

Dr. Christian Krähe
Chairman of the FIL Legal Committee

January 31, 2019

Report on the activities of the FIL Disciplinary Commission in 2017 and 2018

1.

After evaluating the McLaren report, the IOC initiated disciplinary proceedings against Russian athletes who had participated in the 2014 Winter Olympics in Sochi and were suspected of having been involved in the manipulation of their doping samples. In its decision, shortly before Christmas 2017, the IOC also banned luge athletes from the 2014 Russian Olympic team for the 2018 Winter Olympics in South Korea and at the same time instructed the associations to decide on sanctions against the suspected athletes outside the Olympic Games. Therefore, immediately after Christmas 2017, the FIL Disciplinary Commission initiated disciplinary proceedings against two athletes from the 2014 Winter Olympics.

The oral hearing of the athletes concerned was held in 2018. The Disciplinary Commission then decided not to impose any sanctions on these athletes because collecting evidence of involvement in the manipulation of the doping samples was not successful. This decision of the Disciplinary Commission has become final.

2.

In January 2018, the FIL received a report on a positive doping test result from a US laboratory in 2017. The FIL Disciplinary Commission obtained several medical reports on the question of whether a doping violation could be proven. After conducting an oral hearing, the Disciplinary Commission, using the medical reports obtained, came to the conclusion that evidence of a doping violation could not be provided, so that no sanction was imposed.

Dr. Christian Krähe
Chairman of the FIL Legal Committee

January 30, 2020

Report on the activities of the FIL Disciplinary Commission in 2019

In 2019 there was no FIL Disciplinary Commission procedure.

Legal Commission Report on Doping Procedures in 2019

At the beginning of 2019, the FIL discovered that an athlete had not updated her Where About information for the first quarter of 2019 in a timely manner. First of all, a warning was issued. The reasons for the athlete's omission were examined as part of a complaint procedure. After taking evidence, the FIL lifted the warning after all circumstances were taken into account and no evidence of the athlete's fault could be provided.