the anti-doping rule violation(s), including applicable *Disqualifications* under Articles 9 and 10.10, any forfeiture of medals or prizes, any period of *Ineligibility* (and the date it begins to run) and any *Financial Consequences*.<sup>34</sup>

# 7.6 Notification of *Results Management* Decisions

FIL shall notify *Athletes*, other *Persons*, *Signatories* and *WADA* of *Results Management* decisions as provided in Article 14 and in the *International Standard* for *Results Management*.

# 7.7 Retirement from Sport<sup>35</sup>

If an *Athlete* or other *Person* retires while the FIL's *Results Management* process is underway, FIL retains authority to complete its *Results Management* process. If an *Athlete* or other *Person* retires before any *Results Management* process has begun, and FIL would have had *Results Management* authority over the *Athlete* or other *Person* at the time the *Athlete* or other *Person* committed an anti-doping rule violation, FIL has authority to conduct *Results Management*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> [Comment to Article 7.5: Results Management decisions include Provisional Suspensions.

Each decision by FIL should address whether an anti-doping rule violation was committed and all Consequences flowing from the violation, including any Disqualifications other than Disqualification under Article 10.1 (which is left to the ruling body for an Event). Pursuant to Article 15, such decision and its imposition of Consequences shall have automatic effect in every sport in every country. For example, for a determination that an Athlete committed an anti-doping rule violation based on an Adverse Analytical Finding for a Sample taken In-Competition, the Athlete's results obtained in the Competition would be Disqualified under Article 9 and all other competitive results obtained by the Athlete from the date the Sample was collected through the duration of the period of Ineligibility are also Disqualified under Article 10.10; if the Adverse Analytical Finding resulted from Testing at an Event, it would be the Major Event Organization's responsibility to decide whether the Athlete's other individual results in the Event prior to Sample collection are also Disqualified under Article 10.1.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> [Comment to Article 7.7: Conduct by an Athlete or other Person before the Athlete or other Person was subject to the authority of any Anti-Doping Organization would not constitute an anti-doping rule violation but could be a legitimate basis for denying the Athlete or other Person membership in a sports organization.]

# ARTICLE 8 RESULTS MANAGEMENT: RIGHT TO A FAIR HEARING AND NOTICE OF HEARING DECISION

For any *Person* who is asserted to have committed an anti-doping rule violation, FIL shall provide a fair hearing within a reasonable time by a fair, impartial and *Operationally Independent* hearing panel in compliance with the *Code* and the *International Standard* for *Results Management*.

## 8.1 Fair Hearings

## 8.1.1 Fair, Impartial and *Operationally Independent* Hearing Panel

FIL has delegated its Article 8 responsibilities (first instance hearings, waiver of hearings and decisions) to the CAS Anti-Doping Division (CAS ADD). The procedural rules of CAS ADD pertaining to the hearing of first instance shall apply. CAS ADD will always ensure that the *Athlete* or other *Person* is provided with a fair hearing within a reasonable time by a fair, impartial and *Operationally Independent* hearing panel in compliance with the *Code* and the *International Standard* for *Results Management*.

## 8.1.2 Hearing Process

- **8.1.2.1** When FIL sends a notice to an *Athlete* or other *Person* notifying them of a potential anti-doping rule violation, and the *Athlete* or other *Person* does not waive a hearing in accordance with Article 8.3.1 or Article 8.3.2, then the case shall be referred to CAS ADD for hearing and adjudication, which shall be conducted in accordance with its procedural rules and the principles described in Articles 8 and 9 of the *International Standard* for *Results Management*.
- **8.1.2.2** Hearings held in connection with *Events* in respect to *Athletes* and other *Persons* who are subject to these Anti-Doping Rules may be conducted by an expedited process where permitted by CAS ADD.<sup>36</sup>
- **8.1.2.3** *WADA,* the *National Federation* and the *National Anti-Doping Organization* of the *Athlete* or other *Person* may attend the hearing as observers. In any event, FIL shall keep them fully apprised as to the status of pending cases and the result of all hearings.

# 8.2 Notice of Decisions

- **8.2.1** At the end of the hearing, or promptly thereafter, CAS ADD shall issue a written decision that conforms with Article 9 of the *International Standard* for *Results Management* and which includes the full reasons for the decision, the period of *Ineligibility* imposed, the *Disqualification* of results under Article 10.10 and, if applicable, a justification for why the greatest potential *Consequences* were not imposed.
- **8.2.2** FIL shall notify that decision to the *Athlete* or other *Person* and to other *Anti-Doping Organizations* with a right to appeal under Article 13.2.3, and shall promptly report it into *ADAMS*. The decision may be appealed as provided in Article 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> [Comment to Article 8.1.2.4: For example, a hearing could be expedited on the eve of a major Event where the resolution of the anti-doping rule violation is necessary to determine the Athlete's eligibility to participate in the Event, or during an Event where the resolution of the case will affect the validity of the Athlete's results or continued participation in the Event.]

# 8.3 Waiver of Hearing

- **8.3.1** An *Athlete* or other *Person* against whom an anti-doping rule violation is asserted may waive a hearing expressly and agree with the *Consequences* proposed by FIL.
- **8.3.2** However, if the *Athlete* or other *Person* against whom an anti-doping rule violation is asserted fails to dispute that assertion within twenty (20) days or the deadline otherwise specified in the notice sent by the FIL asserting the violation, then they shall be deemed to have waived a hearing, to have admitted the violation, and to have accepted the proposed *Consequences*.
- **8.3.3** In cases where Article 8.3.1 or 8.3.2 applies, a hearing before CAS ADD shall not be required. Instead FIL shall promptly issue a written decision that conforms with Article 9 of the *International Standard* for *Results Management* and which includes the full reasons for the decision, the period of *Ineligibility* imposed, the *Disqualification* of results under Article 10.10 and, if applicable, a justification for why the greatest potential *Consequences* were not imposed.
- **8.3.4** FIL shall notify that decision to the *Athlete* or other *Person* and to other *Anti-Doping Organizations* with a right to appeal under Article 13.2.3, and shall promptly report it into *ADAMS*. FIL shall *Publicly Disclose* that decision in accordance with Article 14.3.2.

# 8.4 Single Hearing Before CAS

Anti-doping rule violations asserted against *International-Level Athletes*, *National-Level Athletes* or other *Persons* may, with the consent of the *Athlete* or other *Person*, FIL (where it has *Results Management* responsibility in accordance with Article 7) and *WADA*, be heard in a single hearing directly at CAS.<sup>37</sup>

# ARTICLE 9 AUTOMATIC DISQUALIFICATION OF INDIVIDUAL RESULTS

An anti-doping rule violation in *Individual Sports* in connection with an *In-Competition* test automatically leads to *Disqualification* of the result obtained in that *Competition* with all resulting *Consequences*, including forfeiture of any medals, points and prizes.<sup>38</sup>

# ARTICLE 10 SANCTIONS ON INDIVIDUALS

# 10.1 *Disqualification* of Results in the *Event* during which an Anti-Doping Rule Violation Occurs

**10.1.1** An anti-doping rule violation occurring during or in connection with an *Event* may, upon the decision of the ruling body of the *Event*, lead to *Disqualification* of all of the *Athlete's* individual results obtained in that *Event* with all *Consequences*,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> [Comment to Article 8.4: Nothing set out in Article 8.4 precludes the Athlete or other Person and FIL (where it has Results Management responsibility) to waive their right to appeal by agreement. Such waiver, however, only binds the parties to such agreement and not any other entity with a right of appeal under the Code.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> [Comment to Article 9: For Team Sports, any awards received by individual players will be Disqualified. However, Disqualification of the team will be as provided in Article 11. In sports which are not Team Sports but where awards are given to teams, Disqualification or other disciplinary action against the team when one or more team members have committed an anti-doping rule violation shall be as provided in the applicable rules of the International Federation.]

including forfeiture of all medals, points and prizes, except as provided in Article 10.1.2.

Factors to be included in considering whether to *Disqualify* other results in an *Event* might include, for example, the seriousness of the *Athlete's* anti-doping rule violation and whether the *Athlete* tested negative in the other *Competitions*.<sup>39</sup>

**10.1.2** If the *Athlete* establishes that he or she bears *No Fault* or *Negligence* for the violation, the *Athlete's* individual results in the other *Competitions* shall not be *Disqualified*, unless the *Athlete's* results in *Competitions* other than the *Competition* in which the anti-doping rule violation occurred were likely to have been affected by the *Athlete's* anti-doping rule violation.

# 10.2 Ineligibility for Presence, Use or Attempted Use, or Possession of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method

The period of *Ineligibility* for a violation of Article 2.1, 2.2 or 2.6 shall be as follows, subject to potential elimination, reduction or suspension pursuant to Article 10.5, 10.6 or 10.7:

- **10.2.1** The period of *Ineligibility*, subject to Article 10.2.4, shall be four (4) years where:
  - **10.2.1.1** The anti-doping rule violation does not involve a *Specified Substance* or a *Specified Method*, unless the *Athlete* or other *Person* can establish that the anti-doping rule violation was not intentional.<sup>40</sup>
  - **10.2.1.2** The anti-doping rule violation involves a *Specified Substance* or a *Specified Method* and FIL can establish that the anti-doping rule violation was intentional.
- **10.2.2** If Article 10.2.1 does not apply, subject to Article 10.2.4.1, the period of *Ineligibility* shall be two (2) years.
- **10.2.3** As used in Article 10.2, the term "intentional" is meant to identify those *Athletes* or other *Persons* who engage in conduct which they knew constituted an anti-doping rule violation or knew that there was a significant risk that the conduct might constitute or result in an anti-doping rule violation and manifestly disregarded that risk. An anti-doping rule violation resulting from an *Adverse Analytical Finding* for a substance which is only prohibited *In-Competition* shall be rebuttably presumed to be not "intentional" if the substance is a *Specified Substance* and the *Athlete* can establish that the *Prohibited Substance* was *Used Out-of-Competition*. An anti-doping rule violation resulting from an *Adverse Analytical Finding* for a substance which is only prohibited *In-Competition* shall not be considered "intentional" if the substance is not a *Specified Substance* and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> [Comment to Article 10.1.1: Whereas Article 9 Disqualifies the result in a single Competition in which the Athlete tested positive (e.g., the 100 meter backstroke), this Article may lead to Disqualification of all results in all races during the Event (e.g., the swimming World Championships).]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> [Comment to Article 10.2.1.1: While it is theoretically possible for an Athlete or other Person to establish that the anti-doping rule violation was not intentional without showing how the Prohibited Substance entered one's system, it is highly unlikely that in a doping case under Article 2.1 an Athlete will be successful in proving that the Athlete acted unintentionally without establishing the source of the Prohibited Substance.]

the *Athlete* can establish that the *Prohibited Substance* was *Used Out-of-Competition* in a context unrelated to sport performance.<sup>41</sup>

- **10.2.4** Notwithstanding any other provision in Article 10.2, where the anti-doping rule violation involves a *Substance of Abuse*:
  - **10.2.4.1** If the *Athlete* can establish that any ingestion or *Use* occurred *Out-of-Competition* and was unrelated to sport performance, then the period of *Ineligibility* shall be three (3) months *Ineligibility*.

In addition, the period of *Ineligibility* calculated under this Article 10.2.4.1 may be reduced to one (1) month if the *Athlete* or other *Person* satisfactorily completes a *Substance of Abuse* treatment program approved by FIL. The period of *Ineligibility* established in this Article 10.2.4.1 is not subject to any reduction based on any provision in Article 10.6.<sup>42</sup>

**10.2.4.2** If the ingestion, *Use* or *Possession* occurred *In-Competition*, and the *Athlete* can establish that the context of the ingestion, *Use* or *Possession* was unrelated to sport performance, then the ingestion, *Use* or *Possession* shall not be considered intentional for purposes of Article 10.2.1 and shall not provide a basis for a finding of *Aggravating Circumstances* under Article 10.4.

# 10.3 *Ineligibility* for Other Anti-Doping Rule Violations

The period of *Ineligibility* for anti-doping rule violations other than as provided in Article 10.2 shall be as follows, unless Article 10.6 or 10.7 are applicable:

- **10.3.1** For violations of Article 2.3 or 2.5, the period of *Ineligibility* shall be four (4) years except: (i) in the case of failing to submit to *Sample* collection, if the *Athlete* can establish that the commission of the anti-doping rule violation was not intentional, the period of *Ineligibility* shall be two (2) years; (ii) in all other cases, if the *Athlete* or other *Person* can establish exceptional circumstances that justify a reduction of the period of *Ineligibility*, the period of *Ineligibility* shall be in a range from two (2) years to four (4) years depending on the *Athlete* or other *Person*'s degree of *Fault*, or (iii) in a case involving a *Protected Person* or *Recreational Athlete*, the period of *Ineligibility* shall be in a range between a maximum of two (2) years and, at a minimum, a reprimand and no period of *Ineligibility*, depending on the *Protected Person* or *Recreational Athlete*.
- **10.3.2** For violations of Article 2.4, the period of *Ineligibility* shall be two (2) years, subject to reduction down to a minimum of one (1) year, depending on the *Athlete's* degree of *Fault*. The flexibility between two (2) years and one (1) year of *Ineligibility* in this Article is not available to *Athletes* where a pattern of last-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> [Comment to Article 10.2.3: Article 10.2.3 provides a special definition of "intentional" which is to be applied solely for purposes of Article 10.2.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> [Comment to Article 10.2.4.1: The determinations as to whether the treatment program is approved and whether the Athlete or other Person has satisfactorily completed the program shall be made in the sole discretion of FIL. This Article is intended to give FIL the leeway to apply their own judgment to identify and approve legitimate and reputable, as opposed to "sham", treatment programs. It is anticipated, however, that the characteristics of legitimate treatment programs may vary widely and change over time such that it would not be practical for WADA to develop mandatory criteria for acceptable treatment programs.]

minute whereabouts changes or other conduct raises a serious suspicion that the *Athlete* was trying to avoid being available for *Testing*.

- **10.3.3** For violations of Article 2.7 or 2.8, the period of *Ineligibility* shall be a minimum of four (4) years up to lifetime *Ineligibility*, depending on the seriousness of the violation. An Article 2.7 or Article 2.8 violation involving a *Protected Person* shall be considered a particularly serious violation and, if committed by *Athlete Support Personnel* for violations other than for *Specified Substances*, shall result in lifetime *Ineligibility* for *Athlete Support Personnel*. In addition, significant violations of Article 2.7 or 2.8 which may also violate non-sporting laws and regulations, shall be reported to the competent administrative, professional or judicial authorities.<sup>43</sup>
- **10.3.4** For violations of Article 2.9, the period of *Ineligibility* imposed shall be a minimum of two (2) years, up to lifetime *Ineligibility*, depending on the seriousness of the violation.
- **10.3.5** For violations of Article 2.10, the period of *Ineligibility* shall be two (2) years, subject to reduction down to a minimum of one (1) year, depending on the *Athlete* or other *Person's* degree of *Fault* and other circumstances of the case.<sup>44</sup>
- For violations of Article 2.11, the period of *Ineligibility* shall be a minimum of two (2) years, up to lifetime *Ineligibility*, depending on the seriousness of the violation by the *Athlete* or other *Person*.<sup>45</sup>

# 10.4 Aggravating Circumstances which may Increase the Period of Ineligibility

If FIL establishes in an individual case involving an anti-doping rule violation other than violations under Article 2.7 (*Trafficking* or *Attempted Trafficking*), 2.8 (*Administration* or *Attempted Administration*), 2.9 (Complicity or *Attempted* Complicity) or 2.11 (Acts by an *Athlete* or Other *Person* to Discourage or Retaliate Against Reporting) that *Aggravating Circumstances* are present which justify the imposition of a period of *Ineligibility* greater than the standard sanction, then the period of *Ineligibility* otherwise applicable shall be increased by an additional period of *Ineligibility* of up to two (2) years depending on the seriousness of the violation and the nature of the *Aggravating Circumstances*, unless the *Athlete* or other *Person* can establish that he or she did not knowingly commit the anti-doping rule violation.<sup>46</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> [Comment to Article 10.3.3: Those who are involved in doping Athletes or covering up doping should be subject to sanctions which are more severe than the Athletes who test positive. Since the authority of sport organizations is generally limited to Ineligibility for accreditation, membership and other sport benefits, reporting Athlete Support Personnel to competent authorities is an important step in the deterrence of doping.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> [Comment to Article 10.3.5: Where the "other Person" referenced in Article 2.10 is an entity and not an individual, that entity may be disciplined as provided in Article 12.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> [Comment to Article 10.3.6: Conduct that is found to violate both Article 2.5 (Tampering) and Article 2.11 (Acts by an Athlete or Other Person to Discourage or Retaliate Against Reporting to Authorities) shall be sanctioned based on the violation that carries the more severe sanction.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> [Comment to Article 10.4: Violations under Articles 2.7 (Trafficking or Attempted Trafficking), 2.8 (Administration or Attempted Administration), 2.9 (Complicity or Attempted Complicity) and 2.11 (Acts by an Athlete or Other Person to Discourage or Retaliate Against Reporting to Authorities) are not included in the application of Article 10.4 because the sanctions for these violations already build in sufficient discretion up to a lifetime ban to allow consideration of any Aggravating Circumstance.]

#### 10.5 Elimination of the Period of *Ineligibility* where there is *No Fault* or *Negligence*

If an *Athlete* or other *Person* establishes in an individual case that he or she bears *No Fault* or *Negligence*, then the otherwise applicable period of *Ineligibility* shall be eliminated.<sup>47</sup>

### 10.6 Reduction of the Period of Ineligibility based on No Significant Fault or Negligence

**10.6.1** Reduction of Sanctions in Particular Circumstances for Violations of Article 2.1, 2.2 or 2.6.

All reductions under Article 10.6.1 are mutually exclusive and not cumulative.

**10.6.1.1** Specified Substances or Specified Methods

Where the anti-doping rule violation involves a *Specified Substance* (other than a *Substance of Abuse*) or *Specified Method*, and the *Athlete* or other *Person* can establish *No Significant Fault* or *Negligence*, then the period of *Ineligibility* shall be, at a minimum, a reprimand and no period of *Ineligibility*, and at a maximum, two (2) years of *Ineligibility*, depending on the *Athlete's* or other *Person's* degree of *Fault*.

**10.6.1.2** Contaminated Products

In cases where the *Athlete* or other *Person* can establish both *No Significant Fault* or *Negligence* and that the detected *Prohibited Substance* (other than a *Substance of Abuse*) came from a *Contaminated Product*, then the period of *Ineligibility* shall be, at a minimum, a reprimand and no period of *Ineligibility*, and at a maximum, two (2) years *Ineligibility*, depending on the *Athlete* or other *Person's* degree of *Fault*.<sup>48</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> [Comment to Article 10.5: This Article and Article 10.6.2 apply only to the imposition of sanctions; they are not applicable to the determination of whether an anti-doping rule violation has occurred. They will only apply in exceptional circumstances, for example, where an Athlete could prove that, despite all due care, he or she was sabotaged by a competitor. Conversely, No Fault or Negligence would not apply in the following circumstances: (a) a positive test resulting from a mislabeled or contaminated vitamin or nutritional supplement (Athletes are responsible for what they ingest (Article 2.1) and have been warned against the possibility of supplement contamination); (b) the Administration of a Prohibited Substance by the Athlete's personal physician or trainer without disclosure to the Athlete (Athletes are responsible for their choice of medical personnel and for advising medical personnel that they cannot be given any Prohibited Substance); and (c) sabotage of the Athlete's food or drink by a spouse, coach or other Person within the Athlete's circle of associates (Athletes are responsible for what they ingest and for the conduct of those Persons to whom they entrust access to their food and drink). However, depending on the unique facts of a particular case, any of the referenced illustrations could result in a reduced sanction under Article 10.6 based on No Significant Fault or Negligence.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> [Comment to Article 10.6.1.2: In order to receive the benefit of this Article, the Athlete or other Person must establish not only that the detected Prohibited Substance came from a Contaminated Product, but must also separately establish No Significant Fault or Negligence. It should be further noted that Athletes are on notice that they take nutritional supplements at their own risk. The sanction reduction based on No Significant Fault or Negligence has rarely been applied in Contaminated Product cases unless the Athlete has exercised a high level of caution before taking the Contaminated Product. In assessing whether the Athlete can establish the source of the Prohibited Substance, it would, for example, be significant for purposes of establishing whether the Athlete actually Used the Contaminated Product, whether the Athlete had declared the product which was subsequently determined to be contaminated on the Doping Control form.

This Article should not be extended beyond products that have gone through some process of manufacturing. Where an Adverse Analytical Finding results from environment contamination of a "non-product" such as tap water or lake water in circumstances where no reasonable person would expect any risk of an anti-doping rule violation, typically there would be No Fault or Negligence under Article 10.5.]

#### **10.6.1.3** Protected Persons or Recreational Athletes

Where the anti-doping rule violation not involving a *Substance of Abuse* is committed by a *Protected Person* or *Recreational Athlete*, and the *Protected Person* or *Recreational Athlete* can establish *No Significant Fault* or *Negligence*, then the period of *Ineligibility* shall be, at a minimum, a reprimand and no period of *Ineligibility*, and at a maximum, two (2) years *Ineligibility*, depending on the *Protected Person* or *Recreational Athlete*'s degree of *Fault*.

**10.6.2** Application of *No Significant Fault* or *Negligence* beyond the Application of Article 10.6.1

If an *Athlete* or other *Person* establishes in an individual case where Article 10.6.1 is not applicable that he or she bears *No Significant Fault* or *Negligence*, then, subject to further reduction or elimination as provided in Article 10.7, the otherwise applicable period of *Ineligibility* may be reduced based on the *Athlete* or other *Person's* degree of *Fault*, but the reduced period of *Ineligibility* may not be less than one-half of the period of *Ineligibility* otherwise applicable. If the otherwise applicable period of *Ineligibility* is a lifetime, the reduced period under this Article may be no less than eight (8) years.<sup>49</sup>

# 10.7 Elimination, Reduction, or Suspension of Period of *Ineligibility* or other *Consequences* for Reasons other than *Fault*

- 10.7.1 Substantial Assistance in Discovering or Establishing Code Violations<sup>50</sup>
  - 10.7.1.1 FIL may, prior to an appellate decision under Article 13 or the expiration of the time to appeal, suspend a part of the Consequences (other than Disgualification and mandatory Public Disclosure) imposed in an individual case where the Athlete or other Person has provided Substantial Assistance to an Anti-Doping Organization, criminal authority or professional disciplinary body which results in: (i) the Anti-Doping Organization discovering or bringing forward an antidoping rule violation by another Person; or (ii) which results in a criminal or disciplinary body discovering or bringing forward a criminal offense or the breach of professional rules committed by another Person and the information provided by the Person providing Substantial Assistance is made available to FIL or other Anti-Doping Organization with Results Management responsibility; or (iii) which results in WADA initiating a proceeding against a Signatory, WADAaccredited laboratory, or Athlete passport management unit (as defined in the International Standard for Laboratories) for noncompliance with the Code, International Standard or Technical Document, or (iv) with the approval by WADA, which results in a criminal or disciplinary body bringing forward a criminal offense or the breach of professional or sport rules arising out of a sport integrity violation other than doping. After an appellate decision under Article 13 or the expiration of time to appeal, FIL may only suspend a part of the otherwise applicable Consequences with the approval of WADA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> [Comment to Article 10.6.2: Article 10.6.2 may be applied to any anti-doping rule violation except those Articles where intent is an element of the anti-doping rule violation (e.g., Article 2.5, 2.7, 2.8, 2.9 or 2.11) or an element of a particular sanction (e.g., Article 10.2.1) or a range of Ineligibility is already provided in an Article based on the Athlete or other Person's degree of Fault.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> [Comment to Article 10.7.1: The cooperation of Athletes, Athlete Support Personnel and other Persons who acknowledge their mistakes and are willing to bring other anti-doping rule violations to light is important to clean sport.]

The extent to which the otherwise applicable period of *Ineligibility* may be suspended shall be based on the seriousness of the anti-doping rule violation committed by the *Athlete* or other *Person* and the significance of the *Substantial Assistance* provided by the *Athlete* or other *Person* to the effort to eliminate doping in sport, non-compliance with the *Code* and/or sport integrity violations. No more than threequarters of the otherwise applicable period of *Ineligibility* may be suspended. If the otherwise applicable period of *Ineligibility* is a lifetime, the non-suspended period under this Article must be no less than eight (8) years. For purposes of this paragraph, the otherwise applicable period of *Ineligibility* that could be added under Article 10.9.3.2 of these Anti-Doping Rules.

If so requested by an *Athlete* or other *Person* who seeks to provide *Substantial Assistance*, FIL shall allow the *Athlete* or other *Person* to provide the information to it subject to a *Without Prejudice Agreement*.

If the *Athlete* or other *Person* fails to continue to cooperate and to provide the complete and credible *Substantial Assistance* upon which a suspension of *Consequences* was based, FIL shall reinstate the original *Consequences*. If FIL decides to reinstate suspended *Consequences* or decides not to reinstate suspended *Consequences*, that decision may be appealed by any *Person* entitled to appeal under Article 13.

- 10.7.1.2 To further encourage Athletes and other Persons to provide Substantial Assistance to Anti-Doping Organizations, at the request of FIL or at the request of the Athlete or other Person who has, or has been asserted to have, committed an anti-doping rule violation, or other violation of the Code, WADA may agree at any stage of the Results Management process, including after an appellate decision under Article 13, to what it considers to be an appropriate suspension of the otherwise-applicable period of Ineligibility and other Consequences. In exceptional circumstances, WADA may agree to suspensions of the period of *Ineligibility* and other *Consequences* for Substantial Assistance greater than those otherwise provided in this Article, or even no period of Ineligibility, no mandatory Public Disclosure and/or no return of prize money or payment of fines or costs. WADA's approval shall be subject to reinstatement of Consequences, as otherwise provided in this Article. Notwithstanding Article 13, WADA's decisions in the context of this Article 10.7.1.2 may not be appealed.
- **10.7.1.3** If FIL suspends any part of an otherwise applicable sanction because of *Substantial Assistance*, then notice providing justification for the decision shall be provided to the other *Anti-Doping Organizations* with a right to appeal under Article 13.2.3 as provided in Article 14. In unique circumstances where *WADA* determines that it would be in the best interest of anti-doping, *WADA* may authorize FIL to enter into appropriate confidentiality agreements limiting or delaying the disclosure of the *Substantial Assistance* agreement or the nature of *Substantial Assistance* being provided.

## **10.7.2** Admission of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation in the Absence of Other Evidence

Where an *Athlete* or other *Person* voluntarily admits the commission of an anti-doping rule violation before having received notice of a *Sample* collection which could establish an anti-doping rule violation (or, in the case of an anti-doping rule violation other than Article 2.1, before receiving first notice of the admitted violation pursuant to Article 7) and that admission is the only reliable evidence of the violation at the time of admission, then the period of *Ineligibility* may be reduced, but not below one-half of the period of *Ineligibility* otherwise applicable.<sup>51</sup>

## **10.7.3** Application of Multiple Grounds for Reduction of a Sanction

Where an *Athlete* or other *Person* establishes entitlement to reduction in sanction under more than one provision of Article 10.5, 10.6 or 10.7, before applying any reduction or suspension under Article 10.7, the otherwise applicable period of *Ineligibility* shall be determined in accordance with Articles 10.2, 10.3, 10.5, and 10.6. If the *Athlete* or other *Person* establishes entitlement to a reduction or suspension of the period of *Ineligibility* under Article 10.7, then the period of *Ineligibility* may be reduced or suspended, but not below one-fourth of the otherwise applicable period of *Ineligibility*.

# 10.8 *Results Management* Agreements

**10.8.1** One (1) Year Reduction for Certain Anti-Doping Rule Violations Based on Early Admission and Acceptance of Sanction

Where an *Athlete* or other *Person*, after being notified by FIL of a potential anti-doping rule violation that carries an asserted period of *Ineligibility* of four (4) or more years (including any period of *Ineligibility* asserted under Article 10.4), admits the violation and accepts the asserted period of *Ineligibility* no later than twenty (20) days after receiving notice of an anti-doping rule violation charge, the *Athlete* or other *Person* may receive a one (1) year reduction in the period of *Ineligibility* asserted by FIL. Where the *Athlete* or other *Person* receives the one (1) year reduction in the asserted period of *Ineligibility* under this Article 10.8.1, no further reduction in the asserted period of *Ineligibility* shall be allowed under any other Article.<sup>52</sup>

# **10.8.2** Case Resolution Agreement

Where the *Athlete* or other *Person* admits an anti-doping rule violation after being confronted with the anti-doping rule violation by FIL and agrees to *Consequences* acceptable to FIL and *WADA*, at their sole discretion, then: (a) the *Athlete* or other *Person* may receive a reduction in the period of *Ineligibility* based on an assessment by FIL and *WADA* of the application of Articles 10.1 through 10.7 to the asserted anti-doping rule violation, the seriousness of the violation, the *Athlete* or other *Person*'s degree of *Fault* and how promptly the *Athlete* or other *Person* admitted the violation; and (b) the period of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> [Comment to Article 10.7.2: This Article is intended to apply when an Athlete or other Person comes forward and admits to an anti-doping rule violation in circumstances where no Anti-Doping Organization is aware that an anti-doping rule violation might have been committed. It is not intended to apply to circumstances where the admission occurs after the Athlete or other Person believes he or she is about to be caught. The amount by which Ineligibility is reduced should be based on the likelihood that the Athlete or other Person would have been caught had he or she not come forward voluntarily.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> [Comment to Article 10.8.1: For example, if FIL alleges that an Athlete has violated Article 2.1 for Use of an anabolic steroid and asserts the applicable period of Ineligibility is four (4) years, then the Athlete may unilaterally reduce the period of Ineligibility to three (3) years by admitting the violation and accepting the three (3) year period of Ineligibility within the time specified in this Article, with no further reduction allowed. This resolves the case without any need for a hearing.]

Ineligibility may start as early as the date of Sample collection or the date on which another anti-doping rule violation last occurred. In each case, however, where this Article is applied, the Athlete or other Person shall serve at least one-half of the agreed-upon period of Ineligibility going forward from the earlier of the date the Athlete or other Person accepted the imposition of a sanction or a Provisional Suspension which was subsequently respected by the Athlete or other Person. The decision by WADA and FIL to enter or not enter into a case resolution agreement, and the amount of the reduction to, and the starting date of, the period of Ineligibility are not matters for determination or review by a hearing body and are not subject to appeal under Article 13.

If so requested by an *Athlete* or other *Person* who seeks to enter into a case resolution agreement under this Article, FIL shall allow the *Athlete* or other *Person* to discuss an admission of the anti-doping rule violation with it subject to a *Without Prejudice Agreement*.<sup>53</sup>

## 10.9 Multiple Violations

- **10.9.1** Second or Third Anti-Doping Rule Violation
  - **10.9.1.1** For an *Athlete* or other *Person's* second anti-doping rule violation, the period of *Ineligibility* shall be the greater of:
    - (a) A six (6) month period of Ineligibility; or
    - (b) A period of Ineligibility in the range between:
      - (i) the sum of the period of *Ineligibility* imposed for the first antidoping rule violation plus the period of *Ineligibility* otherwise applicable to the second anti-doping rule violation treated as if it were a first violation, and
      - (ii) twice the period of *Ineligibility* otherwise applicable to the second anti-doping rule violation treated as if it were a first violation.
      - The period of *Ineligibility* within this range shall be determined based on the entirety of the circumstances and the *Athlete* or other *Person*'s degree of *Fault* with respect to the second violation.
  - **10.9.1.2** A third anti-doping rule violation will always result in a lifetime period of *Ineligibility*, except if the third violation fulfills the condition for elimination or reduction of the period of *Ineligibility* under Article 10.5 or 10.6, or involves a violation of Article 2.4. In these particular cases, the period of *Ineligibility* shall be from eight (8) years to lifetime *Ineligibility*.
  - **10.9.1.3** The period of *Ineligibility* established in Articles 10.9.1.1 and 10.9.1.2 may then be further reduced by the application of Article 10.7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> [Comment to Article 10.8: Any mitigating or aggravating factors set forth in this Article 10 shall be considered in arriving at the Consequences set forth in the case resolution agreement, and shall not be applicable beyond the terms of that agreement.]

- **10.9.2** An anti-doping rule violation for which an *Athlete* or other *Person* has established *No Fault* or *Negligence* shall not be considered a violation for purposes of this Article 10.9. In addition, an anti-doping rule violation sanctioned under Article 10.2.4.1 shall not be considered a violation for purposes of Article 10.9.
- **10.9.3** Additional Rules for Certain Potential Multiple Violations
  - **10.9.3.1** For purposes of imposing sanctions under Article 10.9, except as provided in Articles 10.9.3.2 and 10.9.3.3, an anti-doping rule violation will only be considered a second violation if FIL can establish that the *Athlete* or other *Person* committed the additional anti-doping rule violation after the *Athlete* or other *Person* received notice pursuant to Article 7, or after FIL made reasonable efforts to give notice of the first anti-doping rule violation. If FIL cannot establish this, the violations shall be considered together as one single first violation, and the sanction imposed shall be based on the violation that carries the more severe sanction, including the application of *Aggravating Circumstances*. Results in all *Competitions* dating back to the earlier anti-doping rule violation will be *Disqualified* as provided in Article 10.10.<sup>54</sup>
  - **10.9.3.2** If FIL establishes that an *Athlete* or other *Person* committed an additional anti-doping rule violation prior to notification, and that the additional violation occurred twelve (12) months or more before or after the first-noticed violation, then the period of Ineligibility for the additional violation shall be calculated as if the additional violation were a stand-alone first violation and this period of *Ineligibility* is served consecutively, rather than concurrently, with the period of *Ineligibility* imposed for the earlier-noticed violation. Where this Article 10.9.3.2 applies, the violations taken together shall constitute a single violation for purposes of Article 10.9.1.
  - **10.9.3.3** If FIL establishes that an *Athlete* or other *Person* committed a violation of Article 2.5 in connection with the *Doping Control* process for an underlying asserted anti-doping rule violation, the violation of Article 2.5 shall be treated as a stand-alone first violation and the period of Ineligibility for such violation shall be served consecutively, rather than concurrently, with the period of *Ineligibility*, if any, imposed for the underlying anti-doping rule violation. Where this Article 10.9.3.3 is applied, the violations taken together shall constitute a single violation for purposes of Article 10.9.1.
  - **10.9.3.4** If FIL establishes that an *Athlete* or other *Person* has committed a second or third anti-doping rule violation during a period of *Ineligibility*, the periods of *Ineligibility* for the multiple violations shall run consecutively, rather than concurrently.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> [Comment to Article 10.9.3.1: The same rule applies where, after the imposition of a sanction, FIL discovers facts involving an anti-doping rule violation that occurred prior to notification for a first anti-doping rule violation – e.g., FIL shall impose a sanction based on the sanction that could have been imposed if the two (2) violations had been adjudicated at the same time, including the application of Aggravating Circumstances.]

**10.9.4** Multiple Anti-Doping Rule Violations during Ten (10) Year Period

For purposes of Article 10.9, each anti-doping rule violation must take place within the same ten (10) year period in order to be considered multiple violations.

# 10.10 *Disqualification* of Results in *Competitions* Subsequent to *Sample* Collection or Commission of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation

In addition to the automatic *Disqualification* of the results in the *Competition* which produced the positive *Sample* under Article 9, all other competitive results of the *Athlete* obtained from the date a positive *Sample* was collected (whether *In-Competition* or *Out-of-Competition*), or other antidoping rule violation occurred, through the commencement of any *Provisional Suspension* or *Ineligibility* period, shall, unless fairness requires otherwise, be *Disqualified* with all of the resulting *Consequences* including forfeiture of any medals, points and prizes.<sup>55</sup>

# 10.11 Forfeited Prize Money

If FIL recovers prize money forfeited as a result of an anti-doping rule violation, it shall take reasonable measures to allocate and distribute this prize money to the *Athletes* who would have been entitled to it had the forfeiting *Athlete* not competed.<sup>56</sup>

## 10.12 Financial Consequences

- **10.12.1** Where an *Athlete* or other *Person* commits an anti-doping rule violation, FIL may, in its discretion and subject to the principle of proportionality, elect to (a) recover from the *Athlete* or other *Person* costs associated with the anti-doping rule violation, regardless of the period of *Ineligibility* imposed and/or (b) fine the *Athlete* or other *Person* in an amount up to 10`000 Euros only in cases where the maximum period of *Ineligibility* otherwise applicable has already been imposed.
- **10.12.2** The imposition of a financial sanction or the FIL's recovery of costs shall not be considered a basis for reducing the *Ineligibility* or other sanction which would otherwise be applicable under these Anti-Doping Rules.

#### 10.13 Commencement of *Ineligibility* Period

Where an *Athlete* is already serving a period of *Ineligibility* for an anti-doping rule violation, any new period of *Ineligibility* shall commence on the first day after the current period of *Ineligibility* has been served. Otherwise, except as provided below, the period of *Ineligibility* shall start on the date of the final hearing decision providing for *Ineligibility* or, if the hearing is waived or there is no hearing, on the date *Ineligibility* is accepted or otherwise imposed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> [Comment to Article 10.10: Nothing in these Anti-Doping Rules precludes clean Athletes or other Persons who have been damaged by the actions of a Person who has committed an anti-doping rule violation from pursuing any right which they would otherwise have to seek damages from such Person.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> [Comment to Article 10.11: This Article is not intended to impose an affirmative duty on FIL to take any action to collect forfeited prize money. If FIL elects not to take any action to collect forfeited prize money, it may assign its right to recover such money to the Athlete(s) who should have otherwise received the money. "Reasonable measures to allocate and distribute this prize money" could include using collected forfeited prize money as agreed upon by FIL and its Athletes.]

#### **10.13.1** Delays Not Attributable to the *Athlete* or other *Person*

Where there have been substantial delays in the hearing process or other aspects of *Doping Control*, and the *Athlete* or other *Person* can establish that such delays are not attributable to the *Athlete* or other *Person*, FIL or CAS ADD, if applicable, may start the period of *Ineligibility* at an earlier date commencing as early as the date of *Sample* collection or the date on which another anti-doping rule violation last occurred. All competitive results achieved during the period of *Ineligibility*, including retroactive *Ineligibility*, shall be *Disqualified*.<sup>57</sup>

- **10.13.2** Credit for *Provisional Suspension* or Period of *Ineligibility* Served
  - **10.13.2.1** If a *Provisional Suspension* is respected by the *Athlete* or other *Person*, then the *Athlete* or other *Person* shall receive a credit for such period of *Provisional Suspension* against any period of *Ineligibility* which may ultimately be imposed. If the *Athlete* or other *Person* does not respect a *Provisional Suspension*, then the *Athlete* or other *Person* does not respect a *Provisional Suspension*, then the *Athlete* or other *Person* shall receive no credit for any period of *Provisional Suspension* served. If a period of *Ineligibility* is served pursuant to a decision that is subsequently appealed, then the *Athlete* or other *Person* shall receive a credit for such period of *Ineligibility* served against any period of *Ineligibility* which may ultimately be imposed on appeal.
  - **10.13.2.2** If an *Athlete* or other *Person* voluntarily accepts a *Provisional Suspension* in writing from FIL and thereafter respects the *Provisional Suspension*, the *Athlete* or other *Person* shall receive a credit for such period of voluntary *Provisional Suspension* against any period of *Ineligibility* which may ultimately be imposed. A copy of the *Athlete* or other *Person's* voluntary acceptance of a *Provisional Suspension* shall be provided promptly to each party entitled to receive notice of an asserted anti-doping rule violation under Article 14.1.<sup>58</sup>
  - **10.13.2.3** No credit against a period of *Ineligibility* shall be given for any time period before the effective date of the *Provisional Suspension* or voluntary *Provisional Suspension* regardless of whether the *Athlete* elected not to compete or was suspended by a team.
  - **10.13.2.4** In *Team Sports*, where a period of *Ineligibility* is imposed upon a team, unless fairness requires otherwise, the period of *Ineligibility* shall start on the date of the final hearing decision providing for *Ineligibility* or, if the hearing is waived, on the date *Ineligibility* is accepted or otherwise imposed. Any period of team *Provisional Suspension* (whether imposed or voluntarily accepted) shall be credited against the total period of *Ineligibility* to be served.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> [Comment to Article 10.13.1: In cases of anti-doping rule violations other than under Article 2.1, the time required for an Anti-Doping Organization to discover and develop facts sufficient to establish an anti-doping rule violation may be lengthy, particularly where the Athlete or other Person has taken affirmative action to avoid detection. In these circumstances, the flexibility provided in this Article to start the sanction at an earlier date should not be used.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> [Comment to Article 10.13.2.2: An Athlete's voluntary acceptance of a Provisional Suspension is not an admission by the Athlete and shall not be used in any way to draw an adverse inference against the Athlete.]

#### 10.14 Status During Ineligibility or Provisional Suspension

## **10.14.1** Prohibition Against Participation During *Ineligibility* or *Provisional Suspension*

No Athlete or other Person who has been declared Ineligible or is subject to a Provisional Suspension may, during a period of Ineligibility or Provisional Suspension, participate in any capacity in a Competition or activity (other than authorized anti-doping Education or rehabilitation programs) authorized or organized by any Signatory, Signatory's member organization, or a club or other member organization of a Signatory's member organization, or in Competitions authorized or organized by any professional league or any international-or national-level Event organization or any elite or national-level sporting activity funded by a governmental agency.

An *Athlete* or other *Person* subject to a period of *Ineligibility* longer than four (4) years may, after completing four (4) years of the period of *Ineligibility*, participate as an *Athlete* in local sport events not sanctioned or otherwise under the authority of a *Code Signatory* or member of a *Code Signatory*, but only so long as the local sport event is not at a level that could otherwise qualify such *Athlete* or other *Person* directly or indirectly to compete in (or accumulate points toward) a national championship or *International Event*, and does not involve the *Athlete* or other *Person* working in any capacity with *Protected Persons*.

An *Athlete* or other *Person* subject to a period of *Ineligibility* shall remain subject to *Testing* and any requirement by FIL to provide whereabouts information.<sup>59</sup>

## **10.14.2** Return to Training

As an exception to Article 10.14.1, an *Athlete* may return to train with a team or to use the facilities of a club or other member organization of FIL's or other *Signatory's* member organization during the shorter of: (1) the last two months of the *Athlete's* period of *Ineligibility*, or (2) the last one-quarter of the period of *Ineligibility* imposed.<sup>60</sup>

**10.14.3** Violation of the Prohibition of Participation During *Ineligibility* or *Provisional Suspension* 

Where an *Athlete* or other *Person* who has been declared *Ineligible* violates the prohibition against participation during *Ineligibility* described in Article 10.14.1, the results of such participation shall be *Disqualified* and a new period of *Ineligibility* equal in length to the original period of *Ineligibility* shall be added to the end of the original period of *Ineligibility*, including a reprimand and no period of *Ineligibility*, may be adjusted based on the *Athlete* or other *Person*'s degree of *Fault* and other circumstances of the case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> [Comment to Article 10.14.1: For example, subject to Article 10.14.2 below, Ineligible Athletes cannot participate in a training camp, exhibition or practice organized by their National Federation or a club which is a member of that National Federation or which is funded by a governmental agency. Further, an Ineligible Athlete may not compete in a non-Signatory professional league (e.g., the National Hockey League, the National Basketball Association, etc.), Events organized by a non-Signatory International Event organization or a non-Signatory national-level Event organization without triggering the Consequences set forth in Article 10.14.3. The term "activity" also includes, for example, administrative activities, such as serving as an official, director, officer, employee, or volunteer of the organization described in this Article. Ineligibility imposed in one sport shall also be recognized by other sports (see Article 15.1, Automatic Binding Effect of Decisions). An Athlete or other Person serving a period of Ineligibility is prohibited from coaching or serving as an Athlete Support Person in any other capacity at any time during the period of Ineligibility, and doing so could also result in a violation of Article 2.10 by another Athlete. Any performance standard accomplished during a period of Ineligibility shall not be recognized by FIL or its National Federations for any purpose.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> [Comment to Article 10.14.2: In many Team Sports and some individual sports (e.g., ski jumping and gymnastics), Athletes cannot effectively train on their own so as to be ready to compete at the end of the Athlete's period of Ineligibility. During the training period described in this Article, an Ineligible Athlete may not compete or engage in any activity described in Article 10.14.1 other than training.]

The determination of whether an *Athlete* or other *Person* has violated the prohibition against participation, and whether an adjustment is appropriate, shall be made by the *Anti-Doping Organization* whose *Results Management* led to the imposition of the initial period of *Ineligibility*. This decision may be appealed under Article 13.

An *Athlete* or other *Person* who violates the prohibition against participation during a *Provisional Suspension* described in Article 10.14.1 shall receive no credit for any period of *Provisional Suspension* served and the results of such participation shall be *Disqualified*.

Where an *Athlete Support Person* or other *Person* assists a *Person* in violating the prohibition against participation during *Ineligibility* or a *Provisional Suspension*, FIL shall impose sanctions for a violation of Article 2.9 for such assistance.

**10.14.4** Withholding of Financial Support during *Ineligibility* 

In addition, for any anti-doping rule violation not involving a reduced sanction as described in Article 10.5 or 10.6, some or all sport-related financial support or other sport-related benefits received by such *Person* will be withheld by FIL and its *National Federations*.

## 10.15 Automatic Publication of Sanction

A mandatory part of each sanction shall include automatic publication, as provided in Article 14.3.

# ARTICLE 11 CONSEQUENCES TO TEAMS

## 11.1 *Testing* of Teams

Where one (1) member of a team (outside of *Team Sports*) has been notified of an anti-doping rule violation under Article 7 in connection with an *Event*, the ruling body for the *Event* shall conduct appropriate *Target Testing* of all members of the team during the *Event Period*.

# 11.2 *Consequences* for Teams

- **11.2.1** An anti-doping rule violation committed by a member of a team in connection with an *In-Competition* test automatically leads to *Disqualification* of the result obtained by the team in that *Competition*, with all resulting *Consequences* for the team and its members, including forfeiture of any medals, points and prizes.
- **11.2.2** An anti-doping rule violation committed by a member of a team occurring during or in connection with an *Event* may lead to *Disqualification* of all of the results obtained by the team in that *Event* with all *Consequences* for the team and its members, including forfeiture of all medals, points and prizes, except as provided in Article 11.2.3.
- **11.2.3** Where an *Athlete* who is a member of a team committed an anti-doping rule violation during or in connection with one (1) *Competition* in an *Event*, if the other member(s) of the team establish(es) that he or she/they bear(s) *No Fault* or *Negligence* for that violation, the results of the team in any other *Competition(s)* in that *Event* shall not be *Disqualified* unless the results of the team in the *Competition(s)* other than the *Competition* in which the anti-doping rule violation occurred were likely to have been affected by the *Athlete's* anti-doping rule violation.

# ARTICLE 12 SANCTIONS BY FIL AGAINST OTHER SPORTING BODIES

When FIL becomes aware that a *National Federation* or any other sporting body over which it has authority has failed to comply with, implement, uphold, and enforce these Anti-Doping Rules within that organization's or body's area of competence, FIL has the authority and may take the following additional disciplinary actions:

- **12.1** Exclude all, or some group of, members of that organization or body from specified future *Events* or all *Events* conducted within a specified period of time.
- **12.2** Take additional disciplinary actions with respect to that organization's or body's recognition, the eligibility of their members to participate in FIL's activities, and/or fine that organization or body based on the following:
  - **12.2.1** Four (4) or more violations of these Anti-Doping Rules (other than violations involving Article 2.4) are committed by *Athletes* or other *Persons* affiliated with that organization or body during a twelve (12) month period. In such event: (a) all or some group of members of that organization or body may be banned from participation in any FIL activities for a period of up to two (2) years and/or (b) that organization or body may be fined in an amount up to 10`000 Euros.
  - **12.2.2** Four (4) or more violations of these Anti-Doping Rules (other than violations involving Article 2.4) are committed in addition to the violations described in Article 12.2.1 by *Athletes* or other *Persons* affiliated with that organization or body during a twelve (12) month period. In such event, that organization or body may be suspended for a period of up to four (4) years.
  - **12.2.3** More than one *Athlete* or other *Person* affiliated with that organization or body commits an anti-doping rule violation during an *International Event*. In such event, that organization or body may be fined in an amount up to 10`000 Euros.
  - **12.2.4** That organization or body has failed to make diligent efforts to keep FIL informed about an *Athlete's* whereabouts after receiving a request for that information from FIL. In such event, that organization or body may be fined in an amount up to 10`000 Euros per *Athlete*, in addition to reimbursement of all of the FIL costs incurred in *Testing* that organization's or body's *Athletes*.
- **12.3** Withhold some or all funding or other financial and non-financial support to that organization or body.
- **12.4** Get that organization or body to reimburse FIL for all costs (including but not limited to laboratory fees, hearing expenses and travel) related to a violation of these Anti-Doping Rules committed by an *Athlete* or other *Person* affiliated with that organization or body.

# ARTICLE 13 RESULTS MANAGEMENT: APPEALS <sup>61</sup>

# 13.1 Decisions Subject to Appeal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> [Comment to Article 13: The object of the Code is to have anti-doping matters resolved through fair and transparent internal processes with a final appeal. Anti-doping decisions by Anti-Doping Organizations are made transparent in Article 14. Specified Persons and organizations, including WADA, are then given the opportunity to appeal those decisions. Note that the definition of interested Persons and organizations with a right to appeal under Article 13 does not include Athletes, or their National Federations, who might benefit from having another competitor Disgualified.]

Decisions made under the *Code* or these Anti-Doping Rules may be appealed as set forth below in Articles 13.2 through 13.7 or as otherwise provided in these Anti-Doping Rules, the *Code* or the *International Standards*. Such decisions shall remain in effect while under appeal unless the appellate body orders otherwise.

## **13.1.1** Scope of Review Not Limited

The scope of review on appeal includes all issues relevant to the matter and is expressly not limited to the issues or scope of review before the initial decision maker. Any party to the appeal may submit evidence, legal arguments and claims that were not raised in the first instance hearing so long as they arise from the same cause of action or same general facts or circumstances raised or addressed in the first instance hearing.<sup>62</sup>

## **13.1.2** CAS Shall Not Defer to the Findings Being Appealed

In making its decision, *CAS* shall not give deference to the discretion exercised by the body whose decision is being appealed.<sup>63</sup>

## **13.1.3** *WADA* Not Required to Exhaust Internal Remedies

Where *WADA* has a right to appeal under Article 13 and no other party has appealed a final decision within FIL's process, *WADA* may appeal such decision directly to *CAS* without having to exhaust other remedies in FIL's process.<sup>64</sup>

# 13.2 Appeals from Decisions Regarding Anti-Doping Rule Violations, *Consequences*, *Provisional Suspensions*, Implementation of Decisions and Authority

A decision that an anti-doping rule violation was committed, a decision imposing *Consequences* or not imposing *Consequences* for an anti-doping rule violation, or a decision that no anti-doping rule violation was committed; a decision that an anti-doping rule violation proceeding cannot go forward for procedural reasons (including, for example, prescription); a decision by *WADA* not to grant an exception to the six (6) months notice requirement for a retired *Athlete* to return to *Competition* under Article 5.6.1; a decision by *WADA* assigning *Results Management* under Article 7.1 of the *Code*; a decision by FIL not to bring forward an *Adverse Analytical Finding* or an *Atypical Finding* as an anti-doping rule violation, or a decision not to go forward with an anti-doping rule violation after an investigation in accordance with the *International Standard* for *Results Management*; a decision to impose, or lift, a *Provisional Suspension* as a result of a *Provisional Hearing*; FIL's failure to comply with Article 7.4; a decision to suspend, or not suspend, *Consequences* or to reinstate, or not reinstate, *Consequences* under Article 10.7.1; failure to comply with Articles 7.1.4 and 7.1.5 of the *Code*; failure to comply with Article to comply with Article 10.7.1; failure to return to to implement another *Anti-Doping Organization's* decision under Article 15; and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> [Comment to Article 13.1.1: The revised language is not intended to make a substantive change to the 2015 Code, but rather for clarification. For example, where an Athlete was charged in the first instance hearing only with Tampering but the same conduct could also constitute Complicity, an appealing party could pursue both Tampering and Complicity charges against the Athlete in the appeal.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> [Comment to Article 13.1.2: CAS proceedings are de novo. Prior proceedings do not limit the evidence or carry weight in the hearing before CAS.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> [Comment to Article 13.1.3: Where a decision has been rendered before the final stage of FIL's process (for example, a first hearing) and no party elects to appeal that decision to the next level of FIL's process (e.g., the Managing Board), then WADA may bypass the remaining steps in FIL's internal process and appeal directly to CAS.]

a decision under Article 27.3 of the *Code* may be appealed exclusively as provided in this Article 13.2.

# **13.2.1** Appeals Involving International-Level Athletes or International Events

In cases arising from participation in an *International Event* or in cases involving *International-Level Athletes*, the decision may be appealed exclusively to CAS.<sup>65</sup>

## **13.2.2** Appeals Involving Other *Athletes* or Other *Persons*

In cases where Article 13.2.1 is not applicable, the decision may be appealed to an appellate body, in accordance with rules adopted by the *National Anti-Doping Organization* having authority over the *Athlete* or other *Person*.

The rules for such appeal shall respect the following principles: a timely hearing; a fair, impartial, *Operationally Independent* and *Institutionally Independent* hearing panel; the right to be represented by counsel at the *Person's* own expense; and a timely, written, reasoned decision.

If no such body as described above is in place and available at the time of the appeal, the decision may be appealed to *CAS* in accordance with the applicable procedural rules.

## **13.2.3** *Persons* Entitled to Appeal

## **13.2.3.1** Appeals Involving International-Level Athletes or International Events

In cases under Article 13.2.1, the following parties shall have the right to appeal to *CAS*: (a) the *Athlete* or other *Person* who is the subject of the decision being appealed; (b) the other party to the case in which the decision was rendered; (c) FIL; (d) the *National Anti-Doping Organization* of the *Person's* country of residence or countries where the *Person* is a national or license holder; (e) the International Olympic Committee or International Paralympic Committee, as applicable, where the decision may have an effect in relation to the Olympic Games or Paralympic Games; and (f) *WADA*.

#### **13.2.3.2** Appeals Involving Other *Athletes* or Other *Persons*

In cases under Article 13.2.2, the parties having the right to appeal to the appellate body shall be as provided in the *National Anti-Doping Organization's* rules but, at a minimum, shall include the following parties: (a) the *Athlete* or other *Person* who is the subject of the decision being appealed; (b) the other party to the case in which the decision was rendered; (c) FIL; (d) the *National Anti-Doping Organization* of the *Person's* country of residence or countries where the *Person* is a national or license holder; (e) the International Olympic Committee or International Paralympic Committee, as applicable, where the decision may have an effect in relation to the Olympic Games or Paralympic Games; and (f) WADA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> [Comment to Article 13.2.1: CAS decisions are final and binding except for any review required by law applicable to the annulment or enforcement of arbitral awards.]

For cases under Article 13.2.2, *WADA*, the International Olympic Committee, the International Paralympic Committee, and FIL shall also have the right to appeal to *CAS* with respect to the decision of the appellate body.

Any party filing an appeal shall be entitled to assistance from CAS to obtain all relevant information from the *Anti-Doping Organization* whose decision is being appealed and the information shall be provided if CAS so directs.

13.2.3.3 Duty to Notify

All parties to any CAS appeal must ensure that WADA and all other parties with a right to appeal have been given timely notice of the appeal.

## **13.2.3.4** Appeal from Imposition of *Provisional Suspension*

Notwithstanding any other provision herein, the only *Person* who may appeal from the imposition of a *Provisional Suspension* is the *Athlete* or other *Person* upon whom the *Provisional Suspension* is imposed.

**13.2.3.5** Appeal from Decisions under Article 12

Decisions by FIL pursuant to Article 12 may be appealed exclusively to CAS by the *National Federation* or other body.

## **13.2.4** Cross Appeals and other Subsequent Appeals Allowed

Cross appeals and other subsequent appeals by any respondent named in cases brought to *CAS* under the *Code* are specifically permitted. Any party with a right to appeal under this Article 13 must file a cross appeal or subsequent appeal at the latest with the party's answer.<sup>66</sup>

# 13.3 Failure to Render a Timely Decision by FIL

Where, in a particular case, FIL fails to render a decision with respect to whether an anti-doping rule violation was committed within a reasonable deadline set by *WADA*, *WADA* may elect to appeal directly to *CAS* as if FIL had rendered a decision finding no anti-doping rule violation. If the *CAS* hearing panel determines that an anti-doping rule violation was committed and that *WADA* acted reasonably in electing to appeal directly to *CAS*, then *WADA's* costs and attorney fees in prosecuting the appeal shall be reimbursed to *WADA* by FIL.<sup>67</sup>

# 13.4 Appeals Relating to *TUEs*

*TUE* decisions may be appealed exclusively as provided in Article 4.4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> [Comment to Article 13.2.4: This provision is necessary because since 2011, CAS rules no longer permit an Athlete the right to cross appeal when an Anti-Doping Organization appeals a decision after the Athlete's time for appeal has expired. This provision permits a full hearing for all parties.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> [Comment to Article 13.3: Given the different circumstances of each anti-doping rule violation investigation and Results Management process, it is not feasible to establish a fixed time period for FIL to render a decision before WADA may intervene by appealing directly to CAS. Before taking such action, however, WADA will consult with FIL and give FIL an opportunity to explain why it has not yet rendered a decision.]

## 13.5 Notification of Appeal Decisions

FIL shall promptly provide the appeal decision to the *Athlete* or other *Person* and to the other *Anti-Doping Organizations* that would have been entitled to appeal under Article 13.2.3 as provided under Article 14.2.

## 13.6 Time for Filing Appeals<sup>68</sup>

## **13.6.1** Appeals to CAS

The time to file an appeal to CAS shall be twenty-one (21) days from the date of receipt of the decision by the appealing party. The above notwithstanding, the following shall apply in connection with appeals filed by a party entitled to appeal but which was not a party to the proceedings that led to the decision being appealed:

- (a) Within fifteen (15) days from the notice of the decision, such party/ies shall have the right to request a copy of the full case file pertaining to the decision from the *Anti-Doping Organization* that had *Results Management* authority;
- (b) If such a request is made within the fifteen (15) day period, then the party making such request shall have twenty-one (21) days from receipt of the file to file an appeal to CAS.

The above notwithstanding, the filing deadline for an appeal filed by *WADA* shall be the later of:

- (a) Twenty-one (21) days after the last day on which any other party having a right to appeal could have appealed, or
- (b) Twenty-one (21) days after WADA's receipt of the complete file relating to the decision.

**13.6.2** Appeals Under Article 13.2.2

The time to file an appeal to an independent and impartial body in accordance with rules established by the *National Anti-Doping Organization* shall be indicated by the same rules of the *National Anti-Doping Organization*.

The above notwithstanding, the filing deadline for an appeal filed by *WADA* shall be the later of:

- (a) Twenty-one (21) days after the last day on which any other party having a right to appeal could have appealed, or
- (b) Twenty-one (21) days after WADA's receipt of the complete file relating to the decision.

# ARTICLE 14 CONFIDENTIALITY AND REPORTING

# 14.1 Information Concerning *Adverse Analytical Findings*, *Atypical Findings*, and Other Asserted Anti-Doping Rule Violations

**14.1.1** Notice of Anti-Doping Rule Violations to *Athletes* and other *Persons* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> [Comment to Article 13.6: Whether governed by CAS rules or these Anti-Doping Rules, a party's deadline to appeal does not begin running until receipt of the decision. For that reason, there can be no expiration of a party's right to appeal if the party has not received the decision.]

Notice to *Athletes* or other *Persons* of anti-doping rule violations asserted against them shall occur as provided under Articles 7 and 14.

If at any point during *Results Management* up until the anti-doping rule violation charge, FIL decides not to move forward with a matter, it must notify the *Athlete* or other *Person*, (provided that the *Athlete* or other *Person* had been already informed of the ongoing *Results Management*).

Notice shall be delivered or emailed to *Athletes* or other *Persons*. If the notification takes place via *National Federations*, the *National Federations* shall confirm the notification to the FIL.

# **14.1.2** Notice of Anti-Doping Rule Violations to *National Anti-Doping Organizations* and *WADA*

Notice of the assertion of an anti-doping rule violation to the *Athlete's* or other *Person's National Anti-Doping Organization* and *WADA* shall occur as provided under Articles 7 and 14, simultaneously with the notice to the *Athlete* or other *Person*.

If at any point during *Results Management* up until the anti-doping rule violation charge, FIL decides not to move forward with a matter, it must give notice (with reasons) to the *Anti-Doping Organizations* with a right of appeal under Article 13.2.3.

Notice shall be delivered or emailed.

#### **14.1.3** Content of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation Notice

Notification of an anti-doping rule violation shall include: the *Athlete's* or other *Person's* name, country, sport and discipline within the sport, the *Athlete's* competitive level, whether the test was *In-Competition* or *Out-of-Competition*, the date of *Sample* collection, the analytical result reported by the laboratory, and other information as required by the *International Standard* for *Testing* and Investigations and *International Standard* for *Results Management*.

Notification of anti-doping rule violations other than under Article 2.1 shall also include the rule violated and the basis of the asserted violation.

#### **14.1.4** Status Reports

Except with respect to investigations which have not resulted in a notice of an anti-doping rule violation pursuant to Article 14.1.1, the *Athlete's* or other *Person's National Anti-Doping Organization* and *WADA* shall be regularly updated on the status and findings of any review or proceedings conducted pursuant to Article 7, 8 or 13 and shall be provided with a prompt written reasoned explanation or decision explaining the resolution of the matter.

### **14.1.5** Confidentiality

The recipient organizations shall not disclose this information beyond those *Persons* with a need to know (which would include the appropriate personnel at the applicable *National Olympic Committee*, *National Federation*, and team in a *Team Sport* until FIL has made *Public Disclosure* as permitted by Article 14.3.

## **14.1.6** Protection of Confidential Information by an Employee or Agent of the FIL

FIL shall ensure that information concerning *Adverse Analytical Findings*, *Atypical Findings*, and other asserted anti-doping rule violations remains confidential until such information is *Publicly Disclosed* in accordance with Article 14.3. FIL shall ensure that its employees (whether permanent or otherwise), contractors, agents, consultants, and *Delegated Third Parties* are subject to fully enforceable contractual duty of confidentiality and to fully enforceable procedures for the investigation and disciplining of improper and/or unauthorized disclosure of such confidential information.

# 14.2 Notice of Anti-Doping Rule Violation or violations of *Ineligibility* or *Provisional Suspension* Decisions and Request for Files

- **14.2.1** Anti-doping rule violation decisions or decisions related to violations of *Ineligibility* or *Provisional Suspension* rendered pursuant to Article 7.6, 8.2, 10.5, 10.6, 10.7, 10.14.3 or 13.5 shall include the full reasons for the decision, including, if applicable, a justification for why the maximum potential sanction was not imposed. Where the decision is not in English or French, FIL shall provide an English or French summary of the decision and the supporting reasons.
- **14.2.2** An *Anti-Doping Organization* having a right to appeal a decision received pursuant to Article 14.2.1 may, within fifteen (15) days of receipt, request a copy of the full case file pertaining to the decision.

# 14.3 *Public Disclosure*

- **14.3.1** After notice has been provided to the *Athlete* or other *Person* in accordance with the *International Standard* for *Results Management*, and to the applicable *Anti-Doping Organizations* in accordance with Article 14.1.2, the identity of any *Athlete* or other *Person* who is notified of a potential anti-doping rule violation, the *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* and the nature of the violation involved, and whether the *Athlete* or other *Person* is subject to a *Provisional Suspension* may be *Publicly Disclosed* by FIL.
- **14.3.2** No later than twenty (20) days after it has been determined in an appellate decision under Article 13.2.1 or 13.2.2, or such appeal has been waived, or a hearing in accordance with Article 8 has been waived, or the assertion of an anti-doping rule violation has not otherwise been timely challenged, or the matter has been resolved under Article 10.8, or a new period of *Ineligibility*, or reprimand, has been imposed under Article 10.14.3, FIL must *Publicly Disclose* the disposition of the anti-doping matter, including the sport, the anti-doping rule violated, the name of the *Athlete* or other *Person* committing the violation, the *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* involved (if any) and the *Consequences* imposed. FIL must also *Publicly Disclose* within twenty (20) days the results of appellate decisions concerning anti-doping rule violations, including the information described above.<sup>69</sup>
- **14.3.3** After an anti-doping rule violation has been determined to have been committed in an appellate decision under Article 13.2.1 or 13.2.2 or such appeal has been

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> [Comment to Article 14.3.2: Where Public Disclosure as required by Article 14.3.2 would result in a breach of other applicable laws, FIL's failure to make the Public Disclosure will not result in a determination of non-compliance with Code as set forth in Article 4.1 of the International Standard for the Protection of Privacy and Personal Information.]

waived, or in a hearing in accordance with Article 8 or where such hearing has been waived, or the assertion of an anti-doping rule violation has not otherwise been timely challenged, or the matter has been resolved under Article 10.8, FIL may make public such determination or decision and may comment publicly on the matter.

- **14.3.4** In any case where it is determined, after a hearing or appeal, that the *Athlete* or other *Person* did not commit an anti-doping rule violation, the fact that the decision has been appealed may be *Publicly Disclosed*. However, the decision itself and the underlying facts may not be *Publicly Disclosed* except with the consent of the *Athlete* or other *Person* who is the subject of the decision. FIL shall use reasonable efforts to obtain such consent, and if consent is obtained, shall *Publicly Disclose* the decision in its entirety or in such redacted form as the *Athlete* or other *Person* may approve.
- **14.3.5** Publication shall be accomplished at a minimum by placing the required information on the FIL's website and leaving the information up for the longer of one (1) month or the duration of any period of *Ineligibility*. It will be removed immediately after the expiry of the indicated time periods.
- **14.3.6** Except as provided in Articles 14.3.1 and 14.3.3, no *Anti-Doping Organization*, *National Federation*, or *WADA*-accredited laboratory, or any official of any such body, shall publicly comment on the specific facts of any pending case (as opposed to general description of process and science) except in response to public comments attributed to, or based on information provided by, the *Athlete*, other *Person* or their entourage or other representatives.
- **14.3.7** The mandatory *Public Disclosure* required in Article 14.3.2 shall not be required where the *Athlete* or other *Person* who has been found to have committed an anti-doping rule violation is a *Minor*, *Protected Person* or *Recreational Athlete*. Any optional *Public Disclosure* in a case involving a *Minor*, *Protected Person* or *Recreational Athlete* shall be proportionate to the facts and circumstances of the case.

# 14.4 Statistical Reporting

FIL shall, at least annually, publish publicly a general statistical report of its *Doping Control* activities, with a copy provided to *WADA*. FIL may also publish reports showing the name of each *Athlete* tested and the date of each *Testing*.

#### 14.5 *Doping Control* Information Database and Monitoring of Compliance

To enable WADA to perform its compliance monitoring role and to ensure the effective use of resources and sharing of applicable *Doping Control* information among *Anti-Doping Organizations*, FIL shall report to WADA through ADAMS Doping Control-related information, including, in particular:

- (a) Athlete Biological Passport data for International-Level Athletes and National-Level Athletes,
- (b) Whereabouts information for *Athletes* including those in *Registered Testing Pools*,
- (c) *TUE* decisions, and
- (d) Results Management decisions,

as required under the applicable International Standard(s).

- **14.5.1** To facilitate coordinated test distribution planning, avoid unnecessary duplication in *Testing* by various *Anti-Doping Organizations*, and to ensure that *Athlete Biological Passport* profiles are updated, FIL shall report all *In-Competition* and *Out-of-Competition* tests to *WADA* by entering the *Doping Control* forms into *ADAMS* in accordance with the requirements and timelines contained in the *International Standard* for *Testing* and Investigations.
- **14.5.2** To facilitate *WADA*'s oversight and appeal rights for *TUEs*, FIL shall report all *TUE* applications, decisions and supporting documentation using *ADAMS* in accordance with the requirements and timelines contained in the *International Standard* for *Therapeutic Use Exemptions*.
- **14.5.3** To facilitate *WADA*'s oversight and appeal rights for *Results Management*, FIL shall report the following information into *ADAMS* in accordance with the requirements and timelines outlined in the *International Standard* for *Results Management*: (a) notifications of anti-doping rule violations and related decisions for *Adverse Analytical Findings*; (b) notifications and related decisions for other anti-doping rule violations that are not *Adverse Analytical Findings*; (c) whereabouts failures; and (d) any decision imposing, lifting or reinstating a *Provisional Suspension*.
- **14.5.4** The information described in this Article will be made accessible, where appropriate and in accordance with the applicable rules, to the *Athlete*, the *Athlete*'s *National Anti-Doping Organization*, and any other *Anti-Doping Organizations* with *Testing* authority over the *Athlete*.

## 14.6 Data Privacy

- **14.6.1** FIL may collect, store, process or disclose personal information relating to *Athletes* and other *Persons* where necessary and appropriate to conduct its *Anti-Doping Activities* under the *Code*, the *International Standards* (including specifically the *International Standard* for the Protection of Privacy and Personal Information), these Anti-Doping Rules, and in compliance with applicable law.
- **14.6.2** Without limiting the foregoing, FIL shall:
  - (a) Only process personal information in accordance with a valid legal ground;
  - (b) Notify any Participant or Person subject to these Anti-Doping Rules, in a manner and form that complies with applicable laws and the International Standard for the Protection of Privacy and Personal Information, that their personal information may be processed by FIL and other Persons for the purpose of the implementation of these Anti-Doping Rules;
  - (c) Ensure that any third-party agents (including any *Delegated Third Party*) with whom FIL shares the personal information of any *Participant* or *Person* is subject to appropriate technical and contractual controls to protect the confidentiality and privacy of such information.

# ARTICLE 15 IMPLEMENTATION OF DECISIONS

#### 15.1 Automatic Binding Effect of Decisions by *Signatory Anti-Doping Organizations*

**15.1.1** A decision of an anti-doping rule violation made by a *Signatory Anti-Doping Organization*, an appellate body (Article 13.2.2 of the *Code*) or *CAS* shall, after the parties to the proceeding are notified, automatically be binding beyond the

parties to the proceeding upon FIL and its *National Federations*, as well as every *Signatory* in every sport with the effects described below:

- **15.1.1.1** A decision by any of the above-described bodies imposing a *Provisional Suspension* (after a *Provisional Hearing* has occurred or the *Athlete* or other *Person* has either accepted the *Provisional Suspension* or has waived the right to a *Provisional Hearing*, expedited hearing or expedited appeal offered in accordance with Article 7.4.3) automatically prohibits the *Athlete* or other *Person* from participation (as described in Article 10.14.1) in all sports within the authority of any *Signatory* during the *Provisional Suspension*.
- **15.1.1.2** A decision by any of the above-described bodies imposing a period of *Ineligibility* (after a hearing has occurred or been waived) automatically prohibits the *Athlete* or other *Person* from participation (as described in Article 10.14.1) in all sports within the authority of any *Signatory* for the period of *Ineligibility*.
- **15.1.1.3** A decision by any of the above-described bodies accepting an antidoping rule violation automatically binds all *Signatories*.
- **15.1.1.4** A decision by any of the above-described bodies to *Disqualify* results under Article 10.10 for a specified period automatically *Disqualifies* all results obtained within the authority of any *Signatory* during the specified period.
- **15.1.2** FIL and its *National Federations* shall recognize and implement a decision and its effects as required by Article 15.1.1, without any further action required, on the earlier of the date FIL receives actual notice of the decision or the date the decision is placed into *ADAMS*.
- **15.1.3** A decision by an *Anti-Doping Organization*, a national appellate body or *CAS* to suspend, or lift, *Consequences* shall be binding upon FIL and its *National Federations* without any further action required, on the earlier of the date FIL receives actual notice of the decision or the date the decision is placed into *ADAMS*.
- **15.1.4** Notwithstanding any provision in Article 15.1.1, however, a decision of an antidoping rule violation by a *Major Event Organization* made in an expedited process during an *Event* shall not be binding on FIL or its *National Federations* unless the rules of the *Major Event Organization* provide the *Athlete* or other *Person* with an opportunity to an appeal under non-expedited procedures.<sup>70</sup>

# 15.2 Implementation of Other Decisions by Anti-Doping Organizations

FIL and its *National Federations* may decide to implement other anti-doping decisions rendered by *Anti-Doping Organizations* not described in Article 15.1.1 above, such as a *Provisional Suspension* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> [Comment to Article 15.1.4: By way of example, where the rules of the Major Event Organization give the Athlete or other Person the option of choosing an expedited CAS appeal or a CAS appeal under normal CAS procedure, the final decision or adjudication by the Major Event Organization is binding on other Signatories regardless of whether the Athlete or other Person chooses the expedited appeal option.]

prior to a *Provisional Hearing* or acceptance by the *Athlete* or other *Person*.<sup>71</sup>

## 15.3 Implementation of Decisions by Body that is not a *Signatory*

An anti-doping decision by a body that is not a *Signatory* to the *Code* shall be implemented by FIL and its *National Federations*, if FIL finds that the decision purports to be within the authority of that body and the anti-doping rules of that body are otherwise consistent with the *Code*.<sup>72</sup>

# ARTICLE 16 STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

No anti-doping rule violation proceeding may be commenced against an *Athlete* or other *Person* unless he or she has been notified of the anti-doping rule violation as provided in Article 7, or notification has been reasonably attempted, within ten (10) years from the date the violation is asserted to have occurred.

# ARTICLE 17 EDUCATION

FIL shall plan, implement, evaluate and promote *Education* in line with the requirements of Article 18.2 of the *Code* and the *International Standard* for *Education*.

FIL may decide to request *Athletes* to complete *Educational* activities before and/or during their participation to selected *Event* (e.g. Youth World Championships) as a condition of such participation. The list of *Events* for which *Athletes* will be required to complete *Educational* activities as a condition of participation will be published on FIL's website.

Failure by the *Athlete* to complete *Educational* activities as requested by FIL may result in the imposition of sanction under FIL's disciplinary rules, unless the *Athlete* provides to FIL a justification for such failure, which shall be assessed by FIL on a case by case basis.

# ARTICLE 18 ADDITIONAL ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF NATIONAL FEDERATIONS

**18.1** All *National Federations* and their members shall comply with the *Code, International Standards*, and these Anti-Doping Rules. All *National Federations* and other members shall include in their policies, rules and programs the provisions necessary to ensure that FIL may enforce these Anti-Doping Rules (including carrying out *Testing*) directly in respect of *Athletes* (including *National-Level Athletes*) and other *Persons* under their anti-doping

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> [Comment to Articles 15.1 and 15.2: Anti-Doping Organization decisions under Article 15.1 are implemented automatically by other Signatories without the requirement of any decision or further action on the Signatories' part. For example, when a National Anti-Doping Organization decides to Provisionally Suspend an Athlete, that decision is given automatic effect at the International Federation level. To be clear, the "decision" is the one made by the National Anti-Doping Organization, there is not a separate decision to be made by the International Federation. Thus, any claim by the Athlete that the Provisional Suspension was improperly imposed can only be asserted against the National Anti-Doping Organization. Implementation of Anti-Doping Organizations' decisions under Article 15.2 is subject to each Signatory's discretion. A Signatory's implementation of a decision under Article 15.1 or Article 15.2 is not appealable separately from any appeal of the underlying decision. The extent of recognition of TUE decisions of other Anti-Doping Organizations shall be determined by Article 4.4 and the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> [Comment to Article 15.3: Where the decision of a body that has not accepted the Code is in some respects Code compliant and in other respects not Code compliant, FIL, other Signatories and National Federations should attempt to apply the decision in harmony with the principles of the Code. For example, if in a process consistent with the Code a non-Signatory has found an Athlete to have committed an anti-doping rule violation on account of the presence of a Prohibited Substance in the Athlete's body but the period of Ineligibility applied is shorter than the period provided for in the Code, then FIL and all other Signatories should recognize the finding of an anti-doping rule violation and the Athlete's National Anti-Doping Organization should conduct a hearing consistent with Article 8 to determine whether the longer period of Ineligibility provided in the Code should be imposed. FIL or other Signatory's implementation of a decision, or their decision not to implement a decision under Article 15.3, is appealable under Article 13.]

authority as specified in the Introduction to these Anti-Doping Rules (Section "Scope of these Anti-Doping Rules").

- **18.2** Each *National Federation* shall incorporate these Anti-Doping Rules either directly or by reference into its governing documents, constitution and/or rules as part of the rules of sport that bind their members so that the *National Federation* may enforce them itself directly in respect of *Athletes* (including *National-Level Athletes*) and other *Persons* under its anti-doping authority.
- **18.3** By adopting these Anti-Doping Rules, and incorporating them into their governing documents and rules of sport, *National Federations* shall cooperate with and support FIL in that function. They shall also recognize, abide by and implement the decisions made pursuant to these Anti-Doping Rules, including the decisions imposing sanctions on *Persons* under their authority.
- **18.4** All National Federations shall take appropriate action to enforce compliance with the Code, International Standards, and these Anti-Doping Rules by inter alia:
  - (i) conducting *Testing* only under the documented authority of FIL and using their *National Anti-Doping Organization* or other *Sample* collection authority to collect *Samples* in compliance with the *International Standard* for *Testing* and Investigations;
  - (ii) recognizing the authority of the National Anti-Doping Organization in their country in accordance with Article 5.2.1 of the Code and assisting as appropriate with the National Anti-Doping Organization's implementation of the national Testing program for their sport;
  - (iii) analyzing all *Samples* collected using a *WADA*-accredited or *WADA*-approved laboratory in accordance with Article 6.1; and
  - (iv) ensuring that any national level anti-doping rule violation cases discovered by *National Federations* are adjudicated by an *Operationally Independent* hearing panel in accordance with Article 8.1 and the *International Standard* for *Results Management*.
- **18.5** All *National Federations* shall establish rules requiring all *Athletes* preparing for or participating in a *Competition* or activity authorized or organized by a *National Federation* or one of its member organizations, and all *Athlete Support Personnel* associated with such *Athletes*, to agree to be bound by these Anti-Doping Rules and to submit to the *Results Management* authority of the *Anti-Doping Organization* in conformity with the *Code* as a condition of such participation.
- **18.6** All *National Federations* shall report any information suggesting or relating to an antidoping rule violation to FIL and to their *National Anti-Doping Organizations* and shall cooperate with investigations conducted by any *Anti-Doping Organization* with authority to conduct the investigation.
- **18.7** All National Federations shall have disciplinary rules in place to prevent Athlete Support Personnel who are Using Prohibited Substances or Prohibited Methods without valid justification from providing support to Athletes under the authority of FIL or the National Federation.
- **18.8** All National Federations shall conduct anti-doping Education in coordination with their National Anti-Doping Organizations.

#### ARTICLE 19 ADDITIONAL ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF FIL

- **19.1** In addition to the roles and responsibilities described in Article 20.3 of the *Code* for International Federations, FIL shall report to *WADA* on FIL's compliance with the *Code* and the *International Standards* in accordance with Article 24.1.2 of the *Code*.
- **19.2** Subject to applicable law, and in accordance with Article 20.3.4 of the *Code*, all FIL board members, directors, officers, and those employees (and those of appointed *Delegated Third Parties*) who are involved in any aspect of *Doping Control*, must sign a form provided by FIL, agreeing to be bound by these Anti-Doping Rules as *Persons* in conformity with the *Code* for direct and intentional misconduct.
- **19.3** Subject to applicable law, and in accordance with Article 20.3.5 of the *Code*, any FIL employee who is involved in *Doping Control* (other than authorized anti-doping *Education* or rehabilitation programs) must sign a statement provided by FIL confirming that they are not *Provisionally Suspended* or serving a period of *Ineligibility* and have not been directly or intentionally engaged in conduct within the previous six (6) years which would have constituted a violation of anti-doping rules if *Code*-compliant rules had been applicable to them.

#### ARTICLE 20 ADDITIONAL ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF ATHLETES

- **20.1** To be knowledgeable of and comply with these Anti-Doping Rules.
- **20.2** To be available for *Sample* collection at all times.<sup>73</sup>
- **20.3** To take responsibility, in the context of anti-doping, for what they ingest and *Use*.
- **20.4** To inform medical personnel of their obligation not to *Use Prohibited Substances* and *Prohibited Methods* and to take responsibility to make sure that any medical treatment received does not violate these Anti-Doping Rules.
- **20.5** To disclose to FIL and their *National Anti-Doping Organization* any decision by a non-*Signatory* finding that the *Athlete* committed an anti-doping rule violation within the previous ten (10) years.
- **20.6** To cooperate with *Anti-Doping Organizations* investigating anti-doping rule violations.

Failure by any *Athlete* to cooperate in full with *Anti-Doping Organizations* investigating antidoping rule violations may result in a charge of misconduct under FIL's disciplinary rules.

- **20.7** To disclose the identity of their *Athlete Support Personnel* upon request by FIL or a *National Federation*, or any other *Anti-Doping Organization* with authority over the *Athlete*.
- **20.8** Offensive conduct towards a *Doping Control* official or other *Person* involved in *Doping Control* by an *Athlete*, which does not otherwise constitute *Tampering*, may result in a charge of misconduct under FIL's disciplinary rules.

#### ARTICLE 21 ADDITIONAL ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF ATHLETE SUPPORT PERSONNEL

**21.1** To be knowledgeable of and comply with these Anti-Doping Rules.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> [Comment to Article 20.2: With due regard to an Athlete's human rights and privacy, legitimate anti-doping considerations sometimes require Sample collection late at night or early in the morning. For example, it is known that some Athletes Use low doses of EPO during these hours so that it will be undetectable in the morning.]

- **21.2** To cooperate with the *Athlete Testing* program.
- **21.3** To use their influence on *Athlete* values and behavior to foster anti-doping attitudes.
- **21.4** To disclose to FIL and their *National Anti-Doping Organization* any decision by a non-*Signatory* finding that they committed an anti-doping rule violation within the previous ten (10) years.
- **21.5** To cooperate with *Anti-Doping Organizations* investigating anti-doping rule violations.

Failure by any *Athlete Support Personnel* to cooperate in full with *Anti-Doping Organizations* investigating anti-doping rule violations may result in a charge of misconduct under FIL's disciplinary rules.

**21.6** Athlete Support Personnel shall not Use or Possess any Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method without valid justification.

Any such *Use* or *Possession* may result in a charge of misconduct under FIL's disciplinary rules.

**21.7** Offensive conduct towards a *Doping Control* official or other *Person* involved in *Doping Control* by *Athlete Support Personnel*, which does not otherwise constitute *Tampering*, may result in a charge of misconduct under FIL's disciplinary rules.

# ARTICLE 22 ADDITIONAL ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF OTHER *PERSONS* SUBJECT TO THESE ANTI-DOPING RULES

- **22.1** To be knowledgeable of and comply with these Anti-Doping Rules.
- **22.2** To disclose to FIL and their *National Anti-Doping Organization* any decision by a non-*Signatory* finding that they committed an anti-doping rule violation within the previous ten (10) years.
- **22.3** To cooperate with *Anti-Doping Organizations* investigating anti-doping rule violations.

Failure by any other *Person* subject to these Anti-Doping Rules to cooperate in full with *Anti-Doping Organizations* investigating anti-doping rule violations may result in a charge of misconduct under FIL's disciplinary rules.

- **22.4** Not to Use or Possess any Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method without valid justification.
- **22.5** Offensive conduct towards a *Doping Control* official or other *Person* involved in *Doping Control* by a *Person*, which does not otherwise constitute *Tampering*, may result in a charge of misconduct under FIL's disciplinary rules.

#### ARTICLE 23 INTERPRETATION OF THE CODE

- **23.1** The official text of the *Code* shall be maintained by *WADA* and shall be published in English and French. In the event of any conflict between the English and French versions, the English version shall prevail.
- **23.2** The comments annotating various provisions of the *Code* shall be used to interpret the *Code*.

- **23.3** The *Code* shall be interpreted as an independent and autonomous text and not by reference to the existing law or statutes of the *Signatories* or governments.
- **23.4** The headings used for the various Parts and Articles of the *Code* are for convenience only and shall not be deemed part of the substance of the *Code* or to affect in any way the language of the provisions to which they refer.
- **23.5** Where the term "days" is used in the *Code* or an *International Standard*, it shall mean calendar days unless otherwise specified.
- **23.6** The *Code* shall not apply retroactively to matters pending before the date the *Code* is accepted by a *Signatory* and implemented in its rules. However, pre-*Code* anti-doping rule violations would continue to count as "First violations" or "Second violations" for purposes of determining sanctions under Article 10 for subsequent post-*Code* violations.
- **23.7** The Purpose, Scope and Organization of the World Anti-Doping Program and the *Code* and Appendix 1, Definitions, shall be considered integral parts of the *Code*.

#### ARTICLE 24 FINAL PROVISIONS

- **24.1** Where the term "days" is used in these Anti-Doping Rules, it shall mean calendar days unless otherwise specified.
- **24.2** These Anti-Doping Rules shall be interpreted as an independent and autonomous text and not by reference to existing law or statutes.
- **24.3** These Anti-Doping Rules have been adopted pursuant to the applicable provisions of the *Code* and the *International Standards* and shall be interpreted in a manner that is consistent with applicable provisions of the *Code* and the *International Standards*. The *Code* and the *International Standards* shall be considered integral parts of these Anti-Doping Rules and shall prevail in case of conflict.
- **24.4** The Introduction and Appendix 1 shall be considered integral parts of these Anti-Doping Rules.
- **24.5** The comments annotating various provisions of these Anti-Doping Rules shall be used to interpret these Anti-Doping Rules.
- **24.6** These Anti-Doping Rules shall enter into force on 1 January 2021 (the "Effective Date"). They repeal previous versions of FIL's Anti-Doping Rules.
- **24.7** These Anti-Doping Rules shall not apply retroactively to matters pending before the Effective Date. However:
  - **24.7.1** Anti-doping rule violations taking place prior to the Effective Date count as "first violations" or "second violations" for purposes of determining sanctions under Article 10 for violations taking place after the Effective Date.
  - **24.7.2** Any anti-doping rule violation case which is pending as of the Effective Date and any anti-doping rule violation case brought after the Effective Date based on an anti-doping rule violation which occurred prior to the Effective Date, shall be governed by the substantive anti-doping rules in effect at the time the alleged anti-doping rule violation occurred, and not by the substantive anti-doping rules set out in these Anti-Doping Rules, unless the panel hearing the case determines

the principle of "lex mitior" appropriately applies under the circumstances of the case. For these purposes, the retrospective periods in which prior violations can be considered for purposes of multiple violations under Article 10.9.4 and the statute of limitations set forth in Article 16 are procedural rules, not substantive rules, and should be applied retroactively along with all of the other procedural rules in these Anti-Doping Rules (provided, however, that Article 16 shall only be applied retroactively if the statute of limitations period has not already expired by the Effective Date).

- **24.7.3** Any Article 2.4 whereabouts failure (whether a filing failure or a missed test, as those terms are defined in the *International Standard* for *Results Management*) prior to the Effective Date shall be carried forward and may be relied upon, prior to expiry, in accordance with the *International Standard* for *Results Management*, but it shall be deemed to have expired twelve (12) months after it occurred.
- **24.7.4** With respect to cases where a final decision finding an anti-doping rule violation has been rendered prior to the Effective Date, but the *Athlete* or other *Person* is still serving the period of *Ineligibility* as of the Effective Date, the *Athlete* or other *Person* may apply to FIL or other *Anti-Doping Organization* which had *Results Management* responsibility for the anti-doping rule violation to consider a reduction in the period of *Ineligibility* in light of these Anti-Doping Rules. Such application must be made before the period of *Ineligibility* has expired. The decision rendered may be appealed pursuant to Article 13.2. These Anti-Doping Rules shall have no application to any case where a final decision finding an anti-doping rule violation has been rendered and the period of *Ineligibility* has expired.
- **24.7.5** For purposes of assessing the period of *Ineligibility* for a second violation under Article 10.9.1, where the sanction for the first violation was determined based on rules in force prior to the Effective Date, the period of *Ineligibility* which would have been assessed for that first violation had these Anti-Doping Rules been applicable, shall be applied.<sup>74</sup>
- **24.7.6** Changes to the *Prohibited List* and *Technical Documents* relating to substances or methods on the *Prohibited List* shall not, unless they specifically provide otherwise, be applied retroactively. As an exception, however, when a *Prohibited List*, an *Athlete* or other *Person* currently serving a period of *Ineligibility* on account of the formerly *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* had *Results Management* responsibility for the anti-doping *Organization* which had *Results Management* responsibility for the anti-doping rule violation to consider a reduction in the period of *Ineligibility* in light of the removal of the substance or method from the *Prohibited List*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> [Comment to Article 24.7.5: Other than the situation described in Article 24.7.5, where a final decision finding an anti-doping rule violation has been rendered prior to the Effective Date and the period of Ineligibility imposed has been completely served, these Anti-Doping Rules may not be used to re-characterize the prior violation.]

#### APPENDIX 1 DEFINITIONS<sup>75</sup>

ADAMS: The Anti-Doping Administration and Management System is a Web-based database management tool for data entry, storage, sharing, and reporting designed to assist stakeholders and WADA in their antidoping operations in conjunction with data protection legislation.

Administration: Providing, supplying, supervising, facilitating, or otherwise participating in the Use or Attempted Use by another Person of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method. However, this definition shall not include the actions of bona fide medical personnel involving a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method used for genuine and legal therapeutic purposes or other acceptable justification and shall not include actions involving Prohibited Substances which are not prohibited in Out-of-Competition Testing unless the circumstances as a whole demonstrate that such Prohibited Substances are not intended for genuine and legal therapeutic purposes or are intended to enhance sport performance.

Adverse Analytical Finding: A report from a WADA-accredited laboratory or other WADA-approved laboratory that, consistent with the *International Standard* for Laboratories, establishes in a Sample the presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers or evidence of the Use of a Prohibited Method.

Adverse Passport Finding: A report identified as an Adverse Passport Finding as described in the applicable International Standards.

Aggravating Circumstances: Circumstances involving, or actions by, an Athlete or other Person which may justify the imposition of a period of *Ineligibility* greater than the standard sanction. Such circumstances and actions shall include, but are not limited to: the Athlete or other Person Used or Possessed multiple *Prohibited Substances* or *Prohibited Methods, Used* or *Possessed* a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Methods, Used* or *Possessed* a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Methods, Used* or *Possessed* a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Methods, Used* or *Possessed* a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Methods, Used* or *Possessed* a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method*, the violations; a normal individual would be likely to enjoy the performance-enhancing effects of the anti-doping rule violation(s) beyond the otherwise applicable period of *Ineligibility*; the *Athlete* or *Person* engaged in deceptive or obstructive conduct to avoid the detection or adjudication of an anti-doping rule violation; or the *Athlete* or other *Person* engaged in *Tampering* during *Results Management* process. For the avoidance of doubt, the examples of circumstances and conduct described herein are not exclusive and other similar circumstances or conduct may also justify the imposition of a longer period of *Ineligibility*.

Anti-Doping Activities: Anti-doping Education and information, test distribution planning, maintenance of a Registered Testing Pool, managing Athlete Biological Passports, conducting Testing, organizing analysis of Samples, gathering of intelligence and conduct of investigations, processing of TUE applications, Results Management, monitoring and enforcing compliance with any Consequences imposed, and all other activities related to anti-doping to be carried out by or on behalf of an Anti-Doping Organization, as set out in the Code and/or the International Standards.

Anti-Doping Organization: WADA or a Signatory that is responsible for adopting rules for initiating, implementing or enforcing any part of the Doping Control process. This includes, for example, the International Olympic Committee, the International Paralympic Committee, other Major Event Organizations that conduct Testing at their Events, International Federations, and National Anti-Doping Organizations.

Athlete: Any Person who competes in sport at the international level (as defined by each International Federation) or the national level (as defined by each National Anti-Doping Organization). An Anti-Doping Organization has discretion to apply anti-doping rules to an Athlete who is neither an International-Level Athlete nor a National-Level Athlete, and thus to bring them within the definition of "Athlete". In relation to Athletes who are neither International-Level nor National-Level Athletes, an Anti-Doping Organization may elect to: conduct limited Testing or no Testing at all; analyze Samples for less than the full menu of Prohibited Substances; require limited or no whereabouts information; or not require advance TUEs. However, if an Article 2.1, 2.3 or 2.5 anti-doping rule violation is committed by any Athlete over whom an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> [Comment to Definitions: Defined terms shall include their plural and possessive forms, as well as those terms used as other parts of speech.]

Anti-Doping Organization has elected to exercise its authority to test and who competes below the international or national level, then the *Consequences* set forth in the *Code* must be applied. For purposes of Article 2.8 and Article 2.9 and for purposes of anti-doping information and *Education*, any *Person* who participates in sport under the authority of any *Signatory*, government, or other sports organization accepting the *Code* is an *Athlete*.<sup>76</sup>

Athlete Biological Passport: The program and methods of gathering and collating data as described in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations and International Standard for Laboratories.

Athlete Support Personnel: Any coach, trainer, manager, agent, team staff, official, medical, paramedical personnel, parent or any other *Person* working with, treating or assisting an *Athlete* participating in or preparing for sports competition.

Attempt: Purposely engaging in conduct that constitutes a substantial step in a course of conduct planned to culminate in the commission of an anti-doping rule violation. Provided, however, there shall be no anti-doping rule violation based solely on an *Attempt* to commit a violation if the *Person* renounces the *Attempt* prior to it being discovered by a third party not involved in the *Attempt*.

Atypical Finding: A report from a WADA-accredited laboratory or other WADA-approved laboratory which requires further investigation as provided by the *International Standard* for Laboratories or related *Technical Documents* prior to the determination of an Adverse Analytical Finding.

Atypical Passport Finding: A report described as an Atypical Passport Finding as described in the applicable International Standards.

CAS: The Court of Arbitration for Sport.

*Code*: The World Anti-Doping *Code*.

*Competition*: A single race, match, game or singular sport contest. For example, a basketball game or the finals of the Olympic 100-meter race in athletics. For stage races and other sport contests where prizes are awarded on a daily or other interim basis the distinction between a *Competition* and an *Event* will be as provided in the rules of FIL.

Consequences of Anti-Doping Rule Violations ("Consequences"): An Athlete's or other Person's violation of an anti-doping rule may result in one or more of the following: (a) <u>Disqualification</u> means the Athlete's results in a particular Competition or Event are invalidated, with all resulting Consequences including forfeiture of any medals, points and prizes; (b) <u>Ineligibility</u> means the Athlete or other Person is barred on account of an anti-doping rule violation for a specified period of time from participating in any Competition or other Activity or funding as provided in Article 10.14; (c) <u>Provisional Suspension</u> means the Athlete or other for an anti-doping rule violation or to recover costs associated with an anti-doping rule violation; and (e) <u>Public Disclosure</u> means the dissemination or distribution of information to the general public or Persons beyond those Persons entitled to earlier notification in accordance with Article 14. Teams in Team Sports may also be subject to Consequences as provided in Article 11.

*Contaminated Product*: A product that contains a *Prohibited Substance* that is not disclosed on the product label or in information available in a reasonable Internet search.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> [Comment to Athlete: Individuals who participate in sport may fall in one of five categories: 1) International-Level Athlete, 2) National-Level Athlete, 3) individuals who are not International- or National-Level Athletes but over whom the International Federation or National Anti-Doping Organization has chosen to exercise authority, 4) Recreational Athlete, and 5) individuals over whom no International Federation or National Anti-Doping Organization has chosen to exercise authority, 4) Recreational Athlete, and 5) individuals over whom no International Federation or National Anti-Doping Organization has, or has chosen to, exercise authority. All International and National-Level Athletes are subject to the anti-doping rules of the Code, with the precise definitions of international and national level sport to be set forth in the anti-doping rules of the International Federations and National Anti-Doping Organizations.]

Decision Limit. The value of the result for a threshold substance in a Sample, above which an Adverse Analytical Finding shall be reported, as defined in the International Standard for Laboratories.

Delegated Third Party: Any Person to which FIL delegates any aspect of Doping Control or anti-doping Education programs including, but not limited to, third parties or other Anti-Doping Organizations that conduct Sample collection or other Doping Control services or anti-doping Educational programs for FIL, or individuals serving as independent contractors who perform Doping Control services for FIL (e.g., non-employee Doping Control officers or chaperones). This definition does not include CAS.

Disqualification: See Consequences of Anti-Doping Rule Violations above.

Doping Control: All steps and processes from test distribution planning through to ultimate disposition of any appeal and the enforcement of *Consequences*, including all steps and processes in between, including but not limited to *Testing*, investigations, whereabouts, *TUEs*, *Sample* collection and handling, laboratory analysis, *Results Management*, and investigations or proceedings relating to violations of Article 10.14 (Status During *Ineligibility* or *Provisional Suspension*).

*Education*: The process of learning to instill values and develop behaviors that foster and protect the spirit of sport, and to prevent intentional and unintentional doping.

*Event*: A series of individual *Competitions* conducted together under one ruling body (e.g., the Olympic Games, World Championships of an International Federation, or Pan American Games).

*Event Period*: The time between the beginning and end of an *Event*, as established by the ruling body of the *Event*.

*Event Venues*: Those venues so designated by the ruling body for the *Event*.

*Fault*: *Fault* is any breach of duty or any lack of care appropriate to a particular situation. Factors to be taken into consideration in assessing an *Athlete's* or other *Person's* degree of *Fault* include, for example, the *Athlete's* or other *Person's* experience, whether the *Athlete* or other *Person* is a *Protected Person*, special considerations such as impairment, the degree of risk that should have been perceived by the *Athlete* and the level of care and investigation exercised by the *Athlete* in relation to what should have been the perceived level of risk. In assessing the *Athlete's* or other *Person's* degree of *Fault*, the circumstances considered must be specific and relevant to explain the *Athlete's* or other *Person's* departure from the expected standard of behavior. Thus, for example, the fact that an *Athlete* would lose the opportunity to earn large sums of money during a period of *Ineligibility*, or the fact that the *Athlete* only has a short time left in a career, or the timing of the sporting calendar, would not be relevant factors to be considered in reducing the period of *Ineligibility* under Article 10.6.1 or 10.6.2.<sup>77</sup>

Financial Consequences: See Consequences of Anti-Doping Rule Violations above.

*In-Competition*: The period commencing at 11:59 p.m. on the day before a *Competition* in which the *Athlete* is scheduled to participate through the end of such *Competition* and the *Sample* collection process related to such *Competition*.

Independent Observer Program: A team of observers and/or auditors, under the supervision of WADA, who observe and provide guidance on the *Doping Control* process prior to or during certain *Events* and report on their observations as part of WADA's compliance monitoring program.

Individual Sport: Any sport that is not a Team Sport.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> [Comment to Fault: The criterion for assessing an Athlete's degree of Fault is the same under all Articles where Fault is to be considered. However, under Article 10.6.2, no reduction of sanction is appropriate unless, when the degree of Fault is assessed, the conclusion is that No Significant Fault or Negligence on the part of the Athlete or other Person was involved.]

Ineligibility: See Consequences of Anti-Doping Rule Violations above.

Institutional Independence: Hearing panels on appeal shall be fully independent institutionally from the Anti-Doping Organization responsible for Results Management. They must therefore not in any way be administered by, connected or subject to the Anti-Doping Organization responsible for Results Management.

International Event: An Event or Competition where the International Olympic Committee, the International Paralympic Committee, an International Federation, a *Major Event Organization*, or another international sport organization is the ruling body for the *Event* or appoints the technical officials for the *Event*.

International-Level Athlete: Athletes who compete in sport at the international level, as defined by each International Federation, consistent with the *International Standard* for *Testing* and Investigations. For the sport of <u>luge</u>, *International-Level Athletes* are defined as set out in the Scope section of the Introduction to these Anti-Doping Rules.<sup>79</sup>

International Standard: A standard adopted by WADA in support of the Code. Compliance with an International Standard (as opposed to another alternative standard, practice or procedure) shall be sufficient to conclude that the procedures addressed by the International Standard were performed properly. International Standards shall include any Technical Documents issued pursuant to the International Standard.

*Major Event Organizations*: The continental associations of *National Olympic Committees* and other international multi-sport organizations that function as the ruling body for any continental, regional or other *International Event*.

*Marker*: A compound, group of compounds or biological variable(s) that indicates the Use of a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method*.

*Metabolite*: Any substance produced by a biotransformation process.

*Minimum Reporting Level*: The estimated concentration of a *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolite(s)* or *Marker(s)* in a *Sample* below which *WADA*-accredited laboratories should not report that *Sample* as an *Adverse Analytical Finding*.

Minor: A natural Person who has not reached the age of eighteen (18) years.

National Anti-Doping Organization: The entity(ies) designated by each country as possessing the primary authority and responsibility to adopt and implement anti-doping rules, direct the collection of *Samples*, the management of test results, and the conduct of *Results Management* at the national level. If this designation has not been made by the competent public authority(ies), the entity shall be the country's *National Olympic Committee* or its designee.

National Event: A sport Event or Competition involving International- or National-Level Athletes that is not an International Event.

*National Federation:* A national or regional entity which is a member of or is recognized by FIL as the entity governing FIL's sport in that nation or region.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> [Comment to International-Level Athlete: Consistent with the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, FIL is free to determine the criteria it will use to classify Athletes as International-Level Athletes, e.g., by ranking, by participation in particular International Events, by type of license, etc. However, it must publish those criteria in clear and concise form, so that Athletes are able to ascertain quickly and easily when they will become classified as International-Level Athletes. For example, if the criteria include participation in certain International Events, then the International Federation must publish a list of those International Events.]

National-Level Athlete: Athletes who compete in sport at the national level, as defined by each National Anti-Doping Organization, consistent with the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

*National Olympic Committee*: The organization recognized by the International Olympic Committee. The term *National Olympic Committee* shall also include the National Sport Confederation in those countries where the National Sport Confederation assumes typical *National Olympic Committee* responsibilities in the anti-doping area.

No Fault or Negligence: The Athlete or other Person's establishing that he or she did not know or suspect, and could not reasonably have known or suspected even with the exercise of utmost caution, that he or she had Used or been administered the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method or otherwise violated an anti-doping rule. Except in the case of a Protected Person or Recreational Athlete, for any violation of Article 2.1, the Athlete must also establish how the Prohibited Substance entered the Athlete's system.

No Significant Fault or Negligence: The Athlete or other Person's establishing that any Fault or negligence, when viewed in the totality of the circumstances and taking into account the criteria for No Fault or Negligence, was not significant in relationship to the anti-doping rule violation. Except in the case of a Protected Person or Recreational Athlete, for any violation of Article 2.1, the Athlete must also establish how the Prohibited Substance entered the Athlete's system.

*Operational Independence*: This means that (1) board members, staff members, commission members, consultants and officials of the *Anti-Doping Organization* with responsibility for *Results Management* or its affiliates (e.g., member federation or confederation), as well as any *Person* involved in the investigation and pre-adjudication of the matter cannot be appointed as members and/or clerks (to the extent that such clerk is involved in the deliberation process and/or drafting of any decision) of hearing panels of that *Anti-Doping Organization* with responsibility for *Results Management* and (2) hearing panels shall be in a position to conduct the hearing and decision-making process without interference from the *Anti-Doping Organization* or any third party. The objective is to ensure that members of the hearing panel or individuals otherwise involved in the decision of the hearing panel, are not involved in the investigation of, or decisions to proceed with, the case.

*Out-of-Competition*: Any period which is not *In-Competition*.

Participant. Any Athlete or Athlete Support Person.

Person: A natural Person or an organization or other entity.

*Possession*: The actual, physical *Possession*, or the constructive *Possession* (which shall be found only if the *Person* has exclusive control or intends to exercise control over the *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* exists); provided, however, that if the *Person* does not have exclusive control over the *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* exists); provided, however, that if the *Person* does not have exclusive control over the *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* or the premises in which a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* exists, constructive *Possession* shall only be found if the *Person* knew about the presence of the *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* and intended to exercise control over it. Provided, however, there shall be no anti-doping rule violation based solely on *Possession* if, prior to receiving notification of any kind that the *Person* has committed an anti-doping rule violation, the *Person* has taken concrete action demonstrating that the *Person* never intended to have *Possession* and has renounced *Possession* by explicitly declaring it to an *Anti-Doping Organization*. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this definition, the purchase (including by any electronic or other means) of a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* constitutes *Possession* by the *Person* who makes the purchase.<sup>80</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> [Comment to Possession: Under this definition, anabolic steroids found in an Athlete's car would constitute a violation unless the Athlete establishes that someone else used the car; in that event, FIL must establish that, even though the Athlete did not have exclusive control over the car, the Athlete knew about the anabolic steroids and intended to have control over them. Similarly, in the example of anabolic steroids found in a home medicine cabinet under the joint control of an Athlete and spouse, FIL must establish that the Athlete knew the anabolic steroids were in the cabinet and that the Athlete intended to exercise control over

Prohibited List: The List identifying the Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods.

Prohibited Method: Any method so described on the Prohibited List.

Prohibited Substance: Any substance, or class of substances, so described on the Prohibited List.

*Protected Person*: An *Athlete* or other natural *Person* who at the time of the anti-doping rule violation: (i) has not reached the age of sixteen (16) years; (ii) has not reached the age of eighteen (18) years and is not included in any *Registered Testing Pool* and has never competed in any *International Event* in an open category; or (iii) for reasons other than age has been determined to lack legal capacity under applicable national legislation.<sup>81</sup>

*Provisional Hearing*: For purposes of Article 7.4.3, an expedited abbreviated hearing occurring prior to a hearing under Article 8 that provides the *Athlete* with notice and an opportunity to be heard in either written or oral form.<sup>82</sup>

Provisional Suspension: See Consequences of Anti-Doping Rule Violations above.

Publicly Disclose: See Consequences of Anti-Doping Rule Violations above.

*Recreational Athlete*: A natural *Person* who is so defined by the relevant *National Anti-Doping Organization*; provided, however, the term shall not include any *Person* who, within the five (5) years prior to committing any anti-doping rule violation, has been an *International-Level Athlete* (as defined by each International Federation consistent with the *International Standard* for *Testing* and Investigations) or *National-Level Athlete* (as defined by each *National Anti-Doping Organization* consistent with the *International Anti-Doping Organization* consistent with the *International Standard* for *Testing* and Investigations), has represented any country in an *International Event* in an open category or has been included within any *Registered Testing Pool* or other whereabouts information pool maintained by any International Federation or *National Anti-Doping Organization*.<sup>83</sup>

*Regional Anti-Doping Organization*: A regional entity designated by member countries to coordinate and manage delegated areas of their national anti-doping programs, which may include the adoption and implementation of anti-doping rules, the planning and collection of *Samples*, the management of results, the review of *TUEs*, the conduct of hearings, and the conduct of *Educational* programs at a regional level.

Registered Testing Pool: The pool of highest-priority Athletes established separately at the international level by International Federations and at the national level by National Anti-Doping Organizations, who are subject to focused In-Competition and Out-of-Competition Testing as part of that International Federation's or National Anti-Doping Organization's test distribution plan and therefore are required to provide whereabouts information as provided in Article 5.5 and the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

them. The act of purchasing a Prohibited Substance alone constitutes Possession, even where, for example, the product does not arrive, is received by someone else, or is sent to a third party address.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> [Comment to Protected Person: The Code treats Protected Persons differently than other Athletes or Persons in certain circumstances based on the understanding that, below a certain age or intellectual capacity, an Athlete or other Person may not possess the mental capacity to understand and appreciate the prohibitions against conduct contained in the Code. This would include, for example, a Paralympic Athlete with a documented lack of legal capacity due to an intellectual impairment. The term "open category" is meant to exclude competition that is limited to junior or age group categories.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> [Comment to Provisional Hearing: A Provisional Hearing is only a preliminary proceeding which may not involve a full review of the facts of the case. Following a Provisional Hearing, the Athlete remains entitled to a subsequent full hearing on the merits of the case. By contrast, an "expedited hearing", as that term is used in Article 7.4.3, is a full hearing on the merits conducted on an expedited time schedule.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> [Comment to Recreational Athlete: The term "open category" is meant to exclude competition that is limited to junior or age group categories.]

*Results Management:* The process encompassing the timeframe between notification as per Article 5 of the *International Standard* for *Results Management*, or in certain cases (e.g., *Atypical Finding, Athlete Biological Passport*, whereabouts failure), such pre-notification steps expressly provided for in Article 5 of the *International Standard* for *Results Management*, through the charge until the final resolution of the matter, including the end of the hearing process at first instance or on appeal (if an appeal was lodged). *Sample* or *Specimen:* Any biological material collected for the purposes of *Doping Control.*<sup>84</sup>

*Signatories*: Those entities accepting the *Code* and agreeing to implement the *Code*, as provided in Article 23 of the *Code*.

Specified Method: See Article 4.2.2.

Specified Substance: See Article 4.2.2.

*Strict Liability*: The rule which provides that under Article 2.1 and Article 2.2, it is not necessary that intent, *Fault*, negligence, or knowing *Use* on the *Athlete's* part be demonstrated by the *Anti-Doping Organization* in order to establish an anti-doping rule violation.

Substance of Abuse: See Article 4.2.3.

Substantial Assistance: For purposes of Article 10.7.1, a Person providing Substantial Assistance must: (1) fully disclose in a signed written statement or recorded interview all information he or she possesses in relation to anti-doping rule violations or other proceeding described in Article 10.7.1.1, and (2) fully cooperate with the investigation and adjudication of any case or matter related to that information, including, for example, presenting testimony at a hearing if requested to do so by an Anti-Doping Organization or hearing panel. Further, the information provided must be credible and must comprise an important part of any case or proceeding which is initiated or, if no case or proceeding is initiated, must have provided a sufficient basis on which a case or proceeding could have been brought.

*Tampering*: Intentional conduct which subverts the *Doping Control* process but which would not otherwise be included in the definition of *Prohibited Methods*. *Tampering* shall include, without limitation, offering or accepting a bribe to perform or fail to perform an act, preventing the collection of a *Sample*, affecting or making impossible the analysis of a *Sample*, falsifying documents submitted to an *Anti-Doping Organization* or *TUE* committee or hearing panel, procuring false testimony from witnesses, committing any other fraudulent act upon the *Anti-Doping Organization* or hearing body to affect *Results Management* or the imposition of *Consequences*, and any other similar intentional interference or *Attempted* interference with any aspect of *Doping Control*.<sup>85</sup>

*Target Testing*: Selection of specific *Athletes* for *Testing* based on criteria set forth in the *International Standard* for *Testing* and Investigations.

*Team Sport*: A sport in which the substitution of players is permitted during a *Competition*.

*Technical Document*: A document adopted and published by *WADA* from time to time containing mandatory technical requirements on specific anti-doping topics as set forth in an *International Standard*.

*Testing*: The parts of the *Doping Control* process involving test distribution planning, *Sample* collection, *Sample* handling, and *Sample* transport to the laboratory.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> [Comment to Sample or Specimen: It has sometimes been claimed that the collection of blood Samples violates the tenets of certain religious or cultural groups. It has been determined that there is no basis for any such claim.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> [Comment to Tampering: For example, this Article would prohibit altering identification numbers on a Doping Control form during Testing, breaking the B bottle at the time of B Sample analysis, altering a Sample by the addition of a foreign substance, or intimidating or attempting to intimidate a potential witness or a witness who has provided testimony or information in the Doping Control process. Tampering includes misconduct which occurs during the Results Management process. See Article 10.9.3.3. However, actions taken as part of a Person's legitimate defense to an anti-doping rule violation charge shall not be considered Tampering. Offensive conduct towards a Doping Control official or other Person involved in Doping Control which does not otherwise constitute Tampering shall be addressed in the disciplinary rules of sport organizations.]

*Testing Pool*: The tier below the *Registered Testing Pool* which includes *Athletes* from whom some whereabouts information is required in order to locate and *Test* the *Athlete Out-of-Competition*.

*Therapeutic Use Exemption (TUE)*: A *Therapeutic Use Exemption* allows an *Athlete* with a medical condition to use a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method*, but only if the conditions set out in Article 4.4 and the *International Standard* for *Therapeutic Use Exemptions* are met.

*Trafficking*: Selling, giving, transporting, sending, delivering or distributing (or *Possessing* for any such purpose) a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* (either physically or by any electronic or other means) by an *Athlete*, *Athlete Support Person* or any other *Person* subject to the authority of an *Anti-Doping Organization* to any third party; provided, however, this definition shall not include the actions of bona fide medical personnel involving a *Prohibited Substance* used for genuine and legal therapeutic purposes or other acceptable justification, and shall not include actions involving *Prohibited Substances* which are not prohibited in *Out-of-Competition Testing* unless the circumstances as a whole demonstrate such *Prohibited Substances* sport performance.

*UNESCO Convention*: The International Convention against Doping in Sport adopted by the 33<sup>rd</sup> session of the UNESCO General Conference on 19 October 2005 including any and all amendments adopted by the States Parties to the Convention and the Conference of Parties to the International Convention against Doping in Sport.

*Use*: The utilization, application, injection or consumption by any means whatsoever of any *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method*.

WADA: The World Anti-Doping Agency.

Without Prejudice Agreement: For purposes of Articles 10.7.1.1 and 10.8.2, a written agreement between an Anti-Doping Organization and an Athlete or other Person that allows the Athlete or other Person to provide information to the Anti-Doping Organization in a defined time-limited setting with the understanding that, if an agreement for Substantial Assistance or a case resolution agreement is not finalized, the information provided by the Athlete or other Person in this particular setting may not be used by the Anti-Doping Organization against the Athlete or other Person in any Results Management proceeding under the Code, and that the information provided by the Anti-Doping Organization in this particular setting may not be used by the Athlete or other Person against the Anti-Doping Organization in any Results Management proceeding under the Code. Such an agreement shall not preclude the Anti-Doping Organization, Athlete or other Person from using any information or evidence gathered from any source other than during the specific time-limited setting described in the agreement.

# **IRO-Änderung 2020 - Dringlichkeitsantrag**



🗆 Kunstbahn 🛛 🗆 Naturbahn

## Alter Text:

1. Grundsatzbestimmungen

Während des offiziellen Trainings zum Wettkampf und der Rennläufe eines jeden FIL

Wettbewerbes und bei Internationalen Trainingswochen im Rahmen von FIL

Weltmeisterschaften der Allg. Klasse, muss folgendes zur Verfügung stehen:

a) Ein Rennarzt mit Kenntnissen in der Notfallversorgung.

Beim offiziellen Training darf auf die Anwesenheit des Rennarztes gemäß Punkt 1.1a nur dann verzichtet werden, wenn für das jeweilige Veranstalterland Hilfsfristen für den Notarzteinsatz gesetzlich festgelegt sind und deren Einhaltung gewährleistet ist.

b) Mindestens ein mit einem Rettungsassistenten/Rettungssanitäter besetzter Rettungswagen, der auch mit geeigneten Wiederbelebungsgeräten ausgerüstet ist und der dafür geeignet ist, alle Straßen entlang und in der Nähe der Bahn zu erreichen, bzw. so platziert ist, dass entsprechend der örtlichen Gegebenheiten eine schnelle Aufnahme Erkrankter bzw. Verletzter von allen Bereichen der Bahn möglich ist.

c) Ein Raum ausschließlich für Erste-Hilfe-Maßnahmen, der als solcher gekennzeichnet ist. Werden der Rennarzt und/oder der Rettungswagen medizinisch in Anspruch genommen, ist das Rennen bzw. das offizielle Training so lange zu unterbrechen, bis deren Einsatzfähigkeit gemäß obigen Bestimmungen wieder gewährleistet ist.

2. Zuständigkeiten

- Der Rennarzt und/oder die Besatzung des Rettungswagens sind für die Erste Hilfe bei Verletzungen und Erkrankungen der Athleten zuständig.

- Der Rennarzt hat dem Rennleiter schriftlich zu attestieren, wenn infolge einer Verletzung oder

Erkrankung eines Athleten oder aus einem sonstigen medizinischen Grunde bei einem Start konkrete Gefahr für Leib oder Leben besteht (§ 4, 1.1.5).

3. Abtransport von Verletzten

Der Ausrichter muss die Möglichkeit des ungehinderten Abtransportes von Verletzten, entlang der

gesamten Rennstrecke, gewährleisten.

## Neuer Text:

1. Grundsatzbestimmungen

Während des offiziellen Trainings zum Wettkampf und der Rennläufe eines jeden FIL Wettbewerbes und bei Internationalen Trainingswochen im Rahmen von FIL

Weltmeisterschaften der Allg. Klasse, muss folgendes zur Verfügung stehen:

a) Ein Rennarzt oder Paramedics / Notfallsanitäter mit höchster abgeschlossener

Ausbildungsstufe im Rettungsdienst mit Kenntnissen in der Notfallversorgung.

Beim offiziellen Training darf auf die Anwesenheit des Rennarztes oder Paramedics / Notfallsanitäter gemäß Punkt 1.1a nur dann verzichtet werden, wenn für das jeweilige Veranstalterland Hilfsfristen für den Notarzteinsatz gesetzlich festgelegt sind und deren Einhaltung gewährleistet ist.

b) Mindestens ein mit einem Rennarzt oder Paramedics / Notfallsanitäter besetzter Rettungswagen, der auch mit geeigneten Wiederbelebungsgeräten ausgerüstet ist und der dafür geeignet ist, alle Straßen entlang und in der Nähe der Bahn zu erreichen, bzw. so platziert ist, dass entsprechend der örtlichen Gegebenheiten eine schnelle Aufnahme Erkrankter bzw. Verletzter von allen Bereichen der Bahn möglich ist.

c) Ein Raum ausschließlich für Erste-Hilfe-Maßnahmen, der als solcher gekennzeichnet ist. Werden der Rennarzt oder Paramedics / Notfallsanitäter und/oder der Rettungswagen medizinisch in Anspruch genommen, ist das Rennen bzw. das offizielle Training so lange zu unterbrechen, bis deren Einsatzfähigkeit gemäß obigen Bestimmungen wieder gewährleistet ist.

2. Zuständigkeiten

- Der Rennarzt oder Paramedics / Notfallsanitäter und/oder die Besatzung des Rettungswagens sind für die Erste Hilfe bei Verletzungen und Erkrankungen der Athleten zuständig.

- Ein fachlich befugter Arzt hat dem Rennleiter schriftlich zu attestieren, wenn infolge einer Verletzung oder Erkrankung eines Athleten oder aus einem sonstigen medizinischen Grunde bei einem Start konkrete Gefahr für Leib oder Leben besteht (§ 4, 1.1.5).

3. Abtransport von Verletzten

Der Ausrichter muss die Möglichkeit des ungehinderten Abtransportes von Verletzten, entlang der gesamten Rennstrecke, gewährleisten.

## <u>Begründung</u>:

Die verpflichtende Vorhaltung eines Rennarztes kann von den Ausrichtern von FIL-Veranstaltungen nicht mehr im vorgeschriebenen Ausmaß gewährleistet werden. Der höchste nationale Ausbildungsstandard von Paramedics/Notfallsanitätern sichert die erweiterten Erste Hilfe / ALS - Advanced Life Support Maßnahmen inklusive Wiederbelebungsmaßnahmen ebenfalls in professioneller Weise ab.

Welche §§ sind auf Grund der Änderung(en) ebenfalls zu präzisieren bzw. zu ändern?





## INTERNATIONAL LUGE FEDERATION

## Luge

## A. EVENTS (4)

Men's Events (1)	Women's Events (1)	Open Events (1)	Mixed Events (1)
Men's Singles	Women's Singles	Doubles	Team Relay

## **B.** ATHLETES QUOTA

### B.1 Total Quota for Sport / Discipline:

	Qualification Places	Host Country Places	Total
Men's Singles	34	1	35
Women's Singles	34	1	35
Doubles	34 (17 teams)	2 (1 team)	36 (18 teams)
Total	102	4	106

N.B. If quota places are not allocated in one (1) or two (2) disciplines, FIL reserves the right to adjust the number of quota places in the Men's Singles and/or Women's Singles while remaining within the total overall allocation of 106 athletes. Refer to D.3.2.

#### B.2 Maximum Number of Athletes per NOC:

	Quota per NOC		
Men's Singles	3		
Women's Singles	3		
Doubles	4 (2 teams)		
	1 Relay Team		
Team Relay	(one (1) man, 1 (one) woman, one (1) doubles (two (2) athletes) who are qualified and entered in their individual events)		
Total	10		

#### B.3 Type of Allocation of Quota Places:

The quota place/s is/are allocated to the NOC. The selection of athletes for any quota places are at the discretion of the NOC subject to the eligibility requirements





#### C. ATHLETE ELIGIBILITY

All athletes must comply with the provisions of the Olympic Charter currently in force included but not limited to, Rule 41 (Nationality of Competitors) and Rule 43 (World Anti-Doping Code and the Olympic Movement Code on the Prevention of Manipulation of Competitions). Only these athletes who comply with the Olympic Charter may participate in the Olympic Winter Games Beijing 2022.

#### C.1 Age Requirements:

All athletes participating in the Olympic Winter Games Beijing 2022 must be born before 1 July 2006.

#### C.2 Medical Requirements:

To be eligible to participate in the Olympic Winter Games Beijing 2022, all athletes must satisfy the medical requirements according to the International Luge Regulations (IRO) 2020, §1, 3c (to be updated on the FIL website on July/August 2020).

#### C.3 Additional IF Requirements:

#### C.3.1 Minimum FIL Olympic Qualification Standard

Athletes must have competed and earned points in a minimum of five (5) World Cup, Junior World Cup (Junior Class), or Nations Cup races during the <u>Pre-Olympic Season</u> (1 July 2020 – 30 June 2021, hereafter defined as Pre-Olympic Season) and <u>Olympic Season</u> (1 July 2021 – 10 January 2022, hereafter defined as Olympic Season) combined and have earned a combined minimum of five (5) points from the above mentioned races.

#### OR

An athlete must have earned the following combined minimum World Cup points by participating in two (2) World Cup races (General Class) within the Olympic Season:

- Men: 20 points
- Women: 36 points
- Doubles: 44 points

#### C.3.2 Extra requirements for athletes ranked lower than the top 32 men, 24 women, or 20 doubles

At the close of the pre-Olympic season (30 June 2021), if an athlete, by name, is ranked lower than 32nd in men, 24th in women and 20th in doubles in the pre-Olympic season World Cup Ranking List (General Class), then the following conditions must additionally be met to be eligible for selection by their respective NOC for the Olympic Games:

- C.3.2.1 Mandatory participation at the fall International Training Week in 2021 on the Olympic track in the host city; and
- C.3.2.2 The athlete must complete a minimum of ten (10) timed runs on the Yanqing Sliding Centre Olympic track's Olympic race start height from the start of the Pre-Olympic Season (1 July 2020) to the end of the Olympic qualification period (10 January 2022):
  - Men: Men start;
  - Women: Ladies Start;
  - Doubles: Doubles Start.

and

C.3.2.3 Mandatory\* start in two (2) World Cup / Nations Cup races between 1-16 January 2022 <u>or</u> mandatory\* participation in one (1) World Cup race between 1-16 January 2022 and FIL approved training of a minimum of twenty (20) runs between 1-16 January 2022.

\*If an athlete/doubles team is unable to complete the (2) two required World Cups <u>or</u> one (1) World Cup and 20 FIL approved training runs by 16 January 2022 due to concrete and FIL verified circumstances, i.e. injury, a special request may be made by the NOC to FIL by 7 January 2022





to review the athletes' eligibility for participation in the respective Olympic event by a FIL selected expert panel. The FIL selected expert panel may grant an extension for the athletes to meet the above requirement till 23 January 2022. Once the decision is made, no further appeal from the NOCs will be accepted and the decision of FIL regarding the athletes' eligibility is final.

#### D. QUALIFICATION PATHWAY

#### World Cup Ranking Lists (General Class)

World Cup Ranking Lists (General Class) are made up of points accumulated by athletes' participation in World Cup races during a season. Points earned in Sprint World Cups do not count for these World Cup Ranking Lists.

There are two (2) World Cup Ranking Lists (General Class) used in the qualification system:

- 1. <u>Olympic Season World Cup Ranking List (General Class)</u> accumulated points from Olympic Season from 1 July 2021 to 10 January 2022.
- 2. <u>Pre-Olympic Season World Cup Ranking List (General Class)</u> accumulated points from the pre-Olympic season 1 July 2020 to 30 June 2021.

#### **QUALIFICATION PLACES**

Number of Quota Places		Qualification Event
<u>D.1:</u>	D.1. R	anked in Olympic Season World Cup Ranking List (General Class)
Men: 34 Women: 34	<u>34 Me</u>	n's Singles quota places will be allocated as follows:
Doubles: 17	D.1.1	Best ranked man athlete in top 50
		NOCs with one (1) athlete ranked between 1-50 in the Men's Olympic Season World Cup Ranking List (General Class) will be allocated one (1) quota place each until the maximum quota of 34 men is reached;
	D.1.2	Second best ranked man athlete in top 32
		Should there be any available quotas that are not filled in D.1.1, NOCs with a second (2 <sup>nd</sup> ) athlete ranked between 1-32 in the Men's Olympic Season World Cup Ranking List (General Class) will be allocated a second (2 <sup>nd</sup> ) quota place each until the maximum quota of 34 men is reached;
	D.1.3	Third best ranked man athlete in top 32
		Should there be any available quotas that are not filled in D.1.1 and D.1.2, NOCs with a third (3 <sup>rd</sup> ) athlete ranked between 1-32 in the Men's Olympic Season World Cup Ranking List (General Class) will be allocated a third (3 <sup>rd</sup> ) quota place each until the maximum quota of 34 men is reached.
	34 Women's Singles quota places will be allocated as follows:	
	D.1.4	Best ranked woman athlete in top 40
		NOCs with one (1) athlete ranked between 1-40 in the Women's Olympic Season World Cup Ranking List (General Class) will be allocated one (1) quota place each until the maximum quota of 34 women is reached;
	D.1.5	Second best ranked woman athlete in top 32
		Should there be any available quotas that are not filled in D.1.4, NOCs with a second (2nd) athlete ranked between 1-32 in the Women's Olympic Season World Cup





Number of		Qualification Event	
Quota Places			
		Ranking List (General Class) will be allocated a second (2nd) quota place each until the maximum quota of 34 women is reached;	
	D.1.6	Third best ranked woman athlete in top 32	
		Should there be any available quotas that are not filled in D.1.4 and D.1.5, NOCs with a third (3rd) athlete ranked between 1-32 in the Women's Olympic Season World Cup Ranking List (General Class) will be allocated a third (3rd) quota place each until the maximum quota of 34 women is reached.	
	<u>17 Dou</u>	ubles quota will be allocated as follows:	
	D.1.7	Best ranked doubles in top 25	
		NOCs with one (1) doubles team ranked between 1-25 in the Doubles' Olympic Season World Cup Ranking List (General Class) will be allocated one (1) quota place each until the maximum quota of 17 doubles is reached;	
	D.1.8	Second best ranked doubles in top 28	
		NOCs in D.1.7 with a second (2 <sup>nd</sup> ) doubles team ranked between 1-28 in the Doubles' Olympic Season World Cup Ranking List (General Class) will be allocated a second (2 <sup>nd</sup> ) quota place each until the maximum quota of 17 doubles is reached.	
	D.1.9	In the case of athletes/doubles team having the same ranking on the Olympic Season World Cup Ranking List (General Class), the following tie breaker system will be used in the following order to determine the higher ranked athlete/doubles team:	
		<ol> <li>The athlete/doubles team, who has the better ranking in one (1) World Cup (General Class) Race during the Olympic season;</li> <li>The athlete/doubles team who has the better ranking in one (1) Nations Cup Race during the Olympic season;</li> <li>The athlete/doubles team who has the higher number of better rankings in individual races during the Olympic season (i.e. a 12th, 16th, and 18th placed athlete/doubles team ranks higher than a 12th, 17th, and 18th placed athlete/doubles team).</li> </ol>	
D.2:	D.2.	Allocation of quota places for the Team Relay Competition	
	0.2.	In the case where there are remaining quota places after having allocated quota places according to D.1, the remaining quota places will be allocated to the highest ranked NOCs on the Team Relay Ranking List as of 10 January 2022 that have the capability to form a team for the Team Relay Competition. These NOCs must have qualified athletes in two (2) events according to D.1. and have eligible athlete(s) according to Section C in the third (3 <sup>rd</sup> ) event until the overall maximum quota is reached.	
<u>D.3:</u>	D.3.	Allocation of remaining quota places	
	D.3.1	If there are still remaining quota places that are not filled after applying D.2, quota places will be allocated to the next highest ranked eligible athlete/doubles team from an NOC that has not earned a quota place according to D.1 based on the respective Olympic Season World Cup Ranking List (General Class) until the maximum quota per event is reached. In the case where the athlete/doubles team from different events have the same ranking, the tie breaker system in D.1.9 will be used;	





Number of Quota Places		Qualification Event
	D.3.2	If there are still remaining quota places that are not filled after applying D.3.1, quota places will be allocated to the NOC with the next highest ranked eligible athlete/doubles team based on the Olympic Season World Cup Ranking List (General Class) not exceeding the maximum quota per NOC until the maximum quota per event is reached;
	D.3.3	If there are still remaining quota places that are not filled after applying D.3.1 and D.3.2, quota places will be allocated as follows:
		a) In the case where there are still available quota places in the Doubles event, the remaining quota places will be added to the Men Singles and/or Women Singles. These quota places will be allocated to the NOC with the next highest ranked eligible athlete in the Men Singles and/or Women Singles based on the Olympic Season World Cup Ranking List (General Class) not exceeding the maximum quota per NOC until the maximum quota for the sport is reached;
		b) In the case where there are still available quota places in the Women's Singles event, the remaining quota places will be added to the Men Singles. These quota places will be allocated to the NOC with the next highest ranked eligible athlete in the Men's Singles based on the Olympic Season World Cup Ranking List (General Class) not exceeding the maximum quota per NOC until the maximum quota for the sport is reached;
		c) In the case where there are still available quota places in the Men's Singles event, the remaining quota places will be added to the Women Singles. These quota places will be allocated to the NOC with the next highest ranked eligible athlete in the Women's Singles based on the Olympic Season World Cup Ranking List (General Class) not exceeding the maximum quota per NOC until the maximum quota for the sport is reached.

#### HOST COUNTRY PLACES

If the host country has not been allocated at least one (1) quota place in each event from D.1, it will be allocated one (1) quota place in each of the events where it was not allocated a quota place. The athletes selected to fill these quota places must meet the athlete requirements in C1 and C2 and the eligibility qualification criteria in C.3.1 and if applicable C.3.2.

If the athlete does not meet the athlete eligibility criteria in C.3.1 and C.3.2, a special request may be made by the host nation as per section C.3.2.2.

If no athlete from the host country is able to fulfil the above requirements, the host country places will be allocated according to D.3.

#### E. CONFIRMATION PROCESS FOR QUOTA PLACES

Following each qualification event, FIL will publish the results on its website (<u>www.fil-luge.org</u>). The final World Cup Ranking Lists (General Class) will be published by 10 January 2022.

FIL will inform the respective NOCs by 11 January 2022 of their allocated quota places. NOCs will have to confirm if they wish to use these quota places by 18 January 2022, as detailed in paragraph **G. Qualification Timeline.** 





#### F. REALLOCATION OF UNUSED QUOTA PLACES

#### **REALLOCATION OF UNUSED IF QUOTA PLACES**

If an allocated quota place from D.1 and/or D.2 is not confirmed by the NOC by the confirmation of quota place deadline or is declined by the NOC, the quota place will be reallocated according to D.3.

#### **REALLOCATION OF UNUSED HOST COUNTRY PLACES**

Unused Host Country Places will be reallocated according to D.3.

#### **G.** QUALIFICATION TIMELINE

Period	Date	Milestone
Qualification	1 July 2020 – 30 June 2021	Period to achieve points for Pre-Olympic Season World Cup Ranking List (General Class)
	1 July 2021 – 10 January 2022	Period to achieve points for Olympic Season World Cup Ranking List (General Class)
	16 January 2022	Deadline to meet extra requirements C.3.2.3.
Accreditation deadline	TBD*	Beijing 2022 Accreditation deadline
Inform and	10 January 2022	Publication of Men's, Women's and Doubles' World Cup Ranking Lists (General Class)
confirm	11 January 2022	FIL to inform NOCs/NFs of their allocated quota places
	18 January 2022	NOCs to confirm use of allocated quota places to FIL
Reallocation	18-21 January 2022	FIL to reallocate all unused quota places and NOCs to respond within 24 hours of receipt of notification of quota place.
Sport Entries deadline	24 January 2022	Beijing 2022 Sport Entries deadline
Delegation Registration Meetings	TBD*	Beijing 2022 Delegation Registration Meetings
Games Time	4 – 20 February 2022	Olympic Winter Games Beijing 2022
*To Be Determined		

'To Be Determined